



Crl.R.C.No.1071 of 2023

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 28.07.2023

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MRS. JUSTICE **R. HEMALATHA**

Crl.R.C.No.1071 of 2023

Venkatesan

... Petitioner

Vs.

The State Rep. by
the Sub-Inspector of Police,
Ulundurpet Police Station,
Kallakurichi District.
Crime No.245 of 2023

... Respondent

Prayer : Criminal Revision Petition filed under Section 397 r/w. 401 of Criminal Procedure Code, to set aside the order passed by the learned Judicial Magistrate No.I, Ulundurpet in C.M.P.No.2546 of 2023 dated 23.05.2023 and subsequently grant custody of vehicle Ashok Leyland Tipper Lorry bearing registration No.TN 67 H 4959.

For Petitioner : Mr.S.Saravanakumar

For Respondent : Mr.R.Vinothraja, GA (Crl. Side)

ORDER

Challenging the orders, dated 23.05.2023 passed in C.M.P.No.2546 of 2023 by the learned Judicial Magistrate No.I, Ulundurpet, the present Criminal Revision is filed.



Crl.R.C.No.1071 of 2023

WEB COPY

2. The revision petitioner is an accused and he has filed a petition in C.M.P.No.2546 of 2023 under Sections 451 of Cr.P.C., seeking for interim custody of the vehicle viz., Ashok Leyland Lorry bearing Reg.No.TN-67-H-4959. The said petition was dismissed by the learned Judicial Magistrate No.I, Ulundurpet on 23.05.2023 on the ground that the vehicle was involved in illegal transportation of Pebbles mixed with clay, against which the present revision is filed.

3. Mr.S.Saravana kumar, learned counsel for the petitioner contended that the said vehicle was seized by the Assistant Geologist, Geology and Mining Department, Villupuram and that it is kept in the custody of Police in open space in the Police Station. His contention is that if the vehicle is kept in an open space, the value of the same will diminish over the period of time. Therefore he prayed for return of the vehicle.

4. Mr.R.Vinothraja, learned Government Advocate (Crl. side) appearing for the respondent contended that the vehicle was already



Crl.R.C.No.1071 of 2023

involved in a similar offence in Crime No.1316/2020 of Ramanatham Police Station, Cuddalore District and that the petitioner has been habitually committing the offence of transporting the mines and minerals. Hence, the petitioner should not be given custody of the said vehicle and prayed for dismissal of this revision.

5. The present case is filed under Section 379 IPC and from the records it is seen that the respondent Police has not initiated confiscation proceedings in this regard.

6. In this circumstance, it is relevant to refer a decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *Sunderbhai Ambalal Desai and others Vs. State of Gujarat in Special Lave Petition (Crl.)2745 of 2022 dated 01.10.2002*, wherein, the relevant portion is extracted hereunder.

Vehicles

“In our view, whatever be the situation, it is of no use to keep such seized vehicles at the police stations for a long period. It is for the Magistrate to pass appropriate orders immediately by taking appropriate bond and guarantee as well as security for return of the



Ctrl.R.C.No.1071 of 2023

said vehicles, if required at any point of time. This can be done pending hearing of applications for return of such vehicles.

In case where the vehicle is not claimed by the accused, owner, or the insurance company or by third person, then such vehicle may be ordered to be auctioned by the Court. If the said vehicle is insured with the insurance company, then insurance company be informed by the Court to take possession of the vehicle, which is not claimed by the owner or a third person. If insurance Company fails to take possession the vehicles may be sold as per the direction of the Court. The Court would pass such order within a period of six months from the date of production of the said vehicle before the Court. In any case, before handing over possession of such vehicles, appropriate photographs of the said vehicle should be taken and detailed panchanama should be prepared.”

7. Keeping the vehicle idle in an open space, would diminish the value of the vehicle over a period of time. As such, considering the ratio laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court, this Court is inclined to allow the Revision Petition.

8. Accordingly, this Criminal Revision Petition is allowed and



Ctrl.R.C.No.1071 of 2023

WEB COPY

the impugned order in C.M.P.No.2546 of 2023 dated 23.05.2023 passed by the learned Judicial Magistrate I, Ulundurpet, is set aside. The learned Judicial Magistrate I, Ulundurpet, is directed to return the vehicle to the owner of the vehicle on the following conditions :

- i. the petitioner shall prove his ownership of the vehicle by producing the R.C.Book and other relevant records;
- ii. the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.2,00,000/- (Rupees Two Lakhs Only) before the trial Court.
- iii. the Court may prepare a panchanama in Judicial Form No.82 with regard to the vehicle bearing Registration No. TN-67-H-4959 and such panchanama can be used in evidence.
- iv. the petitioner shall take photograph of the vehicle bearing Registration No. TN-67-H-4959 and certified under Section 65B of the Central Act 1 of 1972 and such photographs may be used as secondary evidence.
- v. the petitioner shall not alienate or encumber the vehicle in any manner;
- vi. the petitioner shall give an undertaking that she will not use



WEB COPY



Crl.R.C.No.1071 of 2023

the vehicle for any illegal activities in future,

vii.the petitioner shall also produce the vehicle as and when required before the court below and before the respondent police.

28.07.2023

Index: Yes/No
Speaking/Non-Speaking order
vum

To

1.The Judicial Magistrate I, Ulundurpet

2.The Sub-Inspector of Police,
Ulundurpet Police Station,
Kallakurichi District.

3. The Section Officer,
Criminal Section, High Court,
Madras.

R. HEMALATHA, J.



WEB COPY



Crl.R.C.No.1071 of 2023

vum

Crl.R.C.No.1071 of 2023

28.07.2023