



WEB COPY



H.C.P.No.1678 of 2022

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 03.04.2023

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE M.SUNDAR
and

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE M.NIRMAL KUMAR

H.C.P.No.1678 of 2022

Manjula
W/o.Raja

.. Petitioner

Vs.

1. The Secretary to the Government
Home Prohibition and Excise Department
Secretariat, Chennai-9.
2. The District Collector and District Magistrate
Perambalur District
Perambalur
3. The Superintendent of Police,
O/o The superintendent of police,
Perambalur District.
4. The Superintendent of Prison
Central Prison
Trichirapalli
5. The Inspector of Police,
All Women Police Station
Perambalur, Perambalur District.

..Respondents

Page Nos.1/8



H.C.P.No.1678 of 2022

Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying for issuance of a writ of habeas corpus to call for the records of the detention order made in Detention Order Dated 16.05.2022 in Cr.M.P.No.18/2022 passed by the second Respondent herein viz., the District Collector and District Magistrate, Perambalur District, Perambalur and set aside the same and direct the respondents to produce the detenu Mr.Mahendren S/o.Gopal before this Hon'ble Court and set detenu Mr.Mahendran, S/o.Gopal aged about 40 at liberty forthwith.

For Petitioner	:	Mr.S.Madhusudanan
For Respondents	:	Mr.R.Muniyapparaj
		Additional Public Prosecutor
		assisted by
		Mr.M.Sylvester John, Advocate

ORDER

[Order of the Court was made by M.SUNDAR, J.,]

Captioned 'Habeas Corpus Petition' ['HCP' for the sake of brevity] has been filed by brother of the detenu assailing a 'preventive detention order dated 16.05.2022 bearing reference Cr.M.P.No.18/2022' [hereinafter 'impugned detention order' for the sake of convenience and brevity]. To be noted, fifth respondent is the sponsoring authority and second respondent is the detaining authority as the impugned detention order has been made by second respondent.

Page Nos.2/8



H.C.P.No.1678 of 2022

2. Impugned detention order has been made under 'The Tamil Nadu

Prevention of Dangerous Activities of Bootleggers, Cyber law offenders, Drug-offenders, Forest-offenders, Goondas, Immoral traffic offenders, Sand-offenders, Sexual-offenders, Slum-grabbers and Video Pirates Act, 1982 (Tamil Nadu Act No.14 of 1982)' [hereinafter 'Act 14 of 1982' for the sake of convenience and clarity] on the premise that the detenu is a 'Sexual Offender' within the meaning of Section 2(ggg) of Act 14 of 1982.

3. There is no adverse case. This solitary case which is the sole substratum of the impugned detention order is Crime No.11 of 2022 on the file of All Women Police Station, Perambalur for alleged offences under Sections 5(I) and 5(n) read with Section 6 of Protection of Children from Sexual Offences Act, 2012. Owing to the nature of the challenge to the impugned detention order, it is not necessary to delve into the factual matrix or be detained further by facts.

4. Mr.S.Madhusudanan, learned counsel on record for petitioner and Mr.R.Muniyapparaj, learned State Additional Public Prosecutor, assisted by



H.C.P.No.1678 of 2022

Mr.M.Sylvester John, learned counsel for all respondents are before us.

WEB COPY

5. Learned counsel for petitioner submits that 'live and proximate link' between the grounds of detention and purpose of detention has snapped as date of arrest in the ground case is 31.03.2022 but the impugned detention order has been made only on 16.05.2022.

6. Mr.R.Muniyapparaj, learned State Additional Public Prosecutor, submits to the contrary by saying that materials had to be collected and time was consumed in this exercise. Considering the facts / circumstances of the case on hand and nature of ground case, we find that this explanation of learned Prosecutor is unacceptable.

7. We remind ourselves of ***Sushanta Kumar Banik's*** case [***Sushanta Kumar Banik Vs. State of Tripura & others reported in 2022 LiveLaw (SC) 813 : 2022 SCC OnLine SC 1333***]. To be noted, ***Banik*** case law arose under 'Prevention of Illicit Traffic in Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1988' [hereinafter 'PIT NDPS Act' for the sake of brevity] in



H.C.P.No.1678 of 2022

Tirupura, wherein after considering a proposal by a Sponsoring Authority and after noticing the trajectory the matter took, Hon'ble Supreme Court held that the 'live and proximate link between grounds of detention and purpose of detention snapping' point should be examined on a case to case basis. Hon'ble Supreme Court has held in **Banik** case law that this point has two facets. One facet is 'unreasonable delay' and the other facet is 'unexplained delay'. We find that the captioned matter falls under latter facet i.e., unexplained delay.

8. To be noted, **Banik** case has been respectfully followed by this Court in **Gomathi Vs. The Principal Secretary to Government and others** reported vide Neutral Citation of Madras High Court being **2023/MHC/334, Sadik Basha Yusuf Vs. The State of Tamil Nadu and others** reported vide Neutral Citation of Madras High Court being **2023/MHC/733, Sangeetha Vs. The Secretary to the Government and others** reported vide Neutral Citation of Madras High Court being **2023:MHC:1110, N.Anitha Vs. The Secretary to Government and others** reported vide Neutral Citation of Madras High Court being



H.C.P.No.1678 of 2022

2023:MHC:1159 and a series of other orders in HCP cases.

WEB COPY

9. Before concluding, we also remind ourselves that preventive detention is not a punishment and HCP is a high prerogative writ.

10. Apropos, the sequitur is, captioned HCP is allowed. Impugned detention order dated 16.05.2022 bearing reference Cr.M.P.No.18/2022 made by the second respondent is set aside and the detenu Thiru.Mahendran, aged 40 years, son of Thiru.Gopal, is directed to be set at liberty forthwith, if not required in connection with any other case / cases. There shall be no order as to costs.

(M.S.,J.)

(M.N.K.,J.)

03.04.2023

Index : Yes

Speaking

Neutral Citation : Yes

mk

P.S: Registry to forthwith communicate this order to Jail authorities in Central Prison, Tiruchirapalli.

Page Nos.6/8



H.C.P.No.1678 of 2022

WEB COPY

To

1. The Secretary to the Government
Home Prohibition and Excise Department
Secretariat, Chennai-9.
2. The District Collector and District Magistrate
Perambalur District
Perambalur
3. The Superintendent of Police,
O/o The superintendent of police,
Perambalur District.
4. The Superintendent of
Central Prison
Trichirapalli
5. The Inspector of Police,
All Women Police Station
Perambalur, Perambalur District.
6. The Public Prosecutor
High Court, Madras.

Page Nos.7/8



WEB COPY



H.C.P.No.1678 of 2022

M.SUNDAR, J.,
and
M.NIRMAL KUMAR, J.,

mk

H.C.P.No.1678 of 2022

03.04.2023

Page Nos.8/8