



Crl.O.P.No.11379 of 2023

Crl.O.P.No.11379 of 2023

WEB CO **A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA, J.**

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest for the alleged offences under Sections 294(b), 323, 324 and 307 of IPC in Crime No.259 of 2023 on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the accused have picked up a quarrel with the defacto complainant in a funeral ceremony, during the quarrel, the accused had abused the defacto complainant in a filthy language and assaulted his son with knife, due to which, the son of the defacto complainant sustained grievous injuries. Hence the complaint.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are innocent persons and due to previous enmity, they have been falsely implicated in this case. He would further submit that the petitioners have nothing to do with the alleged offence and A1 in this case has been granted bail by the learned Principal Sessions Judge, Kancheepuram in



Crl.O.P.No.11379 of 2023

Crl.M.P.No.2773 of 2023 on 12.05.2023. Hence, he prayed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned Government Advocate (crl.side) appearing for the respondent Police would submit that during a quarrel in the funeral ceremony, the petitioner along with other accused had abused the defacto complainant in a filthy language and assaulted his son with knife and also threatened them. He would further submit that the injured has been discharged from the hospital and A1 has been granted bail by the Sessions Court. However, he opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners as well as the learned Government Advocate (crl.side) and perused the entire materials available on record.

6. Considering the above facts and circumstances of the case and the submissions made by the learned counsel on either side and also of the fact that A1 in this case has been granted bail by the Sessions Court, this Court



CrI.O.P.No.11379 of 2023

is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners with certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned Judicial Magistrate No.I, Chengalpattu, on condition that the petitioners shall execute a separate bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer, who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity.

[b] the petitioners shall stay at Perambalur and report before the Inspector of Police, Perambalur Town Police Station, daily at 10.30 a.m., for a period of four



weeks and thereafter, report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., until further orders;

[c] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[d] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]**;

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC;

13.06.2023

vkrr



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.11379 of 2023

A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA, J.

vkrr

Crl.O.P.No.11379 of 2023

13.06.2023