



WEB COPY



H.C.P.No.705 of 2023

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 07.08.2023

CORAM

**THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE M.SUNDAR**  
and  
**THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE R.SAKTHIVEL**

H.C.P.No.705 of 2023

P.Pandi Selvi

W/o.Baranidharan @ Bharani

.. Petitioner / Wife of Detenu

Vs.

1.State of Tamil Nadu

Rep. by its Secretary to Government,  
Home, Prohibition and Excise Department,  
Fort St. George, Chennai – 600 009.

2.The Commissioner of Police,  
Detaining Authority,  
Tiruppur City.

3.The Superintendent,  
Central Prison,  
Coimbatore.

4.The Inspector of Police,  
15 Velampalayam Police Station,  
Tiruppur City.

... Respondents

Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying for  
issuance of a writ of habeas corpus to produce the body of the Detenu



H.C.P.No.705 of 2023

namely Baranidharan @ Barani, son of Pandiyan, aged about 26 years, who is confined at Central Prison, Coimbatore before this Court and set him at liberty forthwith, by calling for the records pertaining to the detention order dated 15.02.2023 made in C.No.01/G/IS/Tiruppur City/2023, passed by the 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent, quash the same as illegal.

For Petitioner : Mr.S.Anbazzhagan  
for Mr.A.Balaji

For Respondents : Mr.E.Raj Thilak  
Additional Public Prosecutor

### **ORDER**

**[Order of the Court was made by M.SUNDAR, J.,]**

This order will now dispose of the captioned 'Habeas Corpus Petition' [hereinafter 'HCP' for the sake of brevity].

2. When the captioned HCP was listed in the admission board on 28.04.2023, the following order was made by this Court.



H.C.P.No.705 of 2023

WEB COPY

H.C.P.No.705 of 2023

M.SUNDAR, J.  
and  
M.NIRMALKUMAR, J.,

(Order of the Court was made by M.SUNDAR, J.)

Captioned Habeas Corpus Petition has been filed in this Court on 21.04.2023 *inter alia* assailing a detention order dated 19.02.2023 bearing reference C.No.01/GIS/Tiruppur City/2023 made by 'second respondent' [hereinafter 'Detaining Authority' for the sake of convenience and clarity]. To be noted, fourth respondent is the Sponsoring Authority.

2. Wife of detenu is the petitioner.


3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the ground case qua the detenu is for alleged offences under Section 302 of 'The Indian Penal Code (45 of 1860)' [hereinafter 'IPC' for the sake of convenience and clarity], subsequently altered into Sections 302 and 397 of IPC in Crime No.867 of 2022 on the file of 15, Velampalayam Police Station.

Page Nos.1/3



H.C.P.No.705 of 2023

WEB COPY





*H.C.P.No.705 of 2023*

3. The aforementioned order / proceedings shall now be read as an integral part and parcel of this order. This means that the short forms, short references and abbreviations used in the earlier order dated 28.04.2023 will continue to be used in the instant order also.

4. There is no adverse case. The ground case which is the sole substratum of the impugned preventive detention order is Crime No.867 of 2022 on the file of 15 Velampalayam Police Station for an alleged offence under Section 302 of 'The Indian Penal Code (45 of 1860)' [hereinafter 'IPC' for the sake of convenience and clarity]. Owing to the nature of the challenge to the impugned detention order, it is not necessary to delve into the factual matrix or be detained further by facts.

5. Mr.S.Anbazhagan, learned counsel representing the counsel on record for petitioner and Mr.E.Raj Thilak, learned State Additional Public Prosecutor for all respondents are before us.

6. When the captioned matter was taken up, Mr.S.Anbazhagan, learned counsel for petitioner and Mr.E.Raj Thilak, learned Additional Public



*H.C.P.No.705 of 2023*

Prosecutor appearing for respondents submitted that co-accused in the ground case, namely, Vignesh @ Maruthupandi, aged 23 years, son of Mr.Palmani was also clamped with a similar preventive detention order [similar to the impugned preventive detention order which has been assailed in the captioned HCP]. Mr.Vignesh's preventive detention order was assailed by his wife vide H.C.P.No.406 of 2023 and the same was allowed by this Court in and by an order dated 24.07.2023.

