



W.P.No.23740 of 2019

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 29.08.2023

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S.M.SUBRAMANIAM

W.P.No.23740 of 2019

The Authorised Officer/ Chief Manager,
Bank of Baroda,
Regional Stressed Assests Recovery Branch (ROSARB)
82, Bank Road, 1st Floor,
Coimbatore- 641018.

... Petitioner

Vs.

1. The Sub Registrar,
Office of the Sub Registrar,
Tiruchengode, Namakkal District.

2. Mr.P.Sundararajan

3. M/s.Margadarsi Chits Pvt Ltd
Rep by its Foreman, Mr. K. Venkatesan.

... Respondents

Prayer:- Writ petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying for issuance of a writ of Ceriorarified Mandamus, calling for the records of the order of the First Respondent dated 13.5.2019 bearing NA.KA.No. 252/2019 and quash the same and consequently direct the first Respondent to register the sale Certificate dated 15.4.2019 issued by the Petitioner.



W.P.No.23740 of 2019

WEB COPY

For Petitioner : Mr.S.Mohan

For Respondents
(for R1) : Mr.R.Ramanlaal
Additional Advocate General,
assisted by
Mr.T.Arun Kumar
Additional Government Pleader

(for R2) : Mr.S.Viswanathan for
M/s.Dass & Viswa Associates

(for R3) : D.Shiva Kumaran

ORDER

The Writ on hand had been instituted to call for the records of the order of the First Respondent dated 13.5.2019 bearing NA.KA.No. 252/2019 and quash the same and consequently direct the first Respondent to register the sale Certificate dated 15.4.2019 issued by the Petitioner.

2. Detailed adjudication of facts may not be required since the issue raised in this writ petition is whether the sale certificate issued by the authorised officer under the SARFAESI Act is to be entered in the Book No.1 by the Registering Authority under the Registration Act.



W.P.No.23740 of 2019

3. The secured creditor holding first charge over the property initiated auction to recover the dues. The properties were sold through public auction. The highest bidder who purchased the property was issued with a Sale Certificate by the Authorised Officer. Under Section 89(4) of the Registration Act, the Authorised Officer issued a communication to the Registering Authority concerned to make entries in Book No.1 by following the procedures as contemplated under Section 89(4) of the Registration Act. Since, the said exercise was not done by the Registering Authority, the petitioner is constrained to move the present Writ Petition.

4. The learned Senior Counsel appearing on behalf of the writ petitioner mainly contended that the issue in this regard is no more res integra and repeatedly affirmed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. In this context, the learned Senior Counsel appearing on behalf of the Writ petitioner drew the attention of this Court with reference to the judgment of the Apex Court in the case of *Esjaypee Impex Private Limited Vs. Assistant General Manager and Authorised Officer, Canara Bank*, reported in **2021 (11) SCC 537**, wherein the Hon'ble Supreme Court held as follows:

“16. We are of the view that the mandate of law in



W.P.No.23740 of 2019

terms of Section 17(2)(xii) read with Section 89(4) of the Registration Act, 1908 only required the authorised officer of the Bank under the SARFAESI Act to hand over the duly validated sale certificate to the auction-purchaser with a copy forwarded to the registering authorities to be filed in Book I as per Section 89 of the Registration Act.”

5. The findings in paragraph 16 of Esjaypee Impex Private Limited case, the Hon'ble Supreme Court issued clarifications in the case ***Reality Associates Vs. The Assistant General Manager and Authorized Officer*** in SLP(C) No.29752/2019 dated 29.10.2021. The clarification reads as under:

“The direction has already been passed on 05.01.2021 for the duly validated certificate to be issued to the auction purchaser with a copy forwarded to the registering authorities to be filed in Book I as per Section 89 of the Registration Act. We may note that the effect of filing of the copies under the said Section 89 has the same effect as registration and obviates the requirement of any further action. The compliance of our direction already made on 05.01.2021 will not be impeded by any High Court judgment. Our order to be duly complied by the




W.P.No.23740 of 2019

registering authority.

The applications stand disposed of.”

