



Crl.O.P.No.18279 of 2023

RMT.TEEKAA RAMAN, J.

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent Police for the alleged offences punishable under Sections 341, 294(b) and 307 of IPC, in Crime No.261 of 2022, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution as per the defacto complainant, who is the step-father of A1 is that due to previous enmity, A1 along with three other accused trespassed into the house of the defacto complainant, abused him and also assaulted him with knife. Hence the case.

3.The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person and the name of the petitioner does not find place in the first information report. He would further submit that A1 in this case has been arrested and later, enlarged on bail by the trial Court. Hence, he prayed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate appearing for the respondent Police submitted that A1 was granted statutory bail namely



WEB COPY

default bail by the learned XVI Metropolitan Magistrate, Georgetown. He would further submit that the injury sustained by the defacto complainant is grievous in nature. Hence, he opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner as well as the learned Government Advocate and perused the entire materials available on record.

6. On perusal of the Accident Registrar would shows that the defacto complainant sustained a fracture and he was also underwent a plastic surgery. Hence, considering the nature and gravity of the offence committed by the accused, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner for the present.

7. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed for the present.

18.08.2023

vkrr



WEB COPY



RMT.TEEKAA RAMAN, J.

vkp

CrI.O.P.No.18279 of 2023

18.08.2023