



WEB COPY



H.C.P.No.945 of 2023

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 20.06.2023

Coram

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE **M.SUNDAR**
and
THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE **R.SAKTHIVEL**

H.C.P.No.945 of 2023

Maheswari
W/o.Balamani

.. Petitioner /
Wife of the detenu

VS

1.The Additional Chief Secretary to Government
Home, Prohibition and Excise Department
Secretariat
Chennai – 600 009

2.The District Collector
and District Magistrate
Villupuram District
Villupuram

3. The Superintendent of Police
Villupuram District
Villupuram

4. The Superintendent of Prison
Central Prison
Cuddalore

5. The Inspector of Police
Villupuram Town Police Station
Villupuram

.. Respondents



H.C.P.No.945 of 2023

Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying for issuance of a writ of habeas corpus to call for the records in connection with the order of detention passed by the second respondent dated 22.09.2022 in Rc.No.C2/17328/2022 against the petitioner husband Balamani @ Bala, male aged 32 years, son of Thiyagarajan, who is confined at Central Prison, Cuddalore and set aside the same and direct the respondents to produce the detenu before this Court and set him at liberty.

For Petitioner : Mr.S. Senthilvel

For Respondents : Mr.E.Raj Thilak,
Additional Public Prosecutor

ORDER

[Order of the Court was made by M.SUNDAR, J.]

Captioned 'Habeas Corpus Petition' ['HCP' for the sake of brevity] has been filed by wife of detenu assailing a 'preventive detention order dated 22.09.2022 bearing reference Rc.No.C2/17328/2022' [hereinafter 'impugned preventive detention order' for the sake of convenience and brevity]. To be noted, fifth respondent is the sponsoring authority and second respondent is the detaining authority as impugned preventive detention order has been made by second respondent.



H.C.P.No.945 of 2023

2. When the captioned HCP was listed for admission before this Court, proceedings/orders dated 05.06.2023 was made in the 'Admission Board' and the same reads as follows:

'Captioned Habeas Corpus Petition has been filed in this Court on 08.05.2023 inter alia assailing a detention order dated 22.09.2022 bearing reference RC.No.C2/17328/2022 made by 'second respondent' [hereinafter 'Detaining Authority' for the sake of convenience and clarity]. To be noted, fifth respondent is the Sponsoring Authority.

2. To be noted, wife of the detenu is the petitioner.

3. Mr.S.Senthilvel, learned counsel on record for habeas corpus petitioner is before us. Learned counsel for petitioner submits that ground case qua the detenu is for an alleged offence under Section 302 of 'Indian Penal Code, 1860 (Act 45 of 1860)' [IPC' for brevity] in Crime No.154 of 2022 on the file of Villupuram Town Police Station.

4. The aforementioned detention order has been made on the premise that the detenu is a 'Goonda' under Section 2(f) of 'The Tamil Nadu Prevention of Dangerous Activities of Bootleggers, Cyber law offenders, Drug-offenders, Forest-offenders, Goondas, Immoral traffic offenders, Sand-offenders, Sexual-offenders, Slum-grabbers and Video Pirates Act, 1982 (Tamil Nadu Act No.14 of 1982)' [hereinafter 'Act 14 of 1982' for the sake of convenience and clarity].



WEB COPY



H.C.P.No.945 of 2023

5. *The detention order has been assailed inter alia on the ground that some of the pages in the grounds of detention furnished to the detenu were illegible which prevented the detenu from making an effective representation.*

6. *Prima facie case made out for admission. Admit. Issue Rule nisi returnable by four weeks.*

7. *Mr.R.Muniyapparaj, learned Additional Public Prosecutor, State of Tamil Nadu accepts notice for all respondents. List the captioned Habeas Corpus Petition accordingly.'*

3. The aforementioned proceedings/orders made in the 'Admission Board' captures short facts essential and imperative for appreciating this order and therefore without setting out the same again, we deem it appropriate to say that aforementioned proceedings shall be read as an integral part and parcel of this order.