6. Subsequently, the Apex Court in **SLP(C) No.16949/2022** in the case of ***Inspector General of Registration versus G. Madhurampal*** passed an order on 11.11.2022 as under:

“It is logically so as this issue has been repeatedly settled and if one may say, a consistent view followed for the last 150 years. We may refer to the judgments by the Madras High Court in the Board of Revenue No.2 of 1875 (In Re: Case Referred) dated 19.10.1875 opining that a certificate of sale cannot be regarded as a conveyance subject to stamp duty, by the Allahabad High Court in Adit Ram V. Masarat-un-Nissa opining that a sale certificate is not an instrument of the kind mentioned in clause (b) of Section 17 of the Act III of 1877 and is not compulsorily registrable and this Court's view in Esjaypee Impex Pvt. Ltd. V. Asst. General Manager and Authorised Officer, Canara Bank opining that the mandate of law in terms of Section 17(2)(xii) read with Section 89(4) of the Registration Act, 1908 only required the Authorised Officer of the Bank under the SARFAESI Act to hand over the duly validated Sale Certificate to the Auction Purchase with a copy forwarded to the Registering Authorities to be



The special leave petitions are dismissed."

7. The learned Additional Advocate General, appearing on behalf of the State made a submission that the Government suffered revenue loss on account of such registration of Sale Certificates made without recovering the stamp duty. The auction purchasers, mostly private individuals, are not entitled to file the benefit under Section 89(4) of the Act since the transfer of property was made in favour of individual persons in the case of an



W.P.No.23740 of 2019

auction sale under the SARFASI Act. However, the amendments made in this regard are already under challenge before this Court and an Interim stay was granted.

8. The learned Additional Advocate General drew the attention of this Court with reference to the Writ Appeal Nos.1271, 1134 & 1270 of 2023 filed against the orders passed by the learned Single Judges. In yet another Writ Appeal No.2162/2022, the Hon'ble Division Bench granted an Interim Stay and the Writ Appeal is pending. However, those Writ Appeals may not be a ground for keeping the present Writ Petition pending or to follow the judgement of the Hon'ble Division Bench of this Court. More so, the three judges Bench of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in the case of 2021 (11) SCC 537 reiterated the principles in the case of *Esjaypee Impex Pvt. Ltd.*, cited supra. Thus, this Court has to follow the said precedents for the purpose of considering the present writ petition.

9. Section 17 of the Registration Act speaks about documents of which registration is compulsory. Therefore, if any person is presenting a sale certificate for registration under Section 17 of the Registration Act, the Registering Authority is empowered to recover the Stamp Duty as



W.P.No.23740 of 2019

applicable and register the Sale Certificate by following the procedures as contemplated. But, if the Sale Certificate issued under the SARFAESI Act by the Authorised Officer has been communicated to the Registering Authority under Section 89(4) of the Registration Act, then the Registering Authority shall file the copy of the sale certificate in his Book No.1 or get it scanned. In such circumstances, the Registering Authority shall not recover the Stamp Duty since, the process of Registration under Section 17 has not undergone.

10. The procedure to be followed under Section 17 and under Section 89(4) are distinct and different. Section 89(4) was intended in respect of the recovery of public dues and thus, the process contemplated under Section 17 of the Registration Act has been waived. Thus, the Authorised Officer is at liberty to send the sale certificate to the Registering Authority by specifically quoting the provision under Section 89(4) of the Registration Act and in the event of any such preceding any such communication from the Authorised Officer under the SARFAESI Act, the Registering Authority has to make entries in his Book No.1 or get it scanned as contemplated under Section 89(4) of the Registration Act. The entry of Sale Certificate in Book No.1 under Section 89(4) of the



W.P.No.23740 of 2019

Registration Act has the same effect as registration and obviates requirement of no further action. In the case of G.Madhurampal cited supra, the Hon'ble Apex Court reiterated the above position.

