



H.C.P.No.1751 of 2022

THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 14.03.2023

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE **M.SUNDAR**
and
THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE **M.NIRMAL KUMAR**

H.C.P.No.1751 of 2022

Gothavari

.. Petitioner

Vs

- 1.The State of Tamil Nadu
Rep. By its Secretary to the Government,
Home, Prohibition and Excise Department,
Fort St. George,
Chennai - 9.
- 2.The Commissioner of Police, Salem City,
Salem District.
- 3.The Superintendent,
Central Prison, Salem.
- 4.State Rep. By The Inspector of Police,
Sooramangalam Police Station,
Salem District.

.. Respondents

Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying for issuance of a writ of habeas corpus to call for the entire records leading to the detention of petitioner's son, the detenu Arulmani @ Duke Arul, aged 25, son of Ellappan, presently detained in Central Prison, Salem under Act 14/1982, branded as 'Goonda' vide

Page Nos.1/7



H.C.P.No.1751 of 2022

the detention order dated 28.07.2022 in C.M.P.No.82/Goonda/Salem City/2022 on the file of the 2nd respondent herein, directing to produce the person or body of the detenu Arulmani @ Duke Arul, aged 25, son of Ellappan, before this Court and thereafter set him at liberty from Central Prison, Salem.

For Petitioner : Mr.R.Siranjeevi
for Ms.S.Sengkodi

For Respondents : Mr.R.Muniyapparaj
Additional Public Prosecutor

ORDER

[Order of the Court was made by **M.SUNDAR, J.,**]

Captioned 'Habeas Corpus Petition' ['HCP' for the sake of brevity] has been filed by mother of detenu assailing a 'preventive detention order dated 28.07.2022 bearing reference C.M.P.No.82/Goonda/Salem City/2022' [hereinafter 'impugned detention order' for the sake of convenience and brevity]. To be noted, fourth respondent is the sponsoring authority and second respondent is the detaining authority as impugned detention order has been made by second respondent.

2. Impugned detention order has been made under 'The Tamil



H.C.P.No.1751 of 2022

Nadu Prevention of Dangerous Activities of Bootleggers, Cyber law offenders, Drug-offenders, Forest-offenders, Goondas, Immoral traffic offenders, Sand-offenders, Sexual-offenders, Slum-grabbers and Video Pirates Act, 1982 (Tamil Nadu Act No.14 of 1982)' [hereinafter 'Act 14 of 1982' for the sake of convenience and clarity] on the premise that the detenu is a 'Goonda' within the meaning of Section 2(f) of Act 14 of 1982.

3. There are three adverse cases. The ground case which is the sole substratum of the impugned detention order is Crime No.346 of 2022 on the file of Sooramangalam Police Station for alleged offences under Sections 341, 392, 397 and 506 (ii) of 'The Indian Penal Code (45 of 1860)' [hereinafter 'IPC' for the sake of convenience and clarity]. Owing to the nature of the challenge to the impugned detention order, it is not necessary to delve into the factual matrix or be detained further by facts.

4. Mr.R.Siranjeevi, learned counsel representing counsel on record for petitioner and Mr.R.Muniyapparaj, learned State Additional Public Prosecutor for all respondents are before us.

Page Nos.3/7



H.C.P.No.1751 of 2022

5. Learned counsel for petitioner submits that 'live and proximate link' between the grounds of detention and purpose of detention has snapped as date of remand in the ground case is 28.05.2022 but the impugned detention order has been made only on 28.07.2022.

6. Mr.R.Muniyapparaj, learned State Additional Public Prosecutor, submits to the contrary by saying that materials had to be collected and time was consumed for the same. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and nature of ground case, we find that this explanation of learned State Additional Public Prosecutor is unacceptable.

7. We remind ourselves of ***Sushanta Kumar Banik's*** case [***Sushanta Kumar Banik Vs. State of Tripura & others reported in 2022 LiveLaw (SC) 813 : 2022 SCC OnLine SC 1333***]. To be noted, ***Banik*** case law arose under 'Prevention of Illicit Traffic in Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1988' [hereinafter 'PIT NDPS Act' for the sake of brevity] in Tirupura, wherein after considering the proposal by the Sponsoring Authority and after



H.C.P.No.1751 of 2022

noticing the trajectory the matter took, Hon'ble Supreme Court held that the 'live and proximate link between grounds of detention and purpose of detention snapping' point should be examined on a case to case basis. Hon'ble Supreme Court has held in **Banik** case law that this point has two facets. One facet is 'unreasonable delay' and other facet is 'unexplained delay'. We find that the captioned matter falls under latter facet i.e., unexplained delay.

8. To be noted, **Banik** case has been respectfully followed by this Bench in **Gomathi Vs.The Principal Secretary to Government and others** reported vide Neutral Citation of Madras High Court being **2023/MHC/334, Sadik Basha Yusuf Vs. The State of Tamil Nadu and others** reported vide Neutral Citation of Madras High Court being **2023/MHC/733** and series of other HCP matters.

9. Before concluding, we also remind ourselves that preventive detention is not a punishment and HCP is a high prerogative writ.

10. Apropos, the sequitur is, captioned HCP is allowed. Impugned detention order dated 28.07.2022 bearing reference

Page Nos.5/7



H.C.P.No.1751 of 2022

C.M.P.No.82/Goonda/Salem City/2022 made by the second respondent is set aside and the detenu Thiru.Arulmani @ Duke Arul, male, aged 25 years, son of Thiru.Ellappan is directed to be set at liberty forthwith, if not required in connection with any other case / cases. There shall be no order as to costs.

(M.S.,J.) (M.N.K.,J.)
14.03.2023

Index : Yes / No
Neutral Citation : Yes / No
mmi

P.S: Registry to forthwith communicate this order to Jail authorities in Central Prison, Salem.

To

- 1.The Secretary to the Government,
Home, Prohibition and Excise Department,
Fort St. George, Chennai - 9.
- 2.The Commissioner of Police,
Salem City, Salem District.
- 3.The Superintendent,
Central Prison, Salem.
- 4.The Inspector of Police,
Sooramangalam Police Station,
Salem District.
- 5.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.

Page Nos.6/7



WEB COPY



H.C.P.No.1751 of 2022

**M.SUNDAR, J.,
and
M.NIRMAL KUMAR, J.,**

mmi

H.C.P.No.1751 of 2022

14.03.2023

Page Nos.7/7