4. There is no adverse case. The solitary case which is the sole substratum of the impugned preventive detention order is Crime No.154 of 2022 on the file of Villupuram Town Police Station for the an alleged offence under Section 302 of 'The Indian Penal Code (45 of 1860)' [hereinafter 'IPC' for the sake of convenience and clarity]. Owing to the nature of the challenge to the impugned preventive detention order, it is not necessary to delve into the factual matrix or be detained further by



H.C.P.No.945 of 2023

facts.

WEB COPY

5. Mr.S. Senthilvel, learned counsel on record for petitioner and Mr.E.Raj Thilak, learned State Additional Public Prosecutor for all respondents are before us.

6. In the admission Board, though the point that some of the pages in the grounds booklet were illegible, which prevented the detenu from making an effective representation was projected, in the hearing today, learned counsel for petitioner submits that 'live and proximate link' between the grounds of detention and purpose of detention has snapped as date of remand in the ground case is 23.08.2022 but the impugned detention order has been made only on 22.09.2022.

7. Mr.E.Raj Thilak, learned State Additional Public Prosecutor, submits to the contrary by saying that materials had to be collected and time was consumed for the same. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and nature of ground case, we find that this explanation of learned State Additional Public Prosecutor is unacceptable.



H.C.P.No.945 of 2023

8. We remind ourselves of *Sushanta Kumar Banik's* case

[*Sushanta Kumar Banik Vs. State of Tripura & others reported in 2022 LiveLaw (SC) 813 : 2022 SCC OnLine SC 5913*]. To be noted, *Banik* case law arose under 'Prevention of Illicit Traffic in Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1988' [hereinafter 'PIT NDPS Act' for the sake of brevity] in Tirupura, wherein after considering the proposal by the Sponsoring Authority and after noticing the trajectory the matter took, Hon'ble Supreme Court held that the 'live and proximate link between grounds of detention and purpose of detention snapping' point should be examined on a case to case basis. Hon'ble Supreme Court has held in *Banik* case law that this point has two facets. One facet is 'unreasonable delay' and other facet is 'unexplained delay'. We find that the captioned matter falls under latter facet i.e., unexplained delay.

9. To be noted, *Banik* case has been respectfully followed by this Court in *Gomathi Vs.The Principal Secretary to Government and others* reported vide Neutral Citation of Madras High Court being *2023/MHC/334, Sadik Basha Yusuf Vs. The State of Tamil Nadu and others* reported vide Neutral Citation of Madras High Court being



H.C.P.No.945 of 2023

2023/MHC/733, Sangeetha Vs. The Secretary to the Government and

others reported vide Neutral Citation of Madras High Court being

2023:MHC:1110, N.Anitha Vs. The Secretary to Government and

others reported vide Neutral Citation of Madras High Court being

2023:MHC:1159 and a series of other orders in HCP cases.

10. Before concluding, we also remind ourselves that preventive detention is not a punishment and HCP is a high prerogative writ.

11. Ergo, the sequitur is, captioned HCP is allowed. Impugned detention order dated 22.09.2022 bearing reference Rc.No.C2/17328/2022 made by the second respondent is set aside and the detenu Thiru. Balamani @ Bala, male aged 32 years, son of Thiru.Thiyagarajan, is directed to be set at liberty forthwith, if not required in connection with any other case / cases. There shall be no order as to costs.

(M.S.,J.) (R.S.V.,J.)
20.06.2023

Index : Yes

Neutral Citation : Yes

gpa

P.S: Registry to forthwith communicate this order to Jail authorities



H.C.P.No.945 of 2023

in Central Prison, Cuddalore

WEB COPY To

1. The Additional Chief Secretary to Government
Home, Prohibition and Excise Department
Secretariat
Chennai – 600 009
2. The District Collector
and District Magistrate
Villupuram District
Villupuram
3. The Superintendent of Police
Villupuram District
Villupuram
4. The Superintendent of Prison
Central Prison
Cuddalore
5. The Inspector of Police
Villupuram Town Police Station
Villupuram
6. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.



WEB COPY



H.C.P.No.945 of 2023

**M.SUNDAR, J.,
and
R.SAKTHIVEL, J.,**

gpa

H.C.P.No.945 of 2023

20.06.2023