



WEB COPY



Crl.R.C.No.1133 of 2023

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 27.06.2023

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE V.SIVAGNANAM

Crl.RC.No .1133 of 2023

Govindtharasu
Petitioner

...

Vs.

The State Rep. by its The Sub Inspector of Police,
Tittagudi Police Station, Cuddalore District.
Crime No.72 of 2023
Respondent

...

PRAYER: Criminal Revision Petition filed under Section 397 r/w 401 of Criminal Procedure Code, 1973 to set aside the Order dated 11.05.2023 made in C.M.P.No.1828 of 2023 on the file of the learned Judicial Magistrate, Tittagudi and subsequently grant custody of the vehicle Ashok Leyland Lorry bearing registration No.TN 76 S 4786 to the petitioner.

For Petitioner

: Mr.S.Saravana Kumar



Crl.R.C.No.1133 of 2023

WEB COPY

For Respondent

: Mr.R.Vinothraja

Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

This Criminal Revision Petition has been filed to set aside the order passed by the learned Judicial Magistrate, Tittagudi dated 11.05.2023 made in C.M.P.No.1828 of 2023 and to return the vehicle Ashok Lelyland Lorry bearing registration No.TN 76 S 4786 to the petitioner /owner of vehicle.

2. It is the case of the prosecution that on 07.07.2022, when the respondent police were on patrol, they intervened the lorry of the petitioner and found that the driver was illegally transporting pebbles in the vehicle Ashok Lelyland Lorry bearing registration No.TN 76 S 4786 without any valid invoice and permit and hence a case in Crime No.72 of 2023 was registered for the offences under Section 379 of IPC read with 21 [1] of MM DR Act and the vehicle was seized.



Crl.R.C.No.1133 of 2023

WEB COPY

3. The petitioner is the owner of the above said lorry and he filed a petition in C.M.P.No.1828 of 2023 before the Trial Court to release the vehicle to him. But it was dismissed, vide order dated 11.05.2023 on the ground that the petition for release of vehicle involved in pebbles theft case are not maintainable before the Magistrate Court. Hence, challenging the above said order, the petitioner filed the present Revision case.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is the owner of the vehicle Ashok Leyland Lorry bearing registration No.TN 76 S 4786. He further submitted that the lorry was purchased under hypothecation and he has to pay the EMI and if the vehicle is kept for a long time in the open space, it would cause damage to the vehicle and that the vehicle is not involved in any case of similar in nature and the petitioner is ready to give appropriate guarantee as well as security for return of vehicle and also he will produce the vehicle, as and when required either before the respondent police or before the Trial Court. Hence, he prayed to return the vehicle to the petitioner.



Crl.R.C.No.1133 of 2023

WEB COPY

5. The learned Government Advocate [Criminal Side] appearing for the respondent submitted that the petitioner is owner of the vehicle Ashok Leyland Lorry bearing registration No.TN 76 S 4786 and since the above said vehicle was used to transport the pebbles without valid invoice and permit, it was seized and hence, he objected to return the vehicle to him.

6. Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate [Criminal Side] appearing for the respondent and I have perused the materials on record.

7. A perusal of the records shows that, the respondent police registered a case in Crime No.72 of 2023 for the offences under Section 379 of IPC read with 21 [1] of MM DR Act with regard to transportation of pebbles illegally. Further, it reveals from the records that the petitioner is the owner of the vehicle, Ashok Leyland Lorry bearing registration No.TN 76 S 4786 and it was seized by the respondent police. The Trial Court



Crl.R.C.No.1133 of 2023

dismissed the petition in C.M.P.No.1828 of 2023, filed by the petitioner, on the ground that the Magistrate Court has no jurisdiction to release the property involved in Mines and Minerals Act. It is the contention of the learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner is ready to give guarantee and security for returning the vehicle and if the vehicle is being kept idle in open space, it would cause damage to the vehicle.

8. At this juncture, it is relevant to rely upon a decision of the ***Hon'ble Supreme Court in Sunderbhai Ambalal Desai and others Vs. State of Gujarat in Special Lave Petition (Crl.)2745 of 2022 dated 01.10.2002*** wherein the Hon'ble Supreme Court has held that in order to protect the property, the Apex Court has directed to handover the seized articles to the owner on proper Panchnama.

9. Considering the above aspects and also as per the ratio laid down by the Hon'ble Apex Court, this Court is of the view that, keeping the vehicle idle in the open space, will diminish its nature and lose its value and no



Crl.R.C.No.1133 of 2023

purpose will be served. As such, considering the nature of offence, this Court is inclined to allow the Revision Petition.

10. Accordingly, this Criminal Revision Case is allowed and the impugned order passed by the Trial Court, dated 11.05.2023 is set aside. The respondent police is directed to return the vehicle to the owner on the following conditions :

- i. the petitioner shall prove his ownership of the vehicle by producing the R.C.Book and other relevant records;
- ii. the petitioner shall execute a personal bond for a sum of Rs.10,00,000/- (Rupees ten lakhs only) before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Tittagudi and the learned Magistrate shall not insist on production of solvency certificate.
- iii. the Court may prepare a panchnama in Judicial Form No.82 with regard to the vehicle and such panchanama can be used in evidence.



Crl.R.C.No.1133 of 2023

- iv. the petitioner shall take photograph of the vehicle and certified under Section 65B of the Central Act 1 of 1972 and such photographs may be used as secondary evidence.
- v. the petitioner shall not alienate or encumber the vehicle in any manner;
- vi. the petitioner shall give an undertaking that he will not use the vehicle for any illegal activities in future,
- vii. the petitioner shall also produce the vehicle as and when required before the court below and before the respondent police.

27.06.2023

Index: Yes/No
Internet: Yes/No
vrc

To

1. The Judicial Magistrate, Tittagudi.
2. The Inspector of Police,
Tittagudi Police Station, Cuddalore District.
3. The Public Prosecutor, Madras High Court.

7 of 8



WEB COPY



Crl.R.C.No.1133 of 2023

V.SIVAGNANAM, J.,

VTC

Crl.RC No.1133 of 2023

27.06.2023