



Crl.O.P.No.10587 of 2023

Crl.O.P.No.10587 of 2023

WEB CO **A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA, J.**

The petitioners, who apprehends arrest for the alleged offences under Sections 341, 294(b), 323, 324, 506(ii) of IPC in Crime No.193 of 2023 on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that due to money dispute, the petitioners have indiscriminately assaulted the defacto complainant and her husband with knife, resulting in them sustaining grievous injuries. Hence the case.

3.The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioners are innocent person and on account of money dispute, a false complaint has been given against them. He would further submit that the petitioners have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and they are ready to abide by any stringent condition that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he prayed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.



4. The learned Government Advocate (crl.side) appearing for the respondent Police would submit that due to money dispute, the petitioners have indiscriminately attacked the defacto complainant and her husband with koduval, due to which, they sustained grievous injuries. He would further submit that the injured have been discharged from the hospital. However, he vehemently opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5.The learned counsel for the intervenor would submit that it is true that the defacto complainant has received money from the petitioners and they have also repaid the money, whereas, the petitioners had demanded further amount and when the defacto complainant and her husband have expressed their inability to pay the amount, they were indiscriminately attacked by the petitioners with koduval. He would further submit that the defacto complainant has received grievous injuries on the hands and her husband has also received grievous injuries near his ear and he has gone to the verge of death. Hence, he vehemently opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.



Crl.O.P.No.10587 of 2023

6.Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners as well as the learned

Government Advocate (crl.side) and perused the entire materials available on record.

7.Taking into consideration the facts of the case and the submissions made by the learned counsel on either side and also the nature of the offence committed by the petitioners, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

8.Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

02.06.2023

vkrr



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.10587 of 2023

A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA, J.

vkr

Crl.O.P.No.10587 of 2023

02.06.2023