



Crl.O.P.No.10359 of 2023

Crl.O.P.No.10359 of 2023

S.SOUNTHAR, J.

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 294(b), 323 and 506(i) of I.P.C, in Crime No.160 of 2023, seek anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 16.04.2023, there was a wordy quarrel between the defacto complainant and the petitioners with regard to conducting a festival in Murugan Temple, which lead the de-facto complainant to sustain injury. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners have nothing to do with the said allegation and they have been falsely implicated in this case. Hence, he prayed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.



Crl.O.P.No.10359 of 2023

WEB COPY

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent police submitted that due to wordy quarrel between the defacto complainant and the petitioners, the petitioners attacked the defacto complainant. The injured was admitted in the hospital and now has been discharged from the hospital. However, he opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Having regard to the facts of the case and the nature of the allegation made against the petitioners and also of the fact that the injured has been discharged from the hospital, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners with certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of



CrI.O.P.No.10359 of 2023

WEB COPY

fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the **learned Judicial Magistrate No.I, Pollachi**, on condition that the petitioners shall execute separate bonds for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with **two sureties** each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate shall obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity.

[b] the petitioners shall report before the respondent police once in a week at 10.30 a.m. for a period of four weeks and thereafter as and when required for interrogation.

[c] the petitioners shall not tamper the evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.



Crl.O.P.No.10359 of 2023

S.SOUNTHAR, J.,
rsi/gm

[d] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC.

05.05.2023

rsi/gm

Crl.O.P.No.10359 of 2023