



W.P. No. 17300 of 2019

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 25.09.2023

CORAM:

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S. VAIDYANATHAN

and

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. RAJASEKAR

W.P. No. 17300 of 2019

1. M. Palani
2. P.R.Anthoniraj
3. A. Ranganathan
4. K.B. Gnanasambantham
5. C.P. Subramanian
6. Syed Shairali Ahmed
7. G. Manimegalai
8. K. Punniyakotti
9. M.K. Kanniyappan
10. N. Jayabalan
11. S. Anandan
12. P.T. Parasuraman
13. V. Guna
14. N.A. Krishnamurthy
15. A. Elangovan
16. S. Sigamani
17. C.P. Jeyachandran
18. D. Manivarma
19. N. Venugopalan
20. T. Natarajan
21. T.C. Peethambaram
22. T. Dharmudu
23. T.K. Babu
24. A. Kumaraswami
25. S. Shanmugavelu
26. E. Mani



W.P. No. 17300 of 2019

27. A. Boopathy
28. S. Natarajan
29. P.K. Venkatesan
30. V. Ganapathy
31. T. Dilli
32. S. Sooriyamoorthy
33. N. Rani
34. C. Anniyappan
35. M. Thandapani
36. R. Ellappan
37. B. Chandrambal
38. K. Vasudevan
39. G. Kannayiram
40. C. Arumugam
41. C. Thanigai Mani
42. V. Devakumaran
43. G. Tamilvanan
44. E. Duraisamy

..Petitioners

Vs.

1. Union of India
represented by its
Secretary to Government of India
Ministry of Labour & Employment
New Delhi 110 001
2. The Regional Provident Fund Commissioner,
Opp Swagat Hotel, Royapettah High Road,
Chennai, Tamil Nadu – 600 014.
3. The Assistant Provident Fund Commissioner,
(Pension),
Employees' Provident Fund Organization,
Regional Office, S.1, TNHB, Phase- III,
Sathuvachari, Vellore – 632 009.
4. Kancheepuram Central Cooperative
Bank Limited,
rep. by its General Manager,
Kancheepuram.

..Respondents



W.P. No. 17300 of 2019

Prayer: Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying for issue of a Writ of Mandamus directing respondents 1 to 3 herein to accept the return and remittance of the amounts being the difference between contribution on ceiling limit and contribution on actual wages disbursed to and withdrawn by the petitioners at the time of their retirement by superannuation without insisting on any payment of interest thereon and to pay the full pension based on their employer's contribution on actual wages remitted by employer of the petitioner with arrears and prospective payments in the light of the order of the Hon'ble Supreme Court dated 04.10.2016 in the case of R.C.Gupta and others V. Regional Provident Fund Commissioner, The Employees Provident Fund Organisation and others.

For Petitioners :: Mr.P.K. Rajagopal

For Respondents :: Mr.A. Veeramani for R1
Mr.R. Vishnu for R2 & R3
Mr.R. Nandhini for
Mr. R. Gopinath for R4

ORDER

(Made by S. Vaidyanathan,J.)

This writ petition is filed for issue of a Writ of Mandamus directing respondents 1 to 3 herein to accept the return and remittance of the amounts being the difference between contribution on ceiling limit and contribution on actual wages disbursed to and withdrawn by the petitioners at the time of their retirement



by superannuation without insisting on any payment of interest thereon and to pay the full pension based on their employer's contribution on actual wages remitted by employer of the petitioner with arrears and prospective payments in the light of the order of the Hon'ble Supreme Court dated 04.10.2016 in the case of R.C.Gupta and others V. Regional Provident Fund Commissioner, The Employees Provident Fund Organisation and others.

2. Today, when this matter was taken up for hearing, Mr. Vishnu, learned Standing Counsel for respondents 2 & 3/EPFO submitted that the issue involved in this writ petition is covered by the order passed by a Coordinate Bench of this Court in **P. Chandrasekaran v Union of India & 4 others**¹, in which, one of us (SVNJ) was a member, which was rendered following the 3 Judge Bench judgment of the Supreme Court in **The EPFO and another v Sunil Kumar B. and others, etc.**².

3 However, according to Mr. Vishnu, paragraph 4 of the order passed by this Court in **P. Chandrasekaran, supra**, which reads as under, cannot survive any more, in view of the fact that the time granted by the Supreme Court in **Sunil Kumar B., supra**, had already expired on 11.07.2023:

“4. If the employees could not access the site, they shall take a screenshot and enclose the same with the hard copy to enable the Employees (sic) Provident Fund Organisation to process their option forms.”



W.P. No. 17300 of 2019

The submission made by Mr. Vishnu in paragraph 3, *supra*, is recorded and in view of the submission made by him in paragraph 2, *supra*, this writ petition also is disposed of on the same terms in which **P. Chandrasekaran**, *supra*, was disposed of. No costs.

(S.V.N., J.) (K.R.S., J.)
25.09.2023

nv

To

1. The Secretary to Government of India
Ministry of Labour & Employment
New Delhi 110 001
2. The Regional Provident Fund Commissioner,
Opp Swagat Hotel, Royapettah High Road,
Chennai, Tamil Nadu – 600 014.
3. The Assistant Provident Fund Commissioner,
(Pension),
Employees' Provident Fund Organization,
Regional Office, S.1, TNHB, Phase- III,
Sathuvachari, Vellore – 632 009.
4. Kancheepuram Central Cooperative
Bank Limited,
rep. by its General Manager,
Kancheepuram.



WEB COPY



W.P. No. 17300 of 2019

S. VAIDYANATHAN, J.

and

K. RAJASEKAR, J.

nv

W.P. No. 17300 of 2019

25.09.2023