



WEB COPY



CrI.OP.No.9971 of 2023

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 04.05.2023

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE **G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN**

CrI.O.P.No.9971 of 2023

Madesh

..Petitioner

Vs.

The State Represented by
The Inspector of Police,
Sipcot Police Station,
Krishnagiri District.
(Crime No.108 of 2023)

..Respondent

PRAYER:Criminal Original Petition is filed under Section 439 of Cr.P.C.
praying to grant bail to the petitioner pending investigation in Crime No.108 of
2023 on the file of the respondent Police.

For Petitioner : Mr.P.M.Jayachandran

For Respondent : Mr.A.Damodaran
Additional Public Prosecutor

ORDER

The petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on
23.04.2023 for the offence punishable under Sections 294(b) and 307 of IPC, in
Crime No.108 of 2023 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.



CrI.OP.No.9971 of 2023

2. The case of the prosecution is that due to previous enmity, there was a wordy quarrel between the petitioner and the defacto complainant. The petitioner abused the defacto complainant in filthy language and attacked him with knife, due to which the defacto complainant sustained injuries. Hence, the present case.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has been falsely implicated in this case and he is no way connected with the offence as alleged by the prosecution. Hence, he prays for grant of bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent police would submit that due to previous enmity, there was a wordy quarrel between the petitioner and the defacto complainant. The petitioner abused the defacto complainant in filthy language and attacked him with knife, due to which the defacto complainant sustained injuries. He also submitted that the injured has been discharged from the hospital on 26.04.2023. However, he vehemently opposed to grant bail to the petitioner.



CrI.OP.No.9971 of 2023

5. Considering the above facts and circumstances of the case, and also considering the period of incarceration undergone by the petitioner from 23.04.2023, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his executing a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand only) with **two sureties**, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate No.II, Hosur and on further conditions that:

[a] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity.

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent Police daily at 10.30 a.m., for a period of two weeks and thereafter as and when required for interrogation.

[c] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[d] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.



CrI.OP.No.9971 of 2023

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]**.

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC.

04.05.2023

mn



WEB COPY



CrI.OP.No.9971 of 2023

To

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate No.II, Hosur.
2. The Inspector of Police,
Sipcot Police Station,
Krishnagiri District.
3. The Central Jail,
Salem.
- 4.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras



WEB COPY



Crl.OP.No.9971 of 2023

G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN, J.

mn

Crl.O.P.No.9971 of 2023

04.05.2023