



WEB COPY



C.M.P.No.27148 of 2023

in

A.S.No.777 of 2023

R.SUBRAMANIAN, J.

and

N.SENTHILKUMAR, J.

The petitioner seeks an order of injunction to protect his possession of a portion of the property.

2.Mr.Kuberan, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is a physically challenged person and though there was an order of injunction for some time during the pendency of the suit, it was not extended. However, according to the learned counsel, the respondent have not disturbed the possession of the petitioner.

3.Mr.R.S.Varadarajan, learned counsel for the respondent would submit that the consistent defence of the respondent is that the petitioner is in permissive occupation in the portion of the property and his suit for partition has been dismissed. He would also submit that the respondents are not attempting to interfere with his possession of the property.



WEB COPY



R.SUBRAMANIAN, J.
and
N.SENTHILKUMAR, J.

KKN

4.The statement of the learned counsel is recorded and this petition
is closed.

5.Registry is directed to prepare the records and post the appeal for
final hearing on 19.02.2024.

(R.S.M., J.) (N.S., J.)
19.12.2023

kkn

C.M.P.No.27148 of 2023
in
A.S.No.777 of 2023