



WEB COPY



W.P. No. 11502 of 2023

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS**

**DATED : 17.04.2023**

**CORAM**

**THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.D. AUDIKESAVALU**

**W.P. No. 11502 of 2023  
and  
W.M.P. No. 11390 of 2023**

K. Sabarimanalan

... Petitioner

-VS-

1. The Managing Director,  
The Metropolitan Transport Corporation (Chennai) Limited,  
“Pallavan House”, P.B. No. 390, Anna Salai, Chennai – 600 002.
2. Senior Deputy Manager (Human Resources Development),  
The Metropolitan Transport Corporation (Chennai) Limited,  
“Pallavan House”, P.B. No. 390, Anna Salai, Chennai – 600 002.
3. The Branch Manager,  
Anna Nagar Bus Depot,  
The Metropolitan Transport Corporation (Chennai) Limited,  
Chennai – 600 040.
4. Assistant Branch Manager,  
Anna Nagar Bus Depot,  
The Metropolitan Transport Corporation (Chennai) Limited,  
Chennai – 600 040.
5. The Inspector of Police,  
V-5, Thirumangalam Police Station,  
Anna Nagar West Extension, Chennai – 600 101.
6. Sathya Food,  
Representated by its authorised officer,  
Near Thirumangalam Metro Station Bus Stand,  
Anna Nagar West, Chennai – 600 040.

7. S.Poornima

... Respondents



W.P. No. 11502 of 2023

**Prayer:-** Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, 1950, praying to issue a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus, calling for the records relating to the impugned order of the First Respondent dated 03.04.2023 in Memo No. 26024/Papi (Po)3/MaPoka/2023 and to quash the same as illegal and consequently to direct the First Respondent to permit the Petitioner to continue as a Driver in the Anna Nagar Bus Depot.

For Petitioner : Mr. S.R.Karthikeyan

For Respondents : Mr. A.Vinothraj (R1 to R4)

Mr. B.Vijay,  
Additional Government Pleader (for R5)

## ORDER

Heard Mr. S.R.Karthikeyan, Learned Counsel for the Petitioner, Mr. A.Vinothraj, Learned Counsel, who takes notice for the First to Fourth Respondents, and Mr. B.Vijay, Learned Additional Government Pleader, who takes notice for the Fifth Respondent, and perused the materials placed on record, apart from the pleadings of the parties.

2. The Petitioner, who is working as Conductor in the Transport Corporation of the First Respondent, has been transferred from its Depot Anna Nagar to Chrompet-2 in Chennai by Proceedings in Memo No. 26024/



W.P. No. 11502 of 2023

PaPi(Po)3/MaPoKa/2023 dated 03.04.2023 issued by the First Respondent, which is impeached in this Writ Petition.

3. The primordial contention of Learned Counsel for the Petitioner is that though the First Respondent claims that the impugned order transfer has been effected for administrative reasons, it is vitiated by *mala fides* inasmuch as it is a consequence of a false compliant against the Petitioner in respect of an incident that had taken place on 17.03.2023 in front of the shop of the Sixth Respondent while on duty and in respect of which disciplinary action has also been taken against him.

4. The legal position relating to the scope of interference of the Court on transfers in public employment under Article 226 of the Constitution is well settled as reflected in the following extracts from the rulings of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India:-

(i) ***Mrs. Shilpi Bose -vs- State of Bihar*** [(1992) SCC (L&S) 127]:

*“ In our opinion, the Courts should not interfere with transfer orders which are made in public interest and for administrative reason unless the transfer orders are made in violation of any mandatory statutory rule or on the ground*



WEB COPY

*of mala fide. A Government servant holding a transferable post has no vested right to remain posted at a place or the other, he is liable to be transferred from one place to the other. Transfer orders issued by the competent authority do not violate any of his legal rights. Even if a transfer order is passed in violation of executive instructions or orders, the Courts ordinarily should not interfere with the order, instead the affected party should approach the higher authorities in the Department. If the Courts continue to interfere with day to day transfer orders passed by the Government and its subordinate authorities, there will be complete chaos in the Administration which would not be conducive to public interest.”*

