



H.C.P.No.1087 of 2022

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED 02.01.2023

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE Mr.JUSTICE P.N.PRAKASH  
AND  
THE HONOURABLE Mr.JUSTICE N.ANAND VENKATESH

H.C.P.No.1087 of 2022

R.Selvi

.. Petitioner

Vs.

1.State of Tamil Nadu represented by its  
Secretary to Government,  
Prohibition and Excise Department (Home),  
Secretariat, Chennai-600 009.

2.The Commissioner of Police,  
Tambaram City, Chennai-119.

3.The Superintendent of Prison,  
Central Prison, Puzhal,  
Chennai-600 066.

4.The Inspector of Police,  
H-3, Maraimalai Nagar Police Station  
Chengalpattu District.

.. Respondents



H.C.P.No.1087 of 2022

Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying

to issue a WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS to call for the records relating to the detenu's detention order passed by the second respondent in BCDFGISSSV No.57/2022 dated 22.04.2022 and set aside the same and produce the detenu Dinesh, male, aged about 27 years, S/o.Ramachandran, now detained in the Central Prison, Puzhal, before this Court and set him at liberty.

For Petitioner : Mr.S.Balasubramaniam

For Respondents : Mr.R.Muniyapparaj  
Additional Public Prosecutor

### **ORDER**

[Order of the Court was made by P.N.PRAKASH, J.]

The petitioner is the mother of the detenu *viz.*, Dinesh, male, aged about 27 years, S/o.Ramachandran. The detenu has been detained by the 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent by his order in BCDFGISSSV No.57/2022 dated 22.04.2022, holding him to be a "Goonda", as contemplated under Section 2(f) of Tamil Nadu Act 14 of 1982. The said order is under challenge in this Habeas Corpus Petition.



H.C.P.No.1087 of 2022

WEB COPY

2. We have heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondents. We have also perused the records produced by the Detaining Authority.

3. Though the learned counsel for the petitioner has raised several other grounds to assail the order of detention, he has mainly focused his argument on the ground that the arrest intimation has not been fully translated in vernacular language. This deprived the detenu from making effective representation. Therefore, on this sole ground, the detention order is liable to be quashed.

4. The Additional Public Prosecutor strongly opposed the habeas corpus petition by filing his counter.

5. On consideration of the submissions made on either side and upon perusal of the documents available on record especially Page No.291 of the booklet, it is clear that the arrest intimation has not been fully



H.C.P.No.1087 of 2022

translated in vernacular language. Thus, the impugned detention order is liable to be set aside on this ground.

In the result, the Habeas Corpus Petition is allowed and the order of detention in BCDFGISSSV No.57/2022 dated 22.04.2022, passed by the second respondent is set aside. The detenu viz., Dinesh, male, aged about 27 years, S/o.Ramachandran, is directed to be released forthwith, unless his detention is required in connection with any other case.

[P.N.P., J.] [N.A.V., J.]  
02.01.2023

nsd



H.C.P.No.1087 of 2022

WEB COPY To

1.The Secretary to Government,  
Prohibition and Excise Department (Home),  
Secretariat, Chennai-600 009.

2.The Commissioner of Police,  
Tambaram City, Chennai-119.

3.The Superintendent of Prison,  
Central Prison, Puzhal,  
Chennai-600 066.

4.The Inspector of Police,  
H-3, Maraimalai Nagar Police Station  
Chengalpattu District.

5.The Joint Secretary to Government,  
Public, Law and Order Department,  
Secretariat, Chennai-9.

6.The Public Prosecutor,  
High Court, Madras.



WEB COPY



H.C.P.No.1087 of 2022

P.N.PRAKASH, J.  
AND  
N.ANAND VENKATESH, J.

nsd

H.C.P.No.1087 of 2022

02.01.2023