7. A scanned reproduction of the order dated 24.07.2023 in H.C.P.No.406 of 2023 as downloaded from the official website of this Court is as follows:



WEB COPY



H.C.P.No.705 of 2023



WEB COPY



H.C.P.No.406 of 2023

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 24.07.2023

CORAM

**THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE M.SUNDAR**  
and  
**THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE R.SAKTHIVEL**

H.C.P.No.406 of 2023

Sungaya @ Muthumari  
W/o.Vignesh@Maruthupandi

.. Petitioner/Wife of Detenu

Vs.

1.The Principal Secretary to Government,  
Home, Prohibition and Excise Department,  
Secretariat,  
Chennai – 600 009.

2.The Commissioner of Police,  
Detaining Authority,  
Tiruppur City.

3.The Superintendent of Prison,  
Central Prison,  
Coimbatore.

4.The Inspector of Police,  
Velampalayam Police Station,  
Tiruppur City.

.. Respondents

Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying for issuance of a writ of habeas corpus calling for the records relating to the order of the 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent in C.No.02/G/IS/TPC/2023 dated 15.02.2023 and quash the same and direct the respondents to produce the petitioner's

<https://www.mhc.triplichi.org/> Page Nos. 1/8



WEB COPY



H.C.P.No.705 of 2023



WEB C



H.C.P.No.406 of 2023

husband Mr.Vignesh @ Maruthupandi, aged 23 years, S/o.Palmani, presently confined in Central Prison, Coimbatore before this Court and set him at liberty.

For Petitioner : Mr.P.Arumugavel

For Respondents : Mr.E.Raj Thilak  
Additional Public Prosecutor

### **ORDER**

**[Order of the Court was made by M.SUNDAR, J.]**

Captioned 'Habeas Corpus Petition' ['HCP' for the sake of brevity] has been filed by wife of the detenu assailing a 'preventive detention order dated 15.02.2023 bearing reference C.No.02/G/IS/Tiruppur City/2023' [hereinafter 'impugned preventive detention order' for the sake of convenience and brevity]. To be noted, fourth respondent is the sponsoring authority and second respondent is the detaining authority as impugned preventive detention order has been made by second respondent.

2. Impugned preventive detention order has been made under 'The Tamil Nadu Prevention of Dangerous Activities of Bootleggers, Cyber law offenders, Drug-offenders, Forest-offenders, Goondas, Immoral traffic offenders, Sand-offenders, Sexual-offenders, Slum-grabbers and Video Pirates Act, 1982 (Tamil Nadu Act No.14 of 1982)' [hereinafter 'Act 14 of



H.C.P.No.705 of 2023

WEB COPY



H.C.P.No.486 of 2023

WEB COPY

1982' for the sake of convenience and clarity] on the premise that the detenu is a 'Goonda' within the meaning of Section 2(f) of Act 14 of 1982.

3. There is no adverse case. The ground case which is the sole substratum of the impugned preventive detention order is Crime No.867 of 2022 on the file of 15 Velampalayam Police Station for an alleged offence under Section 302 of 'The Indian Penal Code (45 of 1860)' [hereinafter 'IPC' for the sake of convenience and clarity]. Owing to the nature of the challenge to the impugned preventive detention order, it is not necessary to delve into the factual matrix or be detained further by facts.

4. Mr.P.Arumugavel, learned counsel on record for petitioner and Mr.E.Raj Thilak, learned State Additional Public Prosecutor for all respondents are before us.

5. Learned counsel for petitioner submits that 'live and proximate link' between the grounds of detention and purpose of detention has snapped as date of remand in the ground case is 05.12.2022 but the impugned detention order has been made only on 15.02.2023.

<https://www.mhc.tri.org.in/cases/3/8>



WEB COPY



H.C.P.No.705 of 2023



WEB COPY



H.C.P.No.406 of 2023

6. Mr.E.Raj Thilak, learned State Additional Public Prosecutor, submits to the contrary by saying that materials had to be collected and time was consumed for the same. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and nature of ground case, we find that this explanation of learned State Additional Public Prosecutor is unacceptable.

7. We remind ourselves of *Sushanta Kumar Banik's* case [*Sushanta Kumar Banik Vs. State of Tripura & others reported in 2022 LiveLaw (SC) 813 : 2022 SCC OnLine SC 1333*]. To be noted, *Banik* case law arose under 'Prevention of Illicit Traffic in Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1988' [hereinafter 'PIT NDPS Act' for the sake of brevity] in Tirupura, wherein after considering the proposal by the Sponsoring Authority and after noticing the trajectory the matter took, Hon'ble Supreme Court held that the 'live and proximate link between grounds of detention and purpose of detention snapping' point should be examined on a case to case basis. Hon'ble Supreme Court has held in *Banik* case law that this point has two facets. One facet is 'unreasonable delay' and other facet is 'unexplained delay'. We find that the captioned matter falls under latter facet i.e., unexplained delay.

