



WEB COPY



Cont.P.No.2064 of 2022

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 26.09.2023

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE C.V.KARTHIKEYAN

Cont.P.No.2064 of 2022

Munusamy

... Petitioner

Versus

1.Udhayachandran, I.A.S.,
Secretary
Government of Tamil Nadu,
Fort St. George,
Chennai – 600 009.

2.A.P.Usha
Senior Divisional Manager
The United India Insurance Co. Ltd.
Divisional Office VI
5th Floor, P.L.A.Rathna Towers
No.212, Annasalai, Chennai – 600 006.

3.K.Vijayendra Pandian, I.A.S.,
The Commissioner of Treasuries and Accounts
2nd Floor, Panagal Building
Jeenish Road, Saidapet,
Chennai – 15.

4.Dr.M.K.Ponnuraj
The Joint Director,
Rural Health Service
Dharmapuri District.

... Respondents



Cont.P.No.2064 of 2022

Prayer :- This petition filed under Section 11 of Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 to punish the respondents for willful disobedience of the order dated 31.01.2022 passed in W.P.No.24524 of 2018.

For Petitioner : Mr. V.Sakkarapani

For Respondents : Mr. S. Ravikumar, SGP

ORDER

This petition has been filed seeking to punish the respondents for the willful disobedience of the order dated 31.01.2022 in W.P.No.24524 of 2018.

2.A sum of Rs.1,26,000/- had been paid to the petitioner herein and the learned Special Government Pleader had also forwarded a copy of communication in this regard from the Commissioner of Treasuries, Chennai addressed to the Principal Secretary Finance (Health Insurance) Department. The learned counsel for the petitioner also admits receipt of a sum of Rs.1,26,000/-. However, the grievance is that the bill was for Rs.4,97,248/-. The Court had adjourned the matter asking upon the petitioner to give a breakup for the aforementioned sum of Rs.4,97,248/-.



Cont.P.No.2064 of 2022

WEB COPY

3.The breakup details have not been given. It is specifically contended on behalf of the respondents that with respect to the treatment at Aishwaryam Hospital and in Fortis Hospital even the discharge summary has not been given by the petitioner.

4.In view of the above, this contempt petition stands closed. If the petitioner is able to get the breakup details and finds that heads for which reimbursement should be given have not been given, the petitioner is always at liberty to file a fresh contempt petition.

26.09.2023

smv

Neutral Citation : Yes / No

Index : Yes / No



WEB COPY



Cont.P.No.2064 of 2022

C.V. KARTHIKEYAN, J.

smv

Cont.P.No.2064 of 2022

26.09.2023