



W.A.No.954 of 2020

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 11.07.2023

CORAM :

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE D.KRISHNAKUMAR

AND

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE P.B.BALAJI

W.A.No.954 of 2020

G.Lakshmi Narayana

..

Appellant

v.

1. NTC Logistics India Private Limited
NTC Tower, No.97, Old No.47,
Linghi Chetty Street
Chennai 600 001
rep by its Authorized Signatory
Mr.Raaja Sundaram – Joint Managing Director
2. The Inspector of Police
M-4 Red Hills Police Station
No.1, 172 A, GNT Road, Red Hills
Padianallur, Madhavaram Taluk
Chennai 600 052
3. M/s Olympic Warehouse Pvt.Ltd.,
rep by its Managing Director S.Sakthivel
D.No.17/7, Vinayagapuram
Arumbakkam, Chennai 600 106



W.A.No.954 of 2020

4. The Bond Officer
Indian Customs, Customs House
Krishna Block
60, Rajaji Salai
Chennai 600 001

.. Respondents

Writ Appeal filed under Clause 15 of the Letters Patent, against the order dated 27.07.2020 made in W.P.No.8834 of 2020.

For Appellant :: Mr.D.Hari Krishnan

For Respondents :: Mr.S.Aravindan for
M/s Fox Mandal Associates for R1
Mr.U.M.Ravichandran
Special Government Pleader for R2
Mr.V.Manohar for R3
Mr.A.P.Srinivas for R4

JUDGMENT

(Judgment of the Court was made by D.KRISHNAKUMAR,J.)

The instant intra-Court appeal is filed by the appellant aggrieved by the observation made by the writ Court in paragraph-8 of the order dated 27.07.2020 passed in Writ Petition No.8834 of 2020.

2. Learned counsel appearing for the appellant brought to our notice that pursuant to the direction issued by the writ Court, the first



W.A.No.954 of 2020

respondent/writ petitioner has already removed the goods from the warehouse/godown. Therefore, even according to the appellant, at this juncture, no further orders are necessary. However, the learned counsel submitted that the observation made by the writ Court in paragraph-8 of the order would affect the interest of the appellant in the dispute pending between the parties before the other forums. Therefore, he submitted that the writ appeal may be disposed of clarifying that the observation made by the writ Court will not influence the other forums to arrive at a decision in the pending dispute between the parties.

3. The learned counsels appearing for the first and third respondents also agreed that the writ appeal may be disposed of with the above observation.

4. Considering the fact that the goods have been removed from the warehouse/godown, we are not inclined to delve into the merits of the case including the maintainability of the writ appeal at this juncture. Therefore, the writ appeal stands dismissed as infructuous. However, we make it clear



W.A.No.954 of 2020

that whatever observation made by the writ Court against the appellant will not influence the decision to be taken by the other forums in the pending dispute between the parties. Consequently, C.M.P.No.11485 of 2020 is closed. No costs.

(D.K.K.,J.) (P.B.B,J.)
11.07.2023

Index : yes/no
Neutral citation : yes/no

ss

To

1. The Inspector of Police
M-4 Red Hills Police Station
No.1, 172 A, GNT Road, Red Hills
Padianallur, Madhavaram Taluk
Chennai 600 052
2. The Bond Officer
Indian Customs, Customs House
Krishna Block
60, Rajaji Salai
Chennai 600 001



WEB COPY



W.A.No.954 of 2020

D.KRISHNAKUMAR,J.

AND

P.B.BALAJI,J.

SS

W.A.No.954 of 2020

11.07.2023