



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.11564 of 2023

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 18.05.2023

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A.A. NAKKIRAN

Crl.O.P.No.11564 of 2023

K.Vijayaraghavan

...Petitioner

Vs.

1.The City Commissioner
Madras Metropolitan City
Commissioner Office
Veppary
Chennai – 7.

2.The Inspector of Police,
All Women Police Station,
Thousand light Police Station,
Chennai.

...Respondents

PRAYER:- Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C.
praying to direct the first respondent to give suitable direction to the
second respondent not to harass the petitioner in the guise of enquiry
based on the complaint/representation dated 28.01.2023 and 20.02.2023.

For Petitioner : Mr.K.Gandhi Kumar

For Respondents : Mr.S.Vinoth Kumar

Government Advocate (crl.side)



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.11564 of 2023

ORDER

This petition has been filed seeking direction to the first respondent to give suitable direction to the second respondent not to harass the petitioner in the guise of enquiry based on the complaint/representation dated 28.01.2023 and 20.02.2023.

2.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the respondent police harassed the petitioner under the guise of enquiry.

3.The learned Government Advocate (crl.side) appearing for the respondent police submitted that on the complaint given by the defacto complainant against the petitioner, petition enquiry is pending.

4.Heard the learned Counsel for the petitioner and learned Government Advocate (crl.side) for the respondent police.

5.It is the grievance of the petitioner that the respondent police



CrI.O.P.No.11564 of 2023

have been harassing him under the guise of an enquiry/investigation and hence, has invoked the inherent powers of this Court under Section 482 of Cr.P.C.

6.An enquiry into a non cognizable offence or a cognizable offence is the unfettered powers of the Investigation Officers so long as the power to investigate/enquire into these offences are legitimately exercised within the frame work of Chapter XII of the Code of Criminal Procedure. Though the Code of Criminal Procedure empowers the Magistrate to be a guardian in all the stages of the police investigation, there is no power envisaging him to interfere with the actual investigation or the mode of investigation. It is in this background that numerous petitions complaining of harassment are being reported and filed before this Court seeking for directions to refrain the police officials from harassing the persons named in a complaint.

7.This Court, exercising its power under Section 482 of the Criminal Procedure Code normally would not interfere with the



Crl.O.P.No.11564 of 2023

WEB COPY

investigation conducted by a police officer. Nevertheless, it would also not turn a blind eye to instances of harassment by the police under the guise of investigation is brought to its notice.

8.In the present case in hand, the petitioner has complained of harassment by the police based on a complaint and seek for this Court's intervention by way of a direction. The term 'harassment' by itself has a very wide meaning and hence, what could be harassment to the petitioner may not be the same to the police officer.

9.In order to circumvent such situations, the following guidelines are issued:

a)While summoning any person named in the complaint or any witness to the incident complained of, the police officer shall summon such person through a summon/notice specifying a particular date and time for appearing before them for such an enquiry/investigation.



Crl.O.P.No.11564 of 2023

WEB COPY

b) The respondent/Police is directed to serve summons mentioning the CSR number, date of complaint and the name of the complainant.

c)The minutes of the enquiry shall be recorded in the general diary/station diary/daily diary of the police station.

d)The police officer shall refrain himself or herself from harassing persons called upon for enquiry/investigation.

e)The guidelines stipulated for preliminary enquiry or registration of FIR by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***Lalita Kumari Vs. Government of Uttar Pradesh and others [2014 (2) SCC (1)]*** shall be strictly adhered to.

10.With the above observations and direction, the Criminal Original Petition stands disposed off.

18.05.2023

Internet:Yes

Index:Yes/No

Speaking/Non speaking order

ep



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.11564 of 2023



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.11564 of 2023

A.A. NAKKIRAN, J.

ep

To

- 1.The City Commissioner
Madras Metropolitan City
Commissioner Office
Veppary
Chennai – 7.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
All Women Police Station,
Thousand light Police Station,
Chennai
- 3.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.

Crl.O.P.No.11564 of 2023

18.05.2023