



WEB COPY

W.P.No.22192 of 2



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 27.07.2023

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE S.M.SUBRAMANIAM

W.P.No.22192 of 2023

1.L.Kulanthairaj

2.Annapoorani

3.Malar

... Petitioners

Vs.

1.The District Collector,
Erode District.

2.The Revenue Divisional Officer,
Gobichettipalayam,
Erode District.

3.The Tahsildar,
Thalavadi Taluk,
Thalavadi,
Erode District.

4.The Taluk Head Surveyor,
Thalavadi Taluk,
Thalavadi,
Erode District.

... Respondents



Prayer: Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, to issue a Writ of Mandamus, directing the respondents to take action to dispose of the petitioner's representation dated 16.06.2023, submitted with regard to survey the petitioner's land by meets and bounds, land properties of an total extent of hectares 0.40.50 (Acre 1.00 cents) comprised in Re-survey No.1553/1A, Vide Patta No.2988, situated at Mallankuli Village, Thalavadi Panchayat Union Limit, Thalavadi Taluk, Erode District, by making proper sub-division as per the settlement deed dated 23.05.2023 in Document No.956/2023.

For Petitioner : Mr.V.Logeswaren

For R1 to R4 : Mr.P.Sanjay Gandhi,
Government Advocate

ORDER

The writ petition has been filed for directing the respondents to take action to dispose of the petitioner's representation dated 16.06.2023, submitted with regard to survey the petitioner's land by meets and bounds, land properties of an total extent of hectares 0.40.50 (Acre 1.00 cents) comprised in Re-survey No.1553/1A, Vide Patta No.2988, situated at Mallankuli Village, Thalavadi Panchayat Union Limit, Thalavadi Taluk, Erode District, by making proper sub-division as per the settlement deed dated 23.05.2023 in Document No.956/2023.



WEB COPY

2. The issues raised in the present writ petition were adjudicated by this Court in a batch of writ petitions in ***W.P.No.37519 of 2016 & etc., batch***, and a judgment was delivered on **19.06.2023** and the relevant paragraphs of the judgment are extracted hereunder:

“36. Section 9 of the Code of Civil Procedure unambiguously contemplates that “The Courts shall have jurisdiction to try all suits of a civil nature excepting suits of which their cognizance is either expressly or impliedly barred”.

37. Therefore, a special enactment has no relevance with reference to a right of the parties to approach the competent Civil Court of law to resolve all nature of civil disputes including boundary dispute, survey dispute, title dispute, ownership or otherwise. Therefore, neither the parties nor the authorities need to create an impression that in the event of boundary dispute, the parties have to approach the authorities at the first instance. It is not required that the aggrieved persons, in the event of boundary dispute has to approach the authorities for fixing the boundary, they are at liberty to approach the Civil Court of law under Section 9 of the Code of Civil Procedure, which is unambiguous in this regard.



WEB COPY



38. *Submitting an application for fixing boundary is an option available to the aggrieved persons. Once an application is filed, whether the application is entertainable under the provisions of the Act is to be determined by the authorities and only if it is falling within the ambit of the Act, then alone the survey or fixing of boundary is to be undertaken. Even in this case, the authorities are bound to relegate the parties to the competent Civil Court of law under Section 14 of the Act.*

39. *It is contended by the petitioner that the authorities are making certain findings regarding the title, ownership in their order, while rejecting the applications. Such findings made by the authorities either in the patta proceedings or in the proceedings under the Survey and Boundaries Act are restricted and to be understood only for the purpose of arriving a conclusion under the provisions of the Act and the said patta proceedings or the proceedings under the Survey and Boundaries Act would not confer any title or be taken as a conclusive decision, more specifically under Section 35 of the Evidence Act.*

40. *Accordingly, the respondents are directed to consider the representations / applications submitted by the*



petitioners in the order of seniority and by following the procedures as contemplated under the Governmental orders and in consonance with the provisions of the Survey and Boundaries Act, 1923 and pass appropriate orders on merits and in accordance with law. Wherever the applications are already disposed of and appeals provided under the Act has been filed, then such appeals are to be decided on merits and in consonance with the provisions of the Survey and Boundaries Act.

3. In view of the fact that the case of the petitioner is also similar to that of the cases (cited *supra*), the case of the petitioner is also to be considered on the same line.

4. Accordingly, this Writ Petition stands disposed of. No costs.

27.07.2023

2/2

skr

Index : Yes

Speaking order

To



W.P.No.22192 of 2

1. The District Collector,
Erode District.
2. The Revenue Divisional Officer,
Gobichettipalayam,
Erode District.
3. The Tahsildar,
Thalavadi Taluk,
Thalavadi,
Erode District.
4. The Taluk Head Surveyor,
Thalavadi Taluk,
Thalavadi,
Erode District.



WEB COPY

W.P.No.22192 of 2



S.M.SUBRAMANIAM, J.

skr

W.P.No.22192 of 2023

27.07.2023

2/2