

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 19.06.2023

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA

Crl.O.P.No.12469 of 2023

Sivakumari

... Petitioner

Vs.

State rep by
The Inspector of Police
Thiruvannamalai Taluk Police Station,
Thiruvannamalai.

Crime No.301 of 2023

... Respondent

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 439 of Cr.P.C.,
pleased to enlarge the petitioner on bail in Crime No.301 of 2023 on the file
of the respondent police.

For Petitioner : Mr.R.Balakrishnan

For Respondent : Mr. C.E.Pratap
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody
on 18.05.2023 for the offences punishable under Sections 4(1)(a) r/w 4(1-

A)ii of TNP Act, in Crime No.301 of 2023 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 18.05.2023, the petitioner was found in possession of 15 liters of I.D arrack. Hence, the case.

3.Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has been falsely implicated in this case. He also submitted that the petitioner is no way connected with the alleged offence and he is in custody from 18.05.2023, hence, he prayed for grant of bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent would submit that the petitioner was found to be in illegal possession of 15 litres of I.D arrack. He further submitted that one previous case of similar nature is pending against the petitioner. Therefore, he opposed for grant of bail to the petitioner.

5.At this juncture, the learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that without prejudice to his defence, the petitioner is ready and

willing to deposit a substantial amount to any welfare scheme run by the Government as may be directed by this Court and he is ready to abide by any stringent condition that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he prayed for grant of bail to the petitioner.

6. Heard the learned Counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) for the respondent police and perused the materials available on record including the FIR.

7. On considering the voluntary submission made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner is directed to deposit a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand Only) to the credit of **"The Dean/Medical Officer, Government Medical College and Hospital, Thiruvannamalai,"** without prejudice to his rights and contentions before the trial Court.

8. Merely, because the petitioner deposits the said amount, it would not amount to admission of his guilt. Therefore, it is open to the trial Court to deal with the case independently.

9. Considering the above facts and circumstances of the case, the submissions made by the learned counsel on either side and the period of

incarceration undergone by the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant of bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

10. Accordingly, the petitioner is directed to make a non refundable deposit of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand Only) directly to the credit of **"The Dean/Medical Officer, Government Medical College and Hospital, Thiruvannamalai"** without prejudice to his rights and contentions before the trial Court, on such deposit and production of proof, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his executing a bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten thousand only)** with **two sureties**, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the **learned Judicial Magistrate No.II, Thiruvannamalai**, and on further conditions that:

[a] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent Police, everyday at 10.30 a.m., until further orders.

[c] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[d] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]***;

[f] If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC.

19.06.2023

vk

To

1. The Judicial Magistrate Court-II,
Thiruvannamalai.
2. The Inspector of Police
Thiruvannamalai Taluk Police Station,
Thiruvannamalai.
3. The Sepcial Prison for Women, Vellore.
4. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.

A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA,J.,

vk

Crl.O.P.No.12469 of 2023

19.06.2023