



W.P. No.24035 of 2021

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 03.11.2023

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE MOHAMMED SHAFFIQ

W.P. No.24035 of 2021 and
W.M.P. Nos.25357, 25361 and 25363 of 2021

AGS Mall

(Rep.by its Partner)

3/33, Chinnakulam Mariamman Koil Street,
Thirupathur, Vellore District - 635601.

.. Petitioner

Vs.

1. The Deputy Commissioner of Income Tax,
Central Circle - 3(4)
Income Tax Department,
46, Mahatma Gandhi Road,
Chennai - 600 034.

2. The Principal Commissioner of Income Tax - 1,
Income Tax Department,
46, Mahatma Gandhi Road,
Chennai - 600 034.

..Respondents

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying to issue a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus to call for the records of the Writ Petitioner on the file of the First Respondent to quash the impugned order dated 29.09.2021 passed u/s 143 (3) of the Income Tax Act, 1961 for the Assessment Year 2019-20 in ITBA/AST/S/143(3)/2021-22/1036035790(1) and consequently direct the First Respondent to complete the fresh assessment for the assessment year 2019-20 after granting reasonable / sufficient opportunity of hearing.

For Petitioner : Mr.A.S.Sriraman



WEB COPY



W.P. No.24035 of 2021

For Respondent : Mr.A.P.Srinivas
Senior Standing Counsel

ORDER

The impugned order is challenged on the limited ground that the same has been made without granting adequate opportunity to the petitioner. There was a survey and operation on 07.02.2019 under Section 133 A of the Income Tax Act, 1961 (hereinafter referred to as "the Act") of the petitioner's place of business. The petitioner which is a partnership firm has filed its return of income of Rs.1.04 crores on 31.01.2020. The return of income was taken up for compulsory scrutiny by issuance of notice dated 19.10.2020 under Section 143(2) of the Act. A notice under Section 142(1) of the Act was issued on 16.02.2021 by the 1st respondent calling for various details to be produced by the petitioner on or before 23.02.2021. In response, the petitioner submitted a letter requesting time to furnish the accounts and other documents sought for. In the meanwhile, notice under Section 129 of the Act was issued on 17.09.2021 whereby the petitioner was informed that he (Mr.Balamithra) had taken charge of the office of the 1st Respondent while calling upon the petitioner to submit books of account and other details to substantiate the claim in terms of the returns filed. This was followed by another notice on the very same day under Section 142(1) of the Act calling upon the petitioner to



W.P. No.24035 of 2021

respond to the questionnaire issued on 16.02.2021 on or before 22.09.2021.

Thereafter, a show cause notice dated 21.09.2021 was issued calling upon the petitioner to submit evidence on or before 24.09.2021. The impugned order of assessment was made on 25.09.2021 while recording that the petitioner had not complied with the notice under Section 142(1) of the Act dated 16.02.2021 and the notice issued on 21.09.2021. In view of the fact that the petitioner had not responded to any of the above notices, the impugned order came to be passed.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that all these notices were issued by E-mail between February, 2021 to September, 2021. During the said period, the entire nation was engulfed by a steep rise in Covid 19 and the movements were regulated. Resultantly, they had limited access to the office and was working with limited/ skeletal staff. The petitioner was unable to check the E-mail and in any view gathering and furnishing the requisite documents would have been extremely difficult if not impossible. Further the very fact that a series of notices were issued at very short intervals would also reveal that the entire proceeding was carried out in undue haste.


3. To the contrary, it was submitted by the learned counsel for the



respondent that the petitioner has an effective alternative remedy by way of appeal and thus the writ petition ought not to be entertained.

4. This Court is conscious of the fact that writ petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India would not be entertained normally if statutory remedy is available. However, existence of alternate remedy is not an embargo or an absolute bar to exercise power under Article 226 of the Constitution of India but a self-imposed restriction and the following circumstances viz., violation of principles of natural justice or lack of jurisdiction or error apparent on the face of the record are some of the exceptions carved out to the rule of alternate remedy for exercise of discretion under Article 226 of the Constitution of India.

5. This Court is of the view that outbreak of Covid pandemic in March 2020 followed by a steep surges periodically caused great hardship and affected normal life of everyone including the litigants. It is in view of the adversity faced by the litigants, the Hon'ble Supreme Court through a series of orders extended the period of limitation. Importantly, vide order dated 10.01.2022 it was directed that wherever limitation for any suit, appeal, application or proceeding would have expired during the period 15.03.2020 to 28.02.2022 the limitation was extended by



W.P. No.24035 of 2021

a period of 90 days from 01.03.2022 where the available period was less than 90 days. I had only referred to the above orders to indicate the magnitude of the difficulty faced by everyone in all walks of life. Viewed from the above perspective, I am inclined to accede to the request made by the petitioner for one final opportunity to putforth its case. In view thereof the petitioner is permitted to appear before the respondent on or before 15.12.2023 along with relevant documentary evidence. The petitioner shall be granted an opportunity of hearing on 15.12.2023 or on any other date that may be fixed by the Respondent depending on the issues involved and orders shall be passed after taking into account the objections / documents that may be filed in accordance with law. Failure to appear on 15.12.2023 would result in restoration of the impugned order.

6. With the above directions, the writ petition stands disposed of. No costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petitions are closed.

03.11.2023

Speaking (or) Non Speaking Order
Index: Yes/No
Neutral Citation: Yes/No
Spp/ Mka

MOHAMMED SHAFFIQ, J.



W.P. No.24035 of 2021

Spp/ Mka

To:

1. The Deputy Commissioner of Income Tax,
Central Circle - 3(4)
Income Tax Department,
46, Mahatma Gandhi Road,
Chennai - 600 034.

2. The Principal Commissioner of Income Tax - 1,
Income Tax Department,
46, Mahatma Gandhi Road,
Chennai - 600 034.

W.P. No.24035 of 2021 and
W.M.P. Nos.25357, 25361 and 25363 of 2021

03.11.2023