<https://www.mhc.tripura.nic.in/> Page Nos. 4/8



WEB COPY



H.C.P.No.705 of 2023



WEB COPY



H.C.P.No.406 of 2023

8. To be noted, **Banik** case has been respectfully followed by this Court in **Gomathi Vs.The Principal Secretary to Government and others** reported vide Neutral Citation of Madras High Court being **2023/MHC/334**, **Sadik Basha Yusuf Vs. The State of Tamil Nadu and others** reported vide Neutral Citation of Madras High Court being **2023/MHC/733**, **Sangeetha Vs. The Secretary to the Government and others** reported vide Neutral Citation of Madras High Court being **2023:MHC:1110**, **N.Anitha Vs. The Secretary to Government and others** reported vide Neutral Citation of Madras High Court being **2023:MHC:1159** and a series of other orders in HCP cases.

9. To be noted, the sole substratum of the impugned preventive detention order is a solitary case viz., Crime No.867 of 2022 on the file of 15 Velampalayam Police Station for an alleged offence *inter-alia* under Section 302 of IPC.

10. Before concluding, we also remind ourselves that preventive detention is not a punishment and HCP is a high prerogative writ.

ps://www.mhc.tr Page Nos. 5/8



WEB COPY



H.C.P.No.705 of 2023



WEB COPY



H.C.P.No.406 of 2023

11. Ergo, the sequitur is, captioned HCP is allowed. Impugned detention order dated 15.02.2023 bearing reference C.No.02/G/IS/Tiruppur City/2023 made by the second respondent is set aside and the detenu Thiru.Vignesh @ Maruthupandi, aged 23 years, son of Thiru.Palmani, is directed to be set at liberty forthwith, if not required in connection with any other case / cases. There shall be no order as to costs.

(M.S., J.) (R.S.V., J.)  
24.07.2023

Index : Yes  
Speaking order  
Neutral Citation : Yes  
rsi

**P.S: Registry to forthwith communicate this order to Jail authorities in Central Prison, Coimbatore.**

<https://www.mhc.tripura.gov.in> Page Nos. 6/8

8. There is no disputation or disagreement that the point on which H.C.P.No.406 of 2023 was allowed [live and proximate link between grounds of detention and purpose of detention has been snapped] is available

<https://www.mhc.tripura.gov.in> Page Nos. 12/14



H.C.P.No.705 of 2023

in the captioned HCP also, as the date of arrest in the solitary ground case is 05.12.2022 and the impugned preventive detention order has been made only on 15.02.2023. This reminds us of the age old adage '*sauce for the goose is sauce for the gander too*'. Therefore, we have no hesitation in saying that captioned HCP deserves to be allowed and impugned preventive detention order in the captioned HCP also deserves to be set aside.

9. Ergo, the sequitur is, captioned HCP is allowed. Impugned detention order dated 15.02.2023 bearing reference C.No.01/G/IS/Tiruppur City/2023 made by the second respondent is set aside and the detenu Thiru.Baranidharan alias Barani, aged 26 years, son of Thiru.Pandian is directed to be set at liberty forthwith, if not required in connection with any other case / cases. There shall be no order as to costs.

(M.S.,J.) (R.S.V.,J.)  
07.08.2023

Index : Yes  
Speaking order  
Neutral Citation : Yes  
rsi

**P.S: Registry to forthwith communicate this order to Jail authorities in Central Prison, Coimbatore.**



WEB COPY



*H.C.P.No.705 of 2023*

**M.SUNDAR, J.**  
**and**  
**R.SAKTHIVEL, J.**

rsi

To

- 1.The Secretary to Government,  
Home, Prohibition and Excise Department,  
Fort St. George, Chennai – 600 009.
- 2.The Commissioner of Police,  
Detaining Authority,  
Tiruppur City.
- 3.The Superintendent,  
Central Prison,  
Coimbatore.
- 4.The Inspector of Police,  
15 Velampalayam Police Station,  
Tiruppur City.
- 5.The Public Prosecutor  
Madras High Court, Chennai

**H.C.P.No.705 of 2023**

**07.08.2023**