



Crl.O.P.No.13674 of 2023

RMT.TEEKAA RAMAN, J.

WEB COPY

The petitioner/A3, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offence punishable under Sections 308 and 304(ii) of I.P.C., in Crime No.232 of 2023 on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that on 01.06.2023, the de-facto complainant and the deceased persons were engaged by one Balaji who is the owner of Moorthi Arts Flex Printing Contractor to erect a flex board. The entire team was proceeding from Salem to Karumathampatti with the help of TATA ACE and there was a heavy rain therefore the workers including the deceased were making arrangements to come down from the steel structure but all of the sudden the steel structure fell down and the workers sustained severe injuries. Hence the case.

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has been falsely implicated in this case. Hence, he prayed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) for the respondent would submit that the petitioner herein is the owner of the



property and he is noway connected with the alleged offence. However, he opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

WEB COPY

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record including the FIR.

6. Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case and the submissions made by the learned counsel on either side since the petitioner herein/A4 is the owner of the property and he is noway connected with the alleged offence, which is the subject matter of the crime number and hence there is no overtact against the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the **learned Judicial Magistrate, Sulur** on condition that the petitioner shall execute a separate bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only)** with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:



[a] the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity.

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent Police, everyday at 10.30 a.m., until further orders;

[c] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]**.

[f] If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC.

19.09.2023

nvi



WEB COPY

Crl.O.P.No.13674 of 2023



RMT.TEEKAA RAMAN, J.

nvi

Crl.O.P.No.13674 of 2023

19.09.2023