11. The Security Interest (Enforcement) Rules 2002 contemplates procedure for sending of Sale Certificate by the Authorised Officer under SARFAESI Act. Rule 9 contemplates time of sale, issue of sale certificate and delivery of possession etc. The Sub-Rule 6 to Rule 9 states that “on confirmation of sale by the secured creditor and if the terms of payment have been complied with, the Authorised Officer exercising the power of sale shall issue the certificate of sale of the immovable property in favour of the purchaser in the form given in appendix V to these Rules”. Sub Rule 7, 8, 9 and 10 to Rule 9 contemplates the procedures for the purpose of discharging the other encumbrances. A Sale Certificate may be issued under Sub Rule 6 to Rule 9, specifically mentioning that whether the purchaser has purchased the immovable secured asset free from any encumbrances. Form given in Appendix V of the Rule indicates that the list of encumbrances are also to be furnished by the Authorised Officer under the Rules. If any such encumbrance has been notified by the Authorised Officer under the form given in Appendix V of the Rules, then the



W.P.No.23740 of 2019

Registering Authority is empowered to refuse registration under Section 22B of the Registration Act. In other words, the sale certificate issued under rule 9(6) of the Security Interest (Enforcement) Rules and free from encumbrances, is registrable under Section 89(4) or under Section 17 of the Registration Act. If at all any encumbrance has been listed out in the sale certificate issued in the form given in Appendix V and such encumbrances are already entered in the encumbrance certificate by Registering Authority under the Registration Act, then such Registering Authority is empowered to refuse registration by invoking Section 22B of the Registration Act, provided such encumbrances are falling under any one of the Prohibition under Section 22B of the Act.

12. Therefore, it is made clear that the Sale Certificate issued by the Authorised officer free from other encumbrances are directly registrable under Section 89(4) of the Registration Act or under Section 17 as the case may be.

13. The registering Authority on receipt of any Sale Certificate, sent by the Authorised Officer under Section 89(4) of the Registration Act, is empowered to scrutinise the same and ascertain whether there is any



W.P.No.23740 of 2019

other encumbrances or otherwise have been mentioned falling under Section 22(A) or 22(B) of the Registration Act. If so, he is empowered to refuse registration either under Section 17 or under section 89(4) of the Act.

14. The interpretation, as offered by the learned Additional Advocate General with reference to the purpose, object and the context of Section 89(4) of the Registration Act, deserves no further consideration in the present writ petition in view of the fact that the Hon'ble Supreme Court has reiterated the legal position in more than one occasion and thus, the said position is to be followed. Thus, the following orders are passed:

(i) The Authorised Officer, under the SARFAESI Act, shall communicate the copy of the Sale Certificate to the Registering Authority under Section 89(4) of the Registration Act.

(ii) On receipt of any such communication along with the sale certificate from the Authorised Officer, the Registering Authority shall make entry in his Book No.1 or get it scanned.

(iii) In the event of any person, presenting the sale certificate for registration under Section 17 of the Registration Act, the Registering Authority shall receive and register the same by following the



W.P.No.23740 of 2019

procedures as contemplated under the Registration Act by recovering the required Stamp Duty as contemplated.

(iv) The registering authority, on receipt of the Sale Certificate is empowered to ascertain whether there is any impediment for registration with reference to Section 22A or 22B of the Registration Act. If the Sale Certificate is hit by any of the provisions of the Registration Act, then the registering authority shall refuse to make entries in the relevant register.

(v) The said exercise is directed to be completed by the Registering Authority within a period of four (4) weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order if the sale certificate has been already communicated by the Authorised Officer under Section 89(4) of the Registration Act.

(vi) The respondents are directed to follow the above directions based on the individual facts involved in the this Writ Petition.

15. Accordingly, with the above directions, the writ petition stands **disposed of.** No costs.

29.08.2023

Index : Yes
Speaking Order
Neutral Citation : Yes
(sha)



W.P.No.23740 of 2019

To
WEB COPY

The Sub Registrar,
Office of the Sub Registrar,
Tiruchengode, Namakkal District



WEB COPY



W.P.No.23740 of 2019

S.M.SUBRAMANIAM. J.,

(sha)

W.P.No.23740 of 2019

29.08.2023