



HCP.No.1508/2023

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED 12.12.2023

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR . JUSTICE S.S.SUNDAR

AND

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUNDER MOHAN

H.C.P.No.1508/2023

J.Hasina

..

Petitioner

Versus

1.The State of Tamil Nadu rep.by
its Secretary to Government
Home, Prohibition & Excise Department
Secretariat, Chennai-600 009.

2.The District Magistrate and District Collector
Erode District, Erode.

3.The Superintendent of Prison
Central Prison, Coimbatore.

4.The Superintendent of Police
Erode District, Erode.

5.The Inspector of Police
Erode Town Police Station
Erode.

..

Respondents



HCP.No.1508/2023

Prayer:- Habeas Corpus Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying for a Writ of Habeas Corpus calling for the entire records from the 2nd respondent in connection with the order in CrI.MP.No.14/Goonda/2023/C1 dated 28.06.2023 and quash the same and produce the petitioner's son namely Babu @ Baburaj son of Johny aged 26 years now confined in Central Prison, Coimbatore under the Tamil Nadu Act 14 of 1982 before this Court and set him at liberty.

For Petitioner : Mr.P.Pugalenth

For Respondents : Mr.E.Raj Thilak
Additional Public Prosecutor
assisted by Mr.Aravind.C

ORDER

[Order of the Court was made by S.S.SUNDAR, J.]

- (1)The petitioner, mother of the detenu , has come forward with this petition challenging the detention order passed by the 2nd respondent dated 28.06.2023 slapped on her son, branding him as "Goonda" under the Tamil Nadu Act 14 of 1982.
- (2)Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondents.



HCP.No.1508/2023

WEB COPY

(3) Though several grounds are raised in the petition, the learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the order of Detention passed by the Detaining Authority is vitiated as the detenu is deprived of a fair opportunity to make an effective representation on account of the fact that the information relating to the History Sheet in the English version has not been translated in the vernacular language.

(4) On a perusal of the Booklet, this Court finds the English version of the History Sheet information relating to the detenu is in page No.135. However, the said vital document is not made available to the detenu in the vernacular language. It is in the said circumstances, this Court finds that the detenu is deprived of his right to make effective representation to the authorities concerned as against the Detention Order.

(5) In this context, it is useful to refer to the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***Powanammal Vs. State of Tamil Nadu*** reported in ***(1999) 2 SCC 413***. The Hon'ble Supreme Court had occasion to deal with similar situation where in the Grounds of Detention referred to an order remanding the detenu therein to judicial custody was in English language. Since the tamil version of the document was not supplied to the detenu



HCP.No.1508/2023

WEB COPY

therein, a specific issue was raised by the Hon'ble Supreme Court whether failure to supply tamil version of the remand order passed in English, a language not known to the detenu therein, would vitiate the detenu's further detention. The Hon'ble Supreme Court, after discussing the safeguards embodied in Article 22[5] of the Constitution, observed that the detenu should be afforded an opportunity of making representation effectively against the Detention Order and that, the failure to supply every material in the language which can be understood by the detenu, is imperative. In the said context, the Hon'ble Supreme Court has held in Paragraphs 9 and 16 {as in SCC journal} as follows:

"9.However, this Court has maintained a distinction between a document which has been relied upon by the detaining authority in the grounds of detention and a document which finds a mere reference in the grounds of detention. Whereas the non-supply of a copy of the document relied upon in the grounds of detention has been held to be fatal to continued detention, the detenu need not show that any prejudice is caused to him. This is because the non-supply of such a document would amount to



HCP.No.1508/2023

denial of the right of being communicated the grounds and of being afforded the opportunity of making an effective representation against the order. But it would not be so where the document merely finds a reference in the order of detention or among the grounds thereof. In such a case, the detenu's complaint of non-supply of document has to be supported by prejudice caused to him in making an effective representation. What applies to a document would equally apply to furnishing a translated copy of the document in the language known to and understood by the detenu, should the document be in a different language.

.....

16. *For the above reasons, in our view, the non-supply of the Tamil version of the English document, on the facts and in the circumstances, renders her continued detention illegal. We, therefore, direct that the detenue be set free forthwith unless she is required to be detained in any other case. The appeal is accordingly allowed."*



HCP.No.1508/2023

WEB COPY

(6) In view of the ratio laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court and in view of the aforesaid facts, this Court is of the view that the detention order is liable to be quashed.

(7) Accordingly, the detention order passed by the 2nd respondent dated 28.06.2023 in CrI.MP.No.14/Goonda/2023/C1 is hereby set aside and the Habeas Corpus Petition is allowed. The detenu is directed to be set at liberty forthwith unless he is required in connection with any other case.

[S.S.S.R., J.] [S.M, J.]
12.12.2023

AP
Internet : Yes



HCP.No.1508/2023

WEB COPY

To

- 1.The Secretary to Government
State of Tamil Nadu
Home, Prohibition & Excise Department
Secretariat, Chennai-9.
- 2.The District Magistrate and District Collector
Erode District, Erode.
- 3.The Superintendent of Prison
Central Prison, Coimbatore.
- 4.The Superintendent of Police
Erode District, Erode.
- 5.The Inspector of Police
Erode Town Police Station
Erode.
- 6.The Public Prosecutor
High Court, Madras.



WEB COPY



HCP.No.1508/2023

S.S.SUNDAR, J.,
AND
SUNDER MOHAN, J.,

AP

H.C.P.No.1508/2023

12.12.2023