



Crl.R.C.No.925 of 2023

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 12.06.2023

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE V.SIVAGNANAM

Crl.RC.No.925 of 2023

Achuthanantham
Petitioner

...

Vs.

State rep by the Inspector of Police,
Nagore Police Station.
Nagapattinam District.
Respondent

...

PRAYER: Criminal Revision Petition filed under Section 397 r/w 401 of Criminal Procedure Code, 1973 to call for the records and set aside the Order passed in Crl.M.P.No.511 of 2021 on the file of the learned Principal District and Sessions Judge, Nagapattinam dated 15.02.2021 and direct the respondent to release the Tipper Lorry bearing registration No.TN 19 AJ 5191.

1 of 9



Crl.R.C.No.925 of 2023

For Petitioner : Mr.J.Jawahar
For Respondent : Mr.R.Vinothraja
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

This Criminal Revision Petition has been filed to set aside the order passed by the learned learned Principal District and Sessions Judge, Nagapattinam dated 15.02.2021 made in Crl.M.P.No.511 of 2021 and to return the Tipper Lorry bearing registration No.TN 19 AJ 5191 to the petitioner /owner of vehicle.

2. It is the case of the prosecution that on 13.09.2020, when the respondent police were on routine vehicle checkup, they stopped the Tipper Tipper Lorry bearing registration No.TN 19 AJ 5191 which was found carrying 3 units Savvudu sand without any valid invoice and permit and



Crl.R.C.No.925 of 2023

hence, a case in Crime No.1569 of 2020 was registered for the offences under Section 379 of IPC read with Section 21 [1] of Mines and Minerals [Development and Regulation] Act and the vehicle was seized.

3. The petitioner is the owner of the above said tipper lorry and he filed a petition in Crl.M.P.No.511 of 2021 before the Trial Court to release the vehicle to him. But it was dismissed, vide order dated 15.02.2021 on the ground that there is possibility of redeployment of the said vehicle for committing the same offence with another set of drivers and cleaners. Hence, challenging the above said order, the petitioner filed the present Revision case.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is the owner of the vehicle Tipper Tipper Lorry bearing registration No.TN 19 AJ 5191. He further submitted that if the vehicle is kept for a long time in the open space, it would cause damage to the vehicle and that the vehicle is not involved in any case of similar in nature and the petitioner is ready to



Crl.R.C.No.925 of 2023

give appropriate guarantee as well as security for return of vehicle and also he will produce the vehicle, as and when required either before the respondent police or before the Trial Court. Hence, he prayed to return the vehicle to the petitioner.

5. The learned Government Advocate [Criminal Side] appearing for the respondent submitted that the petitioner is owner of the Tipper Lorry bearing registration No.TN 19 AJ 5191 and since the above said vehicle was used to transport the savudu sand without valid invoice and permit, it was seized and hence, he objected to return the vehicle to him. He further submitted that the vehicle is not involved in any previous case of similar nature.

6. Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate [Criminal Side] appearing for the respondent and I have perused the materials on record.



Crl.R.C.No.925 of 2023

WEB COPY

7. A perusal of the records shows that, the respondent police registered a case in Crime No.1569 of 2020 for the offences under Section 379 of IPC read with Section 21 [1] of Mines and Minerals [Development and Regulation] Act with regard to transportation of savudu sand. Further, it reveals from the records that the petitioner is the owner of the Tipper Tipper Lorry bearing registration No.TN 19 AJ 5191 and it was seized by the respondent police. The Trial Court dismissed the petition in Crl.M.P.No.511 of 2021, filed by the petitioner, on the ground that there is possibility of redeployment of the said vehicle for committing the same offence with another set of drivers and cleaners. It is the contention of the learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner is ready to give guarantee and security for returning the vehicle and if the vehicle is being kept idle in open space, it would cause damage to the vehicle.

8. At this juncture, it is relevant to rely upon a decision of the *Hon'ble Supreme Court in Sunderbhai Ambalal Desai and others Vs. State of*



Crl.R.C.No.925 of 2023

Gujarat in Special Lave Petition (Crl.)2745 of 2022 dated 01.10.2002

wherein the Hon'ble Supreme Court has held that in order to protect the property, the Apex Court has directed to handover the seized articles to the owner on proper Panchnama.

9. Considering the above aspects and also as per the ratio laid down by the Hon'ble Apex Court, this Court is of the view that, keeping the vehicle idle in the open space, will diminish its nature and lose its value and no purpose will be served. As such, considering the nature of offence, this Court is inclined to allow the Revision Petition.

10. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is allowed and the impugned order passed by the Trial Court is set aside. The respondent police is directed to return the vehicle to the owner on the following conditions :

- i. the petitioner shall prove his ownership of the vehicle by producing the R.C.Book and other relevant records;



Crl.R.C.No.925 of 2023

WEB COPY

- ii. the petitioner shall execute a personal bond for a sum of Rs.10,00,000/- (Rupees ten lakhs only) before the learned Principal District and Sessions Judge, Nagapattinam and the learned Magistrate shall not insist on production of solvency certificate.
- iii. the Court may prepare a panchnama in Judicial Form No.82 with regard to the vehicle and such panchanama can be used in evidence.
- iv. the petitioner shall take photograph of the vehicle and certified under Section 65B of the Central At 1 of 1972 and such photographs may be used as secondary evidence.
- v. the petitioner shall not alienate or encumber the vehicle in any manner;
- vi. the petitioner shall give an undertaking that he will not use the vehicle for any illegal activities in future,



WEB COPY



Crl.R.C.No.925 of 2023

vii. the petitioner shall also produce the vehicle as and when required before the court below and before the respondent police.

12.06.2023

Index: Yes/No
Internet: Yes/No
vrc

To

1. The Principal District and Sessions Judge, Nagapattinam.
2. The Inspector of Police,
Nagore Police Station.
Nagapattinam District.
3. The Public Prosecutor, Madras High Court.



WEB COPY



Crl.R.C.No.925 of 2023

V.SIVAGNANAM, J.,

VTC

Crl.RC No.925 of 2023

12.06.2023