



WEB COPY



W.A.No.2618 of 2019

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 10.07.2023

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE R.SURESH KUMAR

AND

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE K.KUMARESH BABU

W.A.No.2618 of 2019

and C.M.P.Nos.16823 & 16824 of 2019

Dr.M.Ganesan

.... Appellant

Vs

- 1.The Chancellor
Tamil University
Raj Bhavan
Guindy, Chennai 600 022.
- 2.Government of Tamil Nadu
Rep.by its Secretary
Tamil Development and Information
Department, Fort St.George
Chennai 600 009.
- 3.Search Committee
Rep.by its Chairman
Tamil Univesity, No.422, 2nd Main Road
Sri Kapaleeswarar Nagar, Neelangarai
Chennai 600 115.
- 4.Tamil University
Rep.by its Registrar
Trichy Main Road
Thanjavur – 613 010.
- 5.Dr.G.Balasubramanian

.... Respondents

Prayer : Writ Appeal filed under Clause 15 of the Letters Patent against the order



WEB COPY



W.A.No.26 of 2018

dated 18.6.2019 made in W.P.No.31828 of 2018.

For Appellant : Ms.Parvin Banu L.
For Respondents : Mr.K.V.Sajeev Kumar
Special Government Pleader-for R2
Mr.Sivavarthan - for R4
Mr.Senthil Kumaran - for R5
No appearance - for RR 1 and 3

J U D G M E N T

(Order of the Court was made by R.SURESH KUMAR, J.)

The very challenge that has been made before the Writ Court in W.P.No.31828 of 2018 was the appointment of the 5th respondent as the Vice Chancellor of the 4th respondent University.

2. The said writ petition was dismissed by the order of the Writ Court dated 18.06.2019. Challenging the same, the present intra court appeal has been directed.

3. Today, when the writ appeal is taken up for hearing, the learned counsel for the appellant submitted that though the term of office of the 5th respondent which started from 05.10.2018 was over by 04.10.2021 and thereafter a new incumbent has come in the place of the 5th respondent at the 4th respondent University as Vice Chancellor, it is for the Court to take a decision on the issue



W.A.No.26.10.2023

raised in the writ petition followed by the present appeal academically.

WEB COPY

4. We have also heard the learned counsel for the 4th respondent University and the learned counsel for the 5th respondent.

5. Since the very term of office is over, the issue raised in the writ petition which has been negatived by the learned Judge can be agitated by the appellant or any other person aggrieved at an appropriate time later. Therefore, for that purpose, this writ appeal need not be kept pending. In that view of the matter, this writ appeal is dismissed. No costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petitions are also dismissed.

(R.S.K.,J.) (K.B.,J.,)
10.07.2023

Index : Yes/No
Internet : Yes/No
KST



W.A.No.26.1.3.2017

To

- 1.The Chancellor
Tamil University
Raj Bhavan
Guindy, Chennai 600 022.
- 2.Government of Tamil Nadu
Rep.by its Secretary
Tamil Development and Information
Department, Fort St.George
Chennai 600 009.
- 3.Search Committee
Rep.by its Chairman
Tamil Univesity, No.422, 2nd Main Road
Sri Kapaleeswarar Nagar, Neelangarai
Chennai 600 115.
- 4.Tamil University
Rep.by its Registrar
Trichy Main Road
Thanjavur – 613 010.



WEB COPY



W.A.No.2618 of 2019

R.SURESH KUMAR, J.
AND
K.KUMARESH BABU, J.

KST

W.A.No.2618 of 2019

10.07.2023