



H.C.P.No.1016 of 2023

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 13.09.2023

CORAM

**THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE M.SUNDAR
AND
THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE R.SAKTHIVEL**

H.C.P.NO.1016 OF 2023

Rathna

.. Petitioner

VS.

State rep. By:

1.The Secretary to Government
Home, Prohibition and Excise Department
Secretariat, Fort St. George,
Chennai – 600 009.

2.The District Collector and
District Magistrate
Krishnagiri District
Krishnagiri.

3.The Superintendent of Police
Krishnagiri District
Krishnagiri.

4.The Superintendent of Prison
Central Prison
Salem.

1/10



H.C.P.No.1016 of 2023

5.The Inspector of Police
Kaveripattinam Police Station
Krishnagiri District.

.. Respondents

PRAYER: Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying for issuance of a writ order or direction in the nature of Writ of Habeas Corpus, to call for the records in S.C.No.08/2023, dated 12.05.2023 on the file of the District Collector and District Magistrate, Krishnagiri District, the second respondent herein and quash the same as illegal and direct the respondents to produce the detenu Thiru. Sankar S/o. Chinnasamy, aged about 43 years, now confined at Central Prison, Salem, before this Court and set him at liberty.

For Petitioner : Mr.R.Siranjeevi

For Respondents : Mr.A.Gokulakrishnan
Additional Public Prosecutor

ORDER

[Order of the Court was made by M.SUNDAR, J.]

When the captioned 'Habeas Corpus Petition' [hereinafter 'HCP' for the sake of convenience and clarity] came up for admission on 16.06.2023, this Bench made an order and a scanned reproduction of the same is as follows:



WEB COPY



H.C.P.No.1016 of 2023

H.C.P.No.1016 of 2023

H.C.P.No.1016 of 2023

**M.SUNDAR, J.,
and
R.SAKTHIVEL, J.**

(Order of the Court was made by **M.SUNDAR, J.,**)

Captioned Habeas Corpus Petition has been filed in this Court on 08.06.2023 *inter alia* assailing a detention order dated 12.05.2023 bearing reference S.C. No.08/2023 made by 'second respondent' [hereinafter 'Detaining Authority' for the sake of convenience and clarity]. To be noted, fifth respondent is the Sponsoring Authority.

2. To be noted, Wife of the detenu is the petitioner.

3. Mr.S.Prabhakar, learned counsel on record for habeas corpus petitioner is before us. Learned counsel for petitioner submits that ground case qua the detenu is for alleged offences under Sections 341, 302 and 506(ii) of 'The Indian Penal Code (45 of 1860)' [hereinafter 'IPC' for the sake of convenience and clarity] in Crime No.153 of 2023 on the file of Kaveripattinam Police Station.

4. The aforementioned detention order has been made on the premise that the detenu is a 'Goonda' under Section 2(f) of 'The Tamil Nadu Prevention of Dangerous Activities of Bootleggers, Cyber law offenders, Drug-offenders, Forest-offenders, Goondas, Immoral traffic

Page Nos.1/3



WEB COPY



H.C.P.No.1016 of 2023

H.C.P.No.1016 of 2023

offenders, Sand-offenders, Sexual-offenders, Slum-grabbers and Video Pirates Act, 1982 (Tamil Nadu Act No.14 of 1982)' [hereinafter 'Act 14 of 1982' for the sake of convenience and clarity].

5. The detention order has been assailed inter alia on the ground that the arrest of the detenu has not been properly intimated to the family members.

6. Prima facie case made out for admission. Admit. Issue Rule Nisi returnable by four weeks.

7. Mr.E.Raj Thilak, learned Additional Public Prosecutor, State of Tamil Nadu accepts notice for all respondents. List the captioned Habeas Corpus Petition accordingly.


(M.S.J.)

(R.S.V.J.)
16.06.2023

mml

Page Nos.2/3

2.The aforementioned order made in the 16.06.2023 Admission listing shall be read as an integral part and parcel of this order which means that the short forms, short references and abbreviations used in the order in the Admission listing shall be used in the instant order also.



H.C.P.No.1016 of 2023

3. There are two adverse cases and one ground case. The ground case which constitutes substantial part of substratum of the impugned preventive detention order is Crime No.153 of 2023 on the file of Kaveripattinam Police Station for alleged offence under Sections 341, 302 and 506(ii) of IPC. Owing to the nature of the challenge to the impugned preventive detention order, it is not necessary to delve into the factual matrix or be detained further by facts.

