



Crl.O.P.No.9718 of 2023

**Crl.O.P.No.9718 of 2023**

**S.SOUNTHAR, J.**

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 294(b), 341, 324 and 506(ii) IPC, in Crime No.157 of 2023, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner and the defacto complainant are working in the same office. On 11.04.2023, at about 10.30 a.m. there was some wordy quarrel between them. Due to which the petitioner abused the defacto complainant with filthy language and attacked him with iron cycle rod on his head and cheeks. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and a false case has been foisted against him for statistical purpose. Hence, he prayed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.



Crl.O.P.No.9718 of 2023

WEB COPY

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent police submitted that the injured has been discharged from the hospital. However, he opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Taking into consideration, the facts and circumstances of the case and also of the fact that the injured has been discharged from the hospital, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the **learned XVIII Metropolitan Magistrate, Saidapet, Chennai**, on condition that the petitioner shall execute bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with **two sureties** for a like sum to the satisfaction of



Crl.O.P.No.9718 of 2023

the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity.

**[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police once in a week at 10.30 a.m., for a period of four weeks and thereafter as and when required for interrogation.**

[c] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.9718 of 2023

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC.

nti/Dna

**04.05.2023**



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.9718 of 2023

**S.SOUNTHAR, J.,**

nti/Dna

Crl.O.P.No.9718 of 2023

04.05.2023