



WEB COPY

W.P.No.12716 of



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 26.04.2023

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE S.M.SUBRAMANIAM

W.P.No.12716 of 2023

and

W.M.P.No.12514 of 2023

Mr.Velmurugan,
S/o.Late.Selvam,
No.2/57, North Street,
Aarugattudhurai,
Vedharanyam Taluk,
Nagapattinam District
Represented by his Power Agent
R.Kasinathan,
S/o. Rethinam,
No.140, Vadamazhai Village,
Vedharanyam Taluk,
Nagapattinam District.

... Petitioner

Vs.

The Sub Registrar (Thaghattur)
Office of the Sub Registrar
Thaghattur
Nagapattinam District.

... Respondent

Prayer: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus, calling for the records on the file of the respondent pertaining to the check slip dated 15.03.2023 in No.RFL/Thakatur/17/2023 and quash the same and consequently direct the



respondent to register the Sale deed dated 15.03.2023 executed by the petitioner.

WEB COPY

For Petitioner : Mr.T.Ananthasekar

For Respondent : Mr.S.Ravichandran
Additional Government Pleader

ORDER

The relief sought for in the present writ petition is to quash the check slip dated 15.03.2023 and consequently direct the respondent to register the sale deed dated 15.03.2023 executed by the petitioner.

2. The check slip reveals that the patta stands in the name of one Mr.Natarajadevar and therefore, the Sub Registrar has stated that the petitioner has to transfer the patta in his name or submit any other document to establish his title.

3. The Sub Registrar is exercising quasi judicial power under the provisions of the Statutes. Thus, the Sub Registrars are expected to apply their mind, while registering any document . Thus, the query raised by the Sub Registrar cannot be found fault with and it is for the petitioner to produce all the necessary documents.



WEB COPY

4. After arguing the matter, the learned counsel for the petitioner made a submission that the petitioner will approach the competent appellate authority for redressing his grievance. It is for the petitioner to resolve the issues in the manner known to law and the learned counsel for the petitioner states that he has chosen to withdraw the writ petition.

5. The Registry is directed to return the impugned order to the learned counsel on record, if it is filed.

6. Accordingly, this Writ Petition stands dismissed as withdrawn. No costs. Consequently, connected Miscellaneous Petition is closed.

26.04.2023

Jeni
Index : Yes
Speaking order
Neutral Citation : Yes

To

The Sub Registrar (Thaghattur)
Office of the Sub Registrar
Thaghattur
Nagapattinam District.



WEB COPY



W.P.No.12716 of

S.M.SUBRAMANIAM, J.

Jeni

W.P.No.12716 of 2023

26.04.2023