4. Mr. R. Siranjeevi, learned counsel on record for petitioner and Mr. A. Gokulakrishnan, learned State Additional Public Prosecutor for all respondents are before us.

5. Learned counsel for petitioner submits that 'live and proximate link' between the grounds of detention and purpose of detention has snapped as date of surrender and remand in the ground case is 21.03.2023 but the impugned detention order has been made only on 12.05.2023.

6. Mr. A. Gokulakrishnan, learned State Additional Public



H.C.P.No.1016 of 2023

Prosecutor submits to the contrary by saying that materials had to be collected and time was consumed for the same. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and nature of ground case, we find that this explanation of learned State Additional Public Prosecutor is unacceptable.

7. We remind ourselves of *Sushanta Kumar Banik's* case [*Sushanta Kumar Banik Vs. State of Tripura & others reported in 2022 LiveLaw (SC) 813 : 2022 SCC OnLine SC 1333*]. To be noted, *Banik* case law arose under 'Prevention of Illicit Traffic in Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1988' [hereinafter 'PIT NDPS Act' for the sake of brevity] in Tirupura, wherein after considering the proposal by the Sponsoring Authority and after noticing the trajectory the matter took, Hon'ble Supreme Court held that the 'live and proximate link between grounds of detention and purpose of detention snapping' point should be examined on a case to case basis. Hon'ble Supreme Court has held in *Banik* case law that this point has two facets. One facet is 'unreasonable delay' and other facet is 'unexplained delay'. We find that the captioned matter falls under latter facet i.e., unexplained delay.



H.C.P.No.1016 of 2023

WEB COPY

8.To be noted, ***Banik*** case has been respectfully followed by this Court in ***Gomathi Vs.The Principal Secretary to Government and others*** reported vide Neutral Citation of Madras High Court being ***2023/MHC/334, Sadik Basha Yusuf Vs. The State of Tamil Nadu and others*** reported vide Neutral Citation of Madras High Court being ***2023/MHC/733, Sangeetha Vs. The Secretary to the Government and others*** reported vide Neutral Citation of Madras High Court being ***2023:MHC:1110, N.Anitha Vs. The Secretary to Government and others*** reported vide Neutral Citation of Madras High Court being ***2023:MHC:1159*** and a series of other orders in HCP cases.

9.To be noted, out of the two adverse cases, the first adverse case is in Crime No.94 of 2015 on the file of Krishnagiri KRP Dam Police Station (occurrence was on 23.03.2015) and second adverse case is in Crime No.412 of 2015 on the file of Krishnagiri KRP Dam Police Station (occurrence was on 12.10.2015) and therefore time consumed remains unexplained.



H.C.P.No.1016 of 2023

10. Before concluding, we also remind ourselves that preventive detention is not a punishment and HCP is a high prerogative writ.

11. Ergo, the sequitur is, captioned HCP is allowed. Impugned detention order dated 12.05.2023 bearing reference S.C.No.08/2023 made by the second respondent is set aside and the detenu Thiru. Sankar, aged 43 years, son of Thiru. Chinnasamy is directed to be set at liberty forthwith, if not required in connection with any other case / cases. There shall be no order as to costs.

[M.S., J.]

[R.S.V., J.]

13.09.2023

Index : Yes / No

Neutral Citation : Yes / No

Speaking / Non-speaking order

TK

P.S: Registry to forthwith communicate this order to Jail authorities in Central Prison, Salem.



H.C.P.No.1016 of 2023

To

- 1.The Secretary to Government
Home, Prohibition and Excise Department
Secretariat, Fort St. George,
Chennai – 600 009.
- 2.The District Collector and
District Magistrate
Krishnagiri District
Krishnagiri.
- 3.The Superintendent of Police
Krishnagiri District
Krishnagiri.
- 4.The Superintendent of Prison
Central Prison
Salem.
- 5.The Inspector of Police
Kaveripattinam Police Station
Krishnagiri District.
- 6.The Public Prosecutor
High Court, Madras.



WEB COPY



H.C.P.No.1016 of 2023

M.SUNDAR, J.
AND
R.SAKTHIVEL, J.

TK

H.C.P.NO.1016 OF 2023

13.09.2023