



H.C.P.No.1789 of 2022

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 27.03.2023

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE M.SUNDAR
and

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE M.NIRMAL KUMAR

H.C.P.No.1789 of 2022

Radha

.. Petitioner

Vs.

1.The Secretary to the Government,
Government of Tamil Nadu,
(Home) Prohibition and Excise Dept.,
Fort St. George, Chennai – 600 009.

2.The District Collector and District Magistrate,
Chengalpattu District, Chengalpattu.

3.The Superintendent of Police,
Chengalpattu District,
Chengalpattu.

4.The Superintendent,
Central Prison, Puzhal,
Chennai.

5.Inspector of Police,
D3-Palur Police Station,
Chengalpattu District.

.. Respondents

Page Nos.1/8



H.C.P.No.1789 of 2022

Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying for issuance of a writ of habeas corpus calling for the entire records connected with the order of the second respondent herein concerned in CPT.No.44/2022 dated 12.08.2022 and quash the order of detention passed therein by the second respondent herein against the detenu and consequently directing the respondents herein to produce the body and person of the detenu by name Arumugam aged about 53 years, son of Sriram Pillai now detained at Central Prison, Puzhal, Chennai before this Court and set him at liberty forthwith.

For Petitioner : Mr.S.Sasikumar
For Respondents : Mr.R.Muniyapparaj
Additional Public Prosecutor
Assisted by Mr.M.Sylvester John

ORDER

[Order of the Court was made by M.SUNDAR, J.,]

Captioned 'Habeas Corpus Petition' ['HCP' for the sake of brevity] has been filed by wife of detenu assailing a 'preventive detention order dated 12.08.2022 bearing reference CPT.No.44/2022 [hereinafter 'impugned detention order' for the sake of convenience and brevity]. To be noted, fifth respondent is the sponsoring authority and second respondent is the detaining authority as impugned detention order has been made by second respondent.

Page Nos.2/8



H.C.P.No.1789 of 2022

2.Impugned detention order has been made under 'The Tamil Nadu

Prevention of Dangerous Activities of Bootleggers, Cyber law offenders, Drug-offenders, Forest-offenders, Goondas, Immoral traffic offenders, Sand-offenders, Sexual-offenders, Slum-grabbers and Video Pirates Act, 1982 (Tamil Nadu Act No.14 of 1982)' [hereinafter 'Act 14 of 1982' for the sake of convenience and clarity] on the premise that the detenu is a 'Goonda' within the meaning of Section 2(f) of Act 14 of 1982.

3.There is no adverse case. The ground case which is the sole substratum of the impugned detention order is Crime No.146/2022 on the file of D-3 Palur Police Station for alleged offences under Section 302 of 'The Indian Penal Code (45 of 1860)' [hereinafter 'IPC' for the sake of convenience and clarity]. Owing to the nature of the challenge to the impugned detention order, it is not necessary to delve into the factual matrix or be detained further by facts.

4.Mr.S.Sasikumar, learned counsel on record for petitioner and Mr.R.Muniyapparaj, learned State Additional Public Prosecutor assisted by



H.C.P.No.1789 of 2022

Mr.M.Sylvestor John, learned counsel for all respondents are before us.

WEB COPY

5.Learned counsel for petitioner submits that 'live and proximate link' between the grounds of detention and purpose of detention has snapped as date of remand in the ground case is 30.06.2022 but the impugned detention order has been made only on 12.08.2022.

6.Mr.R.Muniyapparaj, learned State Additional Public Prosecutor, submits to the contrary by saying that materials had to be collected and time was consumed in this exercise. Considering the facts / circumstances of the case on hand and nature of ground case, we find that this explanation of learned Prosecutor is unacceptable.

7.We remind ourselves of ***Sushanta Kumar Banik's*** case [***Sushanta Kumar Banik Vs. State of Tripura & others reported in 2022 LiveLaw (SC) 813 : 2022 SCC OnLine SC 1333***]. To be noted, ***Banik*** case law arose under 'Prevention of Illicit Traffic in Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1988' [hereinafter 'PIT NDPS Act' for the sake of brevity] in



H.C.P.No.1789 of 2022

Tirupura, wherein after considering a proposal by a Sponsoring Authority and after noticing the trajectory the matter took, Hon'ble Supreme Court held that the 'live and proximate link between grounds of detention and purpose of detention snapping' point should be examined on a case to case basis. Hon'ble Supreme Court has held in **Banik** case law that this point has two facets. One facet is 'unreasonable delay' and the other facet is 'unexplained delay'. We find that the captioned matter falls under latter facet i.e., unexplained delay.

8.To be noted, **Banik** case has been respectfully followed by this Court in **Gomathi Vs.The Principal Secretary to Government and others** reported vide Neutral Citation of Madras High Court being **2023/MHC/334, Sadik Basha Yusuf Vs. The State of Tamil Nadu and others** reported vide Neutral Citation of Madras High Court being **2023/MHC/733, Sangeetha Vs. The Secretary to the Government and others** reported vide Neutral Citation of Madras High Court being **2023:MHC:1110, N.Anitha Vs. The Secretary to Government and others** reported vide Neutral Citation of Madras High Court being



H.C.P.No.1789 of 2022

2023:MHC:1159 and a series of other orders in HCP cases.

WEB COPY

9.Before concluding, we also remind ourselves that preventive detention is not a punishment and HCP is a high prerogative writ.

10.Apropos, the sequitur is, captioned HCP is allowed. Impugned detention order dated 12.08.2022 bearing reference CPT.No.44/2022 made by the second respondent is set aside and the detenu Thiru.Arumugam, male, aged 53 years, son of Thiru.Sriram Pillai is directed to be set at liberty forthwith, if not required in connection with any other case / cases. There shall be no order as to costs.

(M.S.,J.) (M.N.K.,J.)
27.03.2023

Index : Yes / No
Speaking / Non-speaking
Neutral Citation : Yes / No
cse

P.S: Registry to forthwith communicate this order to Jail authorities in Central Prison, Puzhal, Chennai.



H.C.P.No.1789 of 2022

WEB COPY

To

- 1.The Secretary to the Government,
Government of Tamil Nadu,
(Home) Prohibition and Excise Dept.,
Fort St. George, Chennai – 600 009.
- 2.The District Collector and District Magistrate,
Chengalpattu District, Chengalpattu.
- 3.The Superintendent of Police,
Chengalpattu District,
Chengalpattu.
- 4.The Superintendent,
Central Prison, Puzhal,
Chennai.
- 5.Inspector of Police,
D3-Palur Police Station,
Chengalpattu District.
- 6.The Public Prosecutor
High Court, Madras.



WEB COPY



H.C.P.No.1789 of 2022

M.SUNDAR, J.,
and
M.NIRMAL KUMAR, J.,

cse

H.C.P.No.1789 of 2022

27.03.2023

Page Nos.8/8