



W.P.No.21644 of 2023

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 21.07.2023

CORAM

**THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S.M.SUBRAMANIAM**

W.P.No.21644 of 2023

Anbalagan

... Petitioner

**Vs.**

1.The Assistant Director  
Survey And Land Records,  
Salem, Salem District.

2.The Tahsildhar,  
Taluk Office,  
Petanaickenpalayam Taluk,  
Chinnakalrayanmalai Vadakunadu,  
Salem District.

...Respondents

**Prayer:-** Writ petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying for issuance of a writ of Mandamus, directing the respondents herein to subdivide and demarcate the property situated in S.No.954/11, measuring an extent of 23 cents land, Chinnakalrayan hills Vadakkunadu Village, Pethanaickenpalayam Taluk, Salem District and consequently issue separate patta for the petitioners Purchasers I.V.Rajendran, H.S.Sadiq.



WEB COPY



W.P.No.21644 of 2023

For Petitioner : Mr.J.Jayan  
For Respondents :  
(for R1 & R.2) : Mr.E.Sunderam, Govt. Advocate

### **ORDER**

The relief sought for in the writ petition is to direct the respondents herein to subdivide and demarcate the property situated in S.No.954/11, measuring an extent of 23 cents land, Chinnakalrayan hills Vadakkunadu Village, Pethanaickenpalayam Taluk, Salem District and consequently issue separate patta for the petitioners Purchasers I.V.Rajendran, H.S.Sadiq.

2.The issues raised in the present writ petition were adjudicated by this Court in a batch of writ petitions in ***W.P.Nos.37519 of 2016 & etc.,*** batch, and a judgment was delivered on ***19.06.2023*** and the relevant paragraphs of the judgment are extracted hereunder:

*“36. Section 9 of the Code of Civil Procedure unambiguously contemplates that “The Courts shall have jurisdiction to try all suits of a civil nature excepting suits of*



W.P.No.21644 of 2023

WEB COPY

*which their cognizance is either expressly or impliedly barred”.*

*37. Therefore, a special enactment has no relevance with reference to a right of the parties to approach the competent Civil Court of law to resolve all nature of civil disputes including boundary dispute, survey dispute, title dispute, ownership or otherwise. Therefore, neither the parties nor the authorities need to create an impression that in the event of boundary dispute, the parties have to approach the authorities at the first instance. It is not required that the aggrieved persons, in the event of boundary dispute has to approach the authorities for fixing the boundary, they are at liberty to approach the Civil Court of law under Section 9 of the Code of Civil Procedure, which is unambiguous in this regard.*

*38. Submitting an application for fixing boundary is an option available to the aggrieved persons. Once an application is filed, whether the application is entertainable under the provisions of the Act is to be determined by the authorities and only if it is falling within the ambit of the Act, then alone the survey or fixing of boundary is to be undertaken. Even in this case, the authorities are bound to relegate the parties to the competent Civil Court of law under Section 14 of the Act.*



WEB COPY



W.P.No.21644 of 2023

39. *It is contended by the petitioner that the authorities are making certain findings regarding the title, ownership in their order, while rejecting the applications. Such findings made by the authorities either in the patta proceedings or in the proceedings under the Survey and Boundaries Act are restricted and to be understood only for the purpose of arriving a conclusion under the provisions of the Act and the said patta proceedings or the proceedings under the Survey and Boundaries Act would not confer any title or be taken as a conclusive decision, more specifically under Section 35 of the Evidence Act.*

40. *Accordingly, the respondents are directed to consider the representations / applications submitted by the petitioners in the order of seniority and by following the procedures as contemplated under the Governmental orders and in consonance with the provisions of the Survey and Boundaries Act, 1923 and pass appropriate orders on merits and in accordance with law. Wherever the applications are already disposed of and appeals provided under the Act has been filed, then such appeals are to be decided on merits and in consonance with the provisions of the Survey and Boundaries Act.”*



W.P.No.21644 of 2023

WEB COPY

3. In view of the fact that the case of the petitioner is also similar to that of the cases (cited *supra*), the case of the petitioner is also to be considered on the same line. Accordingly, this writ petition stands **disposed of**. No costs.

(sha)  
Index : Yes  
Speaking Order  
Neutral Citation : Yes

21.07.2023

To

1. The Assistant Director  
Survey And Land Records,  
Salem Salem District.

2. The Tahsildhar,  
Taluk Office,  
Petanaickenpalayam Taluk,  
Chinnakalrayanmalai Vadakunadu,  
Salem District.



WEB COPY



W.P.No.21644 of 2023

**S.M.SUBRAMANIAM. J.,**

(sha)

W.P.No.21644 of 2023

21.07.2023