



H.C.P.No.1433 of 2023

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 18.12.2023

CORAM :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S.S. SUNDAR

AND

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUNDER MOHAN

H.C.P.No.1433 of 2023

S.Sasikala

... Petitioner

Vs.

1.The State of Tamil Nadu,
Represented by the Additional Chief Secretary to Government,
Home, Prohibition and Excise Department,
Secretariat, Chennai – 600 009.

2.The Commissioner of Police,
Greater Chennai,
Veppery, Chennai – 600 007.

3.The Superintendent of Prisons,
Central Prison,
Puzhal, Chennai – 600 066.

4.The Inspector of Police,
S-8 Adambakkam Police Station,
Chennai.

... Respondents



H.C.P.No.1433 of 2023

Prayer : Habeas Corpus Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying for the issuance of a Writ of Habeas Corpus to call for the entire records from the 2nd respondent in connection with order BCDFGISSSV No.294 of 2023, dated 06.07.2023, and quash the same and produce the petitioner's son namely Vimal @ Vimalraj, S/o. Sureshkumar, aged 27 years, now confined in Central Prison, Puzhal, Chennai, under the Tamil Nadu act 14 of 1982 before this Hon'ble court and set him at liberty.

For Petitioner : M/s.S.Nadhiya
For Respondents : Mr.E.Raj Thilak
Additional Public Prosecutor
assisted by Aravind C.

ORDER

(Order of the Court was made by **S.S. SUNDAR, J.**)

The petitioner, mother of the detenu Vimal @ Vimalraj, S/o.Sureshkumar, aged 27 years, has come forward with this petition challenging the detention order passed by the 2nd respondent dated 06.07.2023 slapped on her son, branding him as "Goonda" under the Tamil Nadu Prevention of Dangerous Activities of Bootleggers, Drug Offenders,



H.C.P.No.1433 of 2023

Forest Offenders, Goondas, Immoral Traffic Offenders, Sand Offenders,
Slum Grabbers and Video Pirates Act, 1982 [Tamil Nadu Act 14 of 1982].

2.Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned
Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondents.

3.Though several grounds are raised in the petition, the learned
counsel for the petitioner pointed out that the Detaining Authority has not
applied his mind while expressing his subjective satisfaction that the detenu
is also likely to be released on bail. It is his submission that the case relied
upon by the Detaining Authority, is not similar to the present case, as the
bail was granted in favour of the accused therein only by referring to Covid-
19 pandemic.

4.On a perusal of the Booklet, this Court finds that the bail order
passed in the case relied upon by the Detaining Authority, in
Crl.M.P.No.10485 of 2021, dated 26.05.2021, is not similar to the case on
hand, since the accused therein was released on bail mainly by citing



H.C.P.No.1433 of 2023

Covid-19. Therefore, this Court finds that the subjective satisfaction of the Detaining Authority is irrational and the detention order is liable to be quashed on the ground of non-application of mind.

5.The Hon'ble Supreme Court, in the case of *Rekha Vs. State of Tamil Nadu through Secretary to Government and Another* reported in *2011 [5] SCC 244*, has dealt with a situation where the Detention Order is passed without an application of mind. In case any of the reasons stated in the order of detention is non-existent or a material information is wrongly assumed, that will vitiate the Detention Order. In the instant case, the Detaining Authority has arrived at the subjective satisfaction that the detenu is likely to be released on bail by referring to a bail order granted to the accused in a similar case, wherein, the said bail was granted mainly by citing Covid-19 Pandemic. Therefore, the subjective satisfaction of the Detaining Authority that the detenu is likely to be released on bail suffers from non-application of mind. When the subjective satisfaction was irrational or there was non-application of mind, the Hon'ble Supreme Court held that the order of detention is liable to be quashed. It is relevant to extract paragraphs



No.10 and 11 of the said judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court:-

WEB COPY

“10.In our opinion, if details are given by the respondent authority about the alleged bail orders in similar cases mentioning the date of the orders, the bail application number, whether the bail order was passed in respect of the co-accused in the same case, and whether the case of the co-accused was on the same footing as the case of the petitioner, then, of course, it could be argued that there is likelihood of the accused being released on bail, because it is the normal practice of most courts that if a co-accused has been granted bail and his case is on the same footing as that of the petitioner, then the petitioner is ordinarily granted bail. However, the respondent authority should have given details about the alleged bail order in similar cases, which has not been done in the present case. A mere ipse dixit statement in the grounds of detention cannot sustain the detention order and has to be ignored.

11.In our opinion, the detention order in question only contains ipse dixit regarding the alleged imminent possibility of the accused coming out on bail



H.C.P.No.1433 of 2023

and there was no reliable material to this effect.

Hence, the detention order in question cannot be sustained."

6.In view of the ratio laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court and in view of the aforesaid facts, this Court is of the view that the detention order is liable to be quashed.

7.In view of the aforesaid reason, the detention order passed by the 2nd respondent in BCDFGISSSV No.294 of 2023, dated 06.07.2023, is hereby set aside and the Habeas Corpus Petition is allowed. The detenu viz., Vimal @ Vimalraj, S/o.Sureshkumar, aged 27 years, is directed to be set at liberty forthwith unless he is required in connection with any other case.

(S.S.S.R., J.) (S.M., J.)
18.12.2023

mkn
Internet : Yes
Index : Yes / No
Neutral Citation : Yes / No



H.C.P.No.1433 of 2023

To

WEB COPY

- 1.The Additional Chief Secretary to Government,
Home, Prohibition and Excise Department,
Secretariat, Chennai – 600 009.
- 2.The Commissioner of Police,
Greater Chennai,
Veppery, Chennai – 600 007.
- 3.The Superintendent of Prisons,
Central Prison,
Puzhal, Chennai – 600 066.
- 4.The Inspector of Police,
S-8 Adambakkam Police Station,
Chennai.
- 5.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.



WEB COPY



H.C.P.No.1433 of 2023

S.S. SUNDAR, J.
and
SUNDER MOHAN, J.

mkn

H.C.P.No.1433 of 2023

18.12.2023