



Crl.O.P.No.19376 of 2023

Crl.O.P.No.19376 of 2023

RMT.TEEKAA RAMAN, J.

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offence punishable under Sections 294(b), 323, 324, 506(ii) of IPC r/w Section 4 of Women Harassment Act, in Crime No.174 of 2023 on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that due to wordy quarrel arose between the petitioners and the defacto complainant in a Hotel, the petitioners along with other accused abused the defacto complainant in filthy language and assaulted the defacto complainant with hands and thereby caused injuries. Hence, the case.

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are innocent persons and due to previous enmity, a false complaint has been given against them. He would further submit that the petitioners are ready to abide by any stringent condition that may be



Crl.O.P.No.19376 of 2023

WEB COPY

imposed by this Court. Hence, he prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) for the respondent would submit that during wordy quarrel, the petitioners have abused the defacto complainant in a filthy language and assaulted him with hands. He would further submit that the injured has been discharged from the hospital. However, he vehemently opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record including the FIR.

6. Taking into consideration the facts and the submissions made by the learned counsel on either side and also taking note of the fact that the injured has been discharged from the hospital, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners with certain conditions.



WEB COPY

7. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the **learned Judicial Magistrate Arakkonam**, on condition that the petitioners shall execute separate bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only)** with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity.

[b] **the petitioners shall report before the respondent Police, everyday at 10.30 a.m., until further orders;**

[c] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.



WEB COPY



CrI.O.P.No.19376 of 2023

[d] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]**.

[f] If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC.

05.09.2023

ata

RMT.TEEKAA RAMAN, J.



WEB COPY



CrI.O.P.No.19376 of 2023

ata

CrI.O.P.No.19376 of 2023

05.09.2023