



WEB COPY

WP No.17551 of 2



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 25-04-2023

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE S.M.SUBRAMANIAM

WP No.17551 of 2014

And

MP Nos.1 and 2 of 2014

Nemmeli Arulmigu Alavandar Nayagar Charities
Represented by its Executive Officer,
Mamallapuram,
Thirukalukundram Taluk,
Kancheepuram District.

... Petitioner

Vs.

- 1.District Revenue Officer,
Office of the Collectorate,
Kancheepuram District.
- 2.The Revenue Divisional Officer,
Chengalpattu Town and Taluk,
Kancheepuram District.
- 3.The Tahsildar,
Tirupporur Taluk,
Kancheepuram District.
- 4.Vishwanatha Gramani
- 5.K.Lakshmi



6.K.Ananadan

7.S.Rukmani

8.A.Rubanantham

9.T.Krishnammal

10.T.Natesan Gramani

11.K.Kamaraj

12.P.Sengalani Gramini

13.Raja Badhar

14.P.S.Vinayagam

15.B.Kanniappan

16.R.Kanniappan

17.K.Dhandapani

... Respondents

Prayer: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a Writ of Certiorari, calling for the records from the office of the second respondent culminated in the impugned order in R.C.No.5254/2013/B dated 07.02.2014 and quash the same.

For Petitioner : Mr.C.R.Rukmani

For Respondents : Mr.Abishekmurthy,
Government Advocate.



WEB COPY

WP No.17551 of 2



ORDER

The present writ petition has been filed by Nemmeli Arulmigu Alavandar Nayagar Charities Represented by its Executive Officer, Mamallapuram, Thirukalukundram Taluk, Kancheepuram District calling for the records from the office of the second respondent culminated in the impugned order in R.C.No.5254/2013/B dated 07.02.2014 and quash the same.

2. The grievance of the writ petitioner is that the Revenue Divisional Officer has issued a direction to sub-divide the lands and thereafter prepare the details of the lands and its sub division and submit a report to the Revenue Divisional Officer, Chengalpattu.

3. The Internal Administrative Communication between the Revenue Divisional Officer and the Tahsildar cannot be challenged in the writ proceedings. Since the said Internal Administrative Communication would not provide a cause for the writ petition, the Revenue Divisional Officer directed the Tahsildar, Tirupporur to verify the records and prepare



documents to sub-divide the lands and informed the details of the sub-divisions and accordingly to submit the report.

WEB COPY

4. Therefore, the Revenue Divisional Officer instructed the Tahsildar, Tirupporur to submit a report before the Revenue Divisional Officer to consider the issues raised. Such a procedure would not provide a cause for filing the writ petition and if any final order has been passed pursuant to the report if any submitted by the Tahsildar, then alone the petitioner would be in a position to approach the Appellate Authority or otherwise for the purpose of redressal of his grievances.

5. The impugned order reveals that the Tahsildar has already conducted an enquiry by affording an opportunity to all the parties concerned.

6. The present writ petition was instituted prematurely and the Internal Administrative Communication cannot be the subject matter of the present writ petition, since the Revenue Divisional Officer instructed the Tahsildar to submit a report regarding the land details and the sub-division



to be made.

WEB COPY

7. This being the factum, the petitioner is at liberty to approach the Competent Authority, if any grievance exists at this length of time.

8. With the abovesaid liberty, the writ petition stands disposed of. However, there shall be no order as to costs. Consequently, the connected miscellaneous petitions are closed.

25-04-2023

Index : Yes/No
Internet: Yes/No
Speaking order/Non-Speaking order
Neutral Citation : Yes/No
Svn

To

- 1.District Revenue Officer,
Office of the Collectorate,
Kancheepuram District.
- 2.The Revenue Divisional Officer,
Chengalpattu Town and Taluk,
Kancheepuram District.



WP No.17551 of 2

S.M.SUBRAMANIAM, J.

Svn

3.The Tahsildar,
Tirupporur Taluk,
Kancheepuram District.

WP 17551 of 2014

25-04-2023