(ii) ***Union of India -vs- S.L.Abbas*** [(1994) SCC (L&S) 230]:

*“ Who should be transferred where, is a matter for the appropriate authority to decide. Unless the order of transfer is vitiated by mala fides or is made in violation of any statutory provisions the Court cannot interfere with it. While ordering the transfer, there is no doubt, the*



WEB COPY

*authority must keep in mind the guidelines issued by the Government on the subject. Similarly, if a person makes any representation with respect to his transfer, the appropriate authority must consider the same having regard to the exigencies of administration. The guidelines say that as far as possible, husband and wife must be posted at the same place. The said guideline however, does not confer upon the Government employee a legally enforceable right.”*

(iii) ***State of Punjab -vs- Joginder Singh Dhatt*** [(1994) SCC (L&S) 230]:

*“ This Court has time and again expressed its disapproval of the Courts below interfering with the order of transfer of a public servant from one place to another. It is entirely for the employer to decide when, where and what point of time a public servant is to be transferred from his present posting. Ordinarily the Courts have no jurisdiction to interfere with the order of transfer. The High Court grossly erred in quashing the order of transfer of the respondent from Hoshiarpur to Sangrur. The High Court was not justified in extending its*



W.P. No. 11502 of 2023

*jurisdiction under Article 226 of the Constitution of India in a matter where, on the face of it, no injustice was caused.”*

(iv) ***Abani Kanta Ray -vs- State of Orissa*** [(1996) SCC (L&S) 175]:

*“ It is settled law that a transfer which is an incident of service is not to be interfered with by the Courts unless it is shown to be clearly vitiated by mala fides or infraction of any professed norm or principle governing the transfer.”*

Having due regard to the aforesaid dictum laid down in the binding decisions, the circumstances that the disciplinary proceedings are pending against the Petitioner cannot be treated as an impediment for the concerned authority to transfer the Petitioner from one Depot to another. Insofar as the allegations of *mala fides* is concerned, it is not possible to accept the contention of the Petitioner that the impugned order of transfer was punitive in nature merely because he was involved in incident that had taken place while on duty on 17.03.2023 in front of the shop of the Sixth Respondent.

5. When the aforesaid legal position was pointed out, Learned Counsel for the Petitioner seeks permission of the Court to withdraw the Writ Petition reserving the right of the Petitioner to make representation to the concerned



W.P. No. 11502 of 2023

authority to re-consider the order of transfer and he has made an endorsement to that effect in the court record.

In the result, the Writ Petition is dismissed as withdrawn granting such liberty. Consequently, the connected Miscellaneous Petition is closed. No costs.

17.04.2023  
2/2

skr

Index: Yes/No

Neutral Citation: Yes/No

**Note: Issue order copy by 31.07.2023.**

To

1. The Managing Director,  
The Metropolitan Transport Corporation (Chennai) Limited,  
“Pallavan House”, P.B. No. 390, Anna Salai, Chennai – 600 002.
2. Senior Deputy Manager (Human Resources Development),  
The Metropolitan Transport Corporation (Chennai) Limited,  
“Pallavan House”, P.B. No. 390, Anna Salai, Chennai – 600 002.
3. The Branch Manager,  
Anna Nagar Bus Depot,  
The Metropolitan Transport Corporation (Chennai) Limited,  
Chennai – 600 040.
4. Assistant Branch Manager,  
Anna Nagar Bus Depot,  
The Metropolitan Transport Corporation (Chennai) Limited,  
Chennai – 600 040.
5. The Inspector of Police,  
V-5, Thirumangalam Police Station,  
Anna Nagar West Extension, Chennai – 600 101.



**W.P. No. 11502 of 2023**

6. Sathya Food,  
Representated by its authorised officer,  
Near Thirumangalam Metro Station Bus Stand,  
Anna Nagar West, Chennai – 600 040.



WEB COPY



**W.P. No. 11502 of 2023**

**P.D. AUDIKESAVALU, J.**

skr

**W.P. No. 11502 of 2023**

**17.04.2023**

2/2