



WEB COPY



CrI.O.P.No.9644 of 2023

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 28.04.2023

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE **A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA**

CrI.O.P.No.9644 of 2023

Shanthi

... Petitioner

Vs.

The State represented by,
The Inspector of Police,
Kadaladi Police Station.

(Crime No.35 of 2023).

... Respondent

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 439 of Cr.P.C.,
pleaded to enlarge the petitioner/accused on bail, in connection with the
Crime No.35 of 2023, pending investigation on the file of the respondent
Police.

For Petitioner : Mr.D.Balaji

For Respondent : Mr.C.E.Pratap
Government Advocate (CrI.Side)



WEB COPY



CrI.O.P.No.9644 of 2023

ORDER

The petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 03.02.2023, for the offences punishable under Sections 4(1)(aa) r/w 4(1-A)ii of Tamil Nadu Prohibition Act, in Crime No.35 of 2023, on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that when the respondent and his team were on their regular patrol duty, they found that the accused was in illegal possession of 60 litres of country arrack. Hence the case.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person and she has been falsely implicated in this case, since he has got some previous cases. He also submitted that the petitioner has been detained under Act 14 pursuant to the detention order dated 06.03.2023, subsequently, the Advisory Board has revoked the detention order passed against the petitioner by G.O.Rt.No.2067 dated 19.04.2023. Hence, he prayed for grant of bail to the petitioner.



Crl.O.P.No.9644 of 2023

WEB COPY

4. Learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police submitted that the petitioner was found to be in illegal possession of 60 litres of country arrack. He further submitted that the petitioner is a habitual offender having 12 previous cases of similar nature. Therefore, he vehemently opposed for grant of bail to the petitioner.

5. At this juncture, the learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner, without prejudice to her defense and contention, is ready and willing to make a non-refundable deposit of Rs.20,000/- to any welfare scheme run by the Government. He further stated that the petitioner is ready to abide by any other stringent conditions that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he prays for grant of bail to the petitioner.

6. Heard the learned Counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) and perused the materials available on record.

7. On considering the voluntary submission made by the learned



Crl.O.P.No.9644 of 2023

counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner is directed to deposit a sum of Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand only) to the credit of "Charu Home for Aged", without prejudice to her rights and contentions before the trial Court.

8. Merely, because the petitioner has deposited the said amount, it would not amount to admission of her guilt. Therefore, it is open to the trial Court to deal with the case independently.

9. Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case, the submissions made by the learned counsel on either side and also taking note of the fact that the petitioner has come forward to deposit an amount of Rs.20,000/- to the credit of "Charu Home for Aged", this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

10. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on condition to make a non-refundable deposit of **Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand only)** directly to the credit of "**Charu Home for Aged, Account Number : 2771201000291, IFSC Code : CNRB0002771, Canara Bank,**



CrI.O.P.No.9644 of 2023

Mahila Br., T.Nagar Chennai - 17", without prejudice to her rights and contentions before the trial Court, on such deposit and production of proof, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on her executing a bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten thousand only)** with **two sureties**, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the **learned Judicial Magistrate, Kalasapakkam, Tiruvannamalai**, and on further conditions that:

[a] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent Police, everyday at 05.30 p.m., until further orders;

[c] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[d] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court



WEB COPY



CrI.O.P.No.9644 of 2023

in *P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]*;

[f] If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR
can be registered under Section 229A IPC.

28.04.2023

ham

To

1. The Judicial Magistrate,
Kalasapakkam, Tiruvannamalai District.
2. The Inspector of Police,
Kadaladi Police Station.
3. The Special Prison for Women,
Vellore.
4. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.9644 of 2023

A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA.,J.

ham

Crl.O.P.No.9644 of 2023

28.04.2023