



WEB COPY



H.C.P.No.1893 of 2022

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 06.04.2023

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE M.SUNDAR
and

THE HONOURABLE DR.JUSTICE D.NAGARJUN

H.C.P.No.1893 of 2022

C.Narthigamani
W/o.Chandramohan

.. Petitioner

Vs.

1. The State of Tamil Nadu
Rep. by its Additional Chief Secretary to Government
Home, Prohibition and Excise Department
Fort St.George
Chennai-600 009.
2. The District Collector & the District Magistrate
Mayiladuthurai District
Mayiladuthurai.
3. The Superintendent of Police
Mayiladuthurai
Nagapattinam District.
4. The Inspector of Police
Anaikaranchatram Police Station
Mayiladuthurai District.

Page Nos.1/8



H.C.P.No.1893 of 2022

WEB COPY

5. The Superintendent o Prison
Central Prison
Thiruchirappalli.

..Respondents

Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying for issuance of a writ of habeas corpus to call for the records relating to the proceedings of the 2nd respondent herein in C.O.C.No.31 of 2022 dated 24.8.2022 and quash the same and produce the detenu Thiru.Chandramohan, aged about 44 years, TPDA No.7438 now detained in Central Prison, Thiruchirappalli before this Hon'ble Court and set him at liberty.

For Petitioner	:	Mr.R.Shivakumar M/s.K.M.Vijayan Associates (Law Firm)
For Respondents	:	Mr.R.Muniyapparaj Additional Public Prosecutor assisted by Mr.M.Sylvester John, Advocate

ORDER

[Order of the Court was made by M.SUNDAR, J.,]

Captioned 'Habeas Corpus Petition' ['HCP' for the sake of brevity] has been filed by wife of the detenu assailing a 'preventive detention order dated 24.08.2022 bearing reference C.O.C.No.31/2022' [hereinafter 'impugned detention order' for the sake of convenience and brevity]. To be noted, fourth respondent is the sponsoring authority and second respondent is the

Page Nos.2/8



H.C.P.No.1893 of 2022

detaining authority as the impugned detention order has been made by second respondent.

2. Impugned detention order has been made under 'The Tamil Nadu Prevention of Dangerous Activities of Bootleggers, Cyber law offenders, Drug-offenders, Forest-offenders, Goondas, Immoral traffic offenders, Sand-offenders, Sexual-offenders, Slum-grabbers and Video Pirates Act, 1982 (Tamil Nadu Act No.14 of 1982)' [hereinafter 'Act 14 of 1982' for the sake of convenience and clarity] on the premise that the detenu is a 'Goonda' within the meaning of Section 2(f) of Act 14 of 1982.

3. There are two adverse cases and one ground case. The ground case which is the sole substratum of the impugned detention order is Crime No.226 of 2022 on the file of Anaikaranchatram Police Station for alleged offences under Sections 294(b), 323, 427 and 307 of 'The Indian Penal Code (45 of 1860)' [hereinafter 'IPC' for the sake of convenience and clarity]. Owing to the nature of the challenge to the impugned detention order, it is not necessary to delve into the factual matrix or be detained further by facts.



H.C.P.No.1893 of 2022

4. Mr.R.Shivakumar, learned counsel of M/s.K.M.Vijayan Associates (Law Firm) for petitioner and Mr.R.Muniyapparaj, learned State Additional Public Prosecutor, assisted by Mr.M.Sylvester John, learned counsel for all respondents are before us.

5. Learned counsel for petitioner submits that 'live and proximate link' between the grounds of detention and purpose of detention has snapped as date of arrest in the ground case is 30.06.2022 but the impugned detention order has been made only on 24.08.2022.

6. Mr.R.Muniyapparaj, learned State Additional Public Prosecutor, submits to the contrary by saying that materials had to be collected and time was consumed in this exercise. Considering the facts / circumstances of the case on hand and nature of ground case, we find that this explanation of learned Prosecutor is unacceptable.

7. We remind ourselves of ***Sushanta Kumar Banik's*** case [***Sushanta Kumar Banik Vs. State of Tripura & others reported in 2022 LiveLaw***



H.C.P.No.1893 of 2022

(SC) 813 : 2022 SCC OnLine SC 1333]. To be noted, **Banik** case law

arose under 'Prevention of Illicit Traffic in Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1988' [hereinafter 'PIT NDPS Act' for the sake of brevity] in Tirupura, wherein after considering a proposal by a Sponsoring Authority and after noticing the trajectory the matter took, Hon'ble Supreme Court held that the 'live and proximate link between grounds of detention and purpose of detention snapping' point should be examined on a case to case basis. Hon'ble Supreme Court has held in **Banik** case law that this point has two facets. One facet is 'unreasonable delay' and the other facet is 'unexplained delay'. We find that the captioned matter falls under latter facet i.e., unexplained delay.

8. To be noted, **Banik** case has been respectfully followed by this Court in **Gomathi Vs.The Principal Secretary to Government and others** reported vide Neutral Citation of Madras High Court being **2023/MHC/334, Sadik Basha Yusuf Vs. The State of Tamil Nadu and others** reported vide Neutral Citation of Madras High Court being **2023/MHC/733, Sangeetha Vs. The Secretary to the Government and**



H.C.P.No.1893 of 2022

others reported vide Neutral Citation of Madras High Court being

2023:MHC:1110, N.Anitha Vs. The Secretary to Government and others

reported vide Neutral Citation of Madras High Court being

2023:MHC:1159 and a series of other orders in HCP cases.

9. Before concluding, we also remind ourselves that preventive detention is not a punishment and HCP is a high prerogative writ.

10. Apropos, the sequitur is, captioned HCP is allowed. Impugned detention order dated 24.08.2022 bearing reference C.O.C.No.31/2022 made by the second respondent is set aside and the detenu Thiru.Chandramohan, aged 44 years, son of Thiru.Savurirajan, is directed to be set at liberty forthwith, if not required in connection with any other case / cases. There shall be no order as to costs.

(M.S.,J.)

(D.N.R.,J.)

06.04.2023

Index : Yes

Speaking

Neutral Citation : Yes

mk

P.S: Registry to forthwith communicate this order to Jail authorities in Central Prison, Thiruchirappalli.

Page Nos.6/8



WEB COPY



H.C.P.No.1893 of 2022

To

1. The State of Tamil Nadu
Rep. by its Additional Chief Secretary to Government
Home, Prohibition and Excise Department
Fort St.George
Chennai-600 009.
2. The District Collector & the District Magistrate
Mayiladuthurai District
Mayiladuthurai.
3. The Superintendent of Police
Mayiladuthurai
Nagapattinam District.
4. The Inspector of Police
Anaikaranchatram Police Station
Mayiladuthurai District.
5. The Superintendent o Prison
Central Prison
Thiruchirappalli.
6. The Public Prosecutor
High Court, Madras.



WEB COPY



H.C.P.No.1893 of 2022

M.SUNDAR, J.,
and
Dr.D.NAGARJUN, J.,

mk

H.C.P.No.1893 of 2022

06.04.2023

Page Nos.8/8