



W.P.No.16989 of 2023

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 08.06.2023

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE M.S. RAMESH

W.P.No.16989 of 2023
and
W.M.P.No.16191 of 2023

M.Palanisamy

...Petitioner

Vs

Assistant Executive Engineer,
Office of the Assistant Executive Engineer,
Tamilnadu Generation and
Distribution Corporation Limited,
O&M, Rural, Krishnagiri,
Krishnagiri District.

...Respondent

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India to issue a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus calling for the records relating to the proceedings vide memo No.AEE/O&M/R1/Kgiri/F.Confidential-DVAC(Sus)D.No.238/20 dated 22.10.2020 on the file of the respondent herein, quash the same and consequently direct the respondent to reinstate the petitioner in service.

For Petitioner : Mr.P.Ganesan

For Respondent : Mr.K.Rajkumar,
Standing Counsel



W.P.No.16989 of 2023

WEB COPY

ORDER

With the consent of both the parties, this Writ Petition is taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission itself.

2. On the ground of prolonged suspension, the petitioner seeks for interference to the suspension order through the present Writ Petition.

3. The Hon'ble Supreme Court, in the case of ***Ajay Kumar Choudhary v. Union of India***, reported in ***(2015) 7 SCC 291***, had set certain guidelines with regard to prolonged suspension. On a reference made by me, the Hon'ble Full Bench of this Court, in the case of ***P.Kannan Vs. Commissioner of Municipal Administration and others*** reported in ***(2022) 2 CTC 353***, had held that the judgement of the Apex Court, in the case of ***Ajay Kumar Choudhary (supra)***, does not lay down an absolute proposition of law that an order of suspension cannot be continued beyond the period of three months on the circumstances mentioned therein and that the order of suspension should be analysed on the facts of each case, considering the gravity of charges and the Rules



W.P.No.16989 of 2023

WEB COPY

applicable. It was further held that the revocation of the suspension with a direction to the employer to post the delinquent in a non-sensitive post, as held in *Ajay Kumar Choudhary's case (supra)*, also cannot be endorsed or directed as a matter of course.

4. In line with the orders passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court, as well as the Hon'ble Full Bench of this Court, the Government, in G.O.(Ms) No.81, Human Resources Management (N) Department, dated 04.08.2022, had stipulated a compendium of instructions for review of suspension orders in matter which are pending enquiry into grave charges.

5. On consideration of these instructions in G.O.(Ms) No.81, this Court is of the view that the respondent herein could be directed to review the order of suspension, in accordance with the guidelines issued thereunder.

6. In the light of the above findings, there shall be a direction to the respondent herein to consider the petitioner's representation dated



W.P.No.16989 of 2023

WEB COPY

01.04.2023, seeking for revocation of the suspension order, in accordance with the instructions issued by the Government in G.O.(Ms).No.81, dated 04.08.2022, and pass appropriate orders, within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of a copy this order.

7. Accordingly, the Writ Petition stands disposed of. No costs.
Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

08.06.2023

Index: Yes/No
Speaking order/Non-speaking order
hvk

To

The Assistant Executive Engineer,
Office of the Assistant Executive Engineer,
Tamilnadu Generation and
Distribution Corporation Limited,
O&M, Rural, Krishnagiri,
Krishnagiri District.



WEB COPY



W.P.No.16989 of 2023

M.S.RAMESH,J.

hvk

W.P.No.16989 of 2023

08.06.2023