

Crl.O.P.No.18768 of 2023WEB C **RMT. TEEKAA RAMAN.,J.**

The petitioner/A2, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offence punishable under Sections 3(1), 4(1), 5(1)(a), 5(1)(b) of Immoral Traffic Prevention Act, 1956 in Crime No.373 of 2023 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that on 13.07.2023, the de-facto complainant and their police team had raided the lodge owned by the petitioner, named as SASA lodge at Polur road, Thiruvannamalai. On the room, one woman named Manjula along with male partner present, on enquiry she allege that she was housemaid and there was no sufficient income. At that time she was being approached by A1 Ramalingam, had done illegal brothel in the lodge owned by the petitioner. Hence the case.

3.The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent person and he has been falsely implicated in this case. Hence, he prayed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.



WEB COPY

Crl.O.P.No.18768 of 20



RMT. TEEKAA RAMAN.,J.

nvi

4.Learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police would submit that the petitioner is the owner of the lodge and doing brothal business. Hence, he opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5.Heard the learned Counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate (crl.side) and perused the materials available on record.

6.Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case, the submissions made by the learned counsel on either side and considering the gravity of the offence charged against the petitioner, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

7.Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

24.08.2023

nvi

Crl.O.P.No.18768 of 2023