



H.C.P.No.1956 of 2022

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 29.03.2023

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE M.SUNDAR

and

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE M.NIRMAL KUMAR

H.C.P.No.1956 of 2022

R.Subbulakshmi
W/o.Rajendran

.. Petitioner/
Mother of detenu

Vs.

1. The State of Tamil Nadu
Rep. By its Additional Chief Secretary to Government
Home, Prohibition and Excise Department
Secretariat, Fort St.George
Chennai – 600 009
2. The Commissioner of Police
Detaining Authority
Tiruppur City
3. The Superintendent
Central Prison
Coimbatore
4. The Inspector of Police
Tiruppur North Police Station
Tiruppur City
Tiruppur District

... Respondents



H.C.P.No.1956 of 2022

Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying for issuance of a writ of habeas corpus to produce the body of the detenu by name Gokulakrishnan, son of Rajendran, aged about 36 years, presently confined at Central Prison, Coimbatore before this Court and set him at liberty forthwith, after calling for the records pertaining to the detention order dated 05.08.2022 in C.No.59/G/IS/Tiruppur City/2022 passed by the second respondent and quash the same.

For Petitioner : Mr.R.Prabakar
For Respondents : Mr.R.Muniyapparaj
Additional Public Prosecutor
Assisted by
Mr.Sylvester John
Advocate

ORDER

[Order of the Court was made by M.SUNDAR, J.,]

Captioned 'Habeas Corpus Petition' ['HCP' for the sake of brevity] has been filed by mother of detenu assailing a 'preventive detention order dated 05.08.2022 bearing reference C.No.59/G/Tiruppur City/2022' [hereinafter 'impugned detention order' for the sake of convenience and brevity]. To be noted, fourth respondent is the sponsoring authority and second respondent is the detaining authority as impugned detention order has been made by second respondent.



H.C.P.No.1956 of 2022

2. Impugned detention order has been made under 'The Tamil Nadu

Prevention of Dangerous Activities of Bootleggers, Cyber law offenders, Drug-offenders, Forest-offenders, Goondas, Immoral traffic offenders, Sand-offenders, Sexual-offenders, Slum-grabbers and Video Pirates Act, 1982 (Tamil Nadu Act No.14 of 1982)' [hereinafter 'Act 14 of 1982' for the sake of convenience and clarity] on the premise that the detenu is a 'Goonda' within the meaning of Section 2(f) of Act 14 of 1982.

3. There is no adverse case. The solitary which is the sole substratum of the impugned detention order is Crime No.641 of 2022 on the file of Tiruppur City North Police Station for alleged offences under Sections 452, 342, 392 and 506(ii) of 'The Indian Penal Code (45 of 1860)' [hereinafter 'IPC' for the sake of convenience and clarity] altered to Sections 452, 342, 392, 506(ii) and 120(B) of IPC. Owing to the nature of the challenge to the impugned detention order, it is not necessary to delve into the factual matrix or be detained further by facts.

4. Mr. R.Prabakar, learned counsel on record for petitioner and Mr.R.Muniyapparaj, learned State Additional Public Prosecutor, assisted by Mr.Sylvester John, Advocate, for all respondents are before us.



H.C.P.No.1956 of 2022

WEB COPY

5. Learned counsel for petitioner submits that 'live and proximate link' between the grounds of detention and purpose of detention has snapped as petitioner was arrested on 18.06.2022 but the impugned detention order has been made only on 05.08.2022.

6. Mr.R.Muniyapparaj, learned State Additional Public Prosecutor, submits to the contrary by saying that materials had to be collected and time was consumed for the same. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and nature of ground case, we find that this explanation of learned Prosecutor is unacceptable.

7. We remind ourselves of *Sushanta Kumar Banik's* case [*Sushanta Kumar Banik Vs. State of Tripura & others reported in 2022 LiveLaw (SC) 813 : 2022 SCC OnLine SC 1333*]. To be noted, *Banik* case law arose under 'Prevention of Illicit Traffic in Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1988' [hereinafter 'PIT NDPS Act' for the sake of brevity] in Tirupura, wherein after considering the proposal by the Sponsoring



H.C.P.No.1956 of 2022

Authority and after noticing the trajectory the matter took, Hon'ble Supreme Court held that the 'live and proximate link between grounds of detention and purpose of detention snapping' point should be examined on a case to case basis. Hon'ble Supreme Court has held in **Banik** case law that this point has two facets. One facet is 'unreasonable delay' and other facet is 'unexplained delay'. We find that the captioned matter falls under latter facet i.e., unexplained delay.

8. To be noted, **Banik** case has been respectfully followed by this Court in **Gomathi Vs. The Principal Secretary to Government and others** reported vide Neutral Citation of Madras High Court being **2023/MHC/334**, **Sadik Basha Yusuf Vs. The State of Tamil Nadu and others** reported vide Neutral Citation of Madras High Court being **2023/MHC/733**, **Sangeetha Vs. The Secretary to the Government and others** reported vide Neutral Citation of Madras High Court being **2023:MHC:1110**, **N.Anitha Vs. The Secretary to Government and others** reported vide Neutral Citation of Madras High Court being **2023:MHC:1159** and a series of other orders in HCP cases.



H.C.P.No.1956 of 2022

9. Before concluding, we also remind ourselves that preventive detention is not a punishment and HCP is a high prerogative writ.

10. Apropos, the sequitur is, captioned HCP is allowed. Impugned detention order dated 05.08.2022 bearing reference C.No.59/G/IS/Tiruppur City/2022 made by the second respondent is set aside and the detenu Thiru.Gokulakrishnan, aged 36 years, son of Thiru.Rajendhiran is directed to be set at liberty forthwith, if not required in connection with any other case / cases. There shall be no order as to costs.

(M.S.,J.)

(M.N.K.,J.)

29.03.2023

Index : Yes

Speaking

Neutral Citation : Yes

gpa

P.S: Registry to forthwith communicate this order to Jail authorities in Central Prison, Coimbatore



H.C.P.No.1956 of 2022

To

WEB COPY

1. The Additional Chief Secretary to Government
Home, Prohibition and Excise Department
Secretariat, Fort St.George
Chennai – 600 009
2. The Commissioner of Police
Detaining Authority
Tiruppur City
3. The Superintendent
Central Prison
Coimbatore
4. The Inspector of Police
Tiruppur North Police Station
Tiruppur City
Tiruppur District
5. The Public Prosecutor
Madras High Court, Chennai



WEB COPY



H.C.P.No.1956 of 2022

M.SUNDAR, J.,
and
M.NIRMAL KUMAR, J.,

gpa

H.C.P.No.1956 of 2022

29.03.2023

Page Nos.8/8