



Crl.R.C.No.689 of 2023

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 22.06.2023

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE V. SIVAGNANAM

Crl.R.C.No.689 of 2023

Issac Karthikeyan

.. Petitioner

Vs

State Rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Maraimalai Nagar Police Station,
Chengalpattu District.
Crime No.154/2022.

... Respondent

Prayer: Criminal Revision Case filed under section 397 r/w.401 Cr.P.C. to set aside the order, passed in Crl.M.P.No.162 of 2023, dated 27.03.2023 on the file of the Principal Special Court under EC & NDPS Act, Chennai and direct the respondent to release the TwoWheeler, KTM RC 200, bearing Registration No.TN09 CC 3667 which was seized in connection with the Crime No.154 of 2022 on the file of the respondent Police to the petitioner.

For Petitioner : Mr.T.Muruganantham

For Respondent : Mr.R.Vinothraja,
Government Advocate (Crl. side)



Crl.R.C.No.689 of 2023

WEB COPY

ORDER

This Criminal Revision case has been filed challenging the order of dismissal dated 27.03.2023 passed by the Principal Special Court under EC & NDPS Act, Chennai in Crl.M.P.No.162 of 2023 seeking interim custody of the two wheeler viz., KTM RC 200, bearing Registration No.TN09 CC 3667 to the petitioner.

2.The fact of the case is that the petitioner is the owner of the KTM RC 200, bearing Registration No.TN09 CC 3667. The respondent police registered a case in Cr.No.154/2022 on 31.03.2022 for the offence under sections 8 (c) and 20(b)(ii)(B) of the Narcotic Drugs & Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985 against the A2 along with other accused for possession of 1.100 Kgs of Ganja in the said vehicle. Pursuant to which, they have seized the above said vehicle alleging that the vehicle has been engaged in transportation of Contraband. Now the vehicle was kept under the custody of the court below in B.No.302 of 2023. Since the petitioner who is the friend of the A2 has filed a petition in Crl.M.P.No.162 of 2023 before the Principal Special Court under EC & NDPS Act, Chennai for return of vehicle in B.No.302 of 2023. The trial court



dismissed the petition considering the objection raised by the prosecution.

WEB COPY

3.The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the vehicle is not involved in any previous case of offence of similar in nature. The vehicle has been kept under the custody of the Court from 31.03.2022 in B.No.302 of 2023 and if the vehicle is kept in open space under unconditional weather conditions, the same would depreciate its value and user capacity.

4. Further, the learned counsel for the petitioner contended that no purpose will be served in keeping the vehicle under the custody of respondent police and if the vehicle is kept for a long time in the open space, it would cause damage to the vehicle. The petitioner is ready to give appropriate guarantee as well as security for return of vehicle and also he will produce the vehicle, as and when required either before the respondent police or before the trial court. Hence, he prayed to return the vehicle and he is ready to obey any condition imposed on her by this Court.

5. The learned Government Advocate (Crlside) objected to return of



vehicle, stating that the vehicle was used for transportation of 1.100 Kgs of Ganja and if the vehicle is ordered to be returned, the accused may use the vehicle for committing same offence. He fairly conceded that the vehicle is not involved in any previous case of similar in nature.

6. Heard both sides and perused the entire materials available on record.

7.A perusal of the records would reveal that the respondent police, on receiving secret information regarding transportation of contraband, conducted police patrolling near Pothery Railway Gate, they intercepted two wheeler viz., KTM RC 200, bearing Registration No.TN09 CC 3667 in possession of 1.100 Kgs of Ganja. Pursuant to which, the respondent Police arrested A1 to A3 and they have seized the two wheeler involved in transportation of contrabands and registered a case against A1 to A3 in Cr.No.154 of 2022 for the offence under Sections 8 (C) and 20 (b)(ii)(B) of the Narcotic Drugs & Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985. The petitioner is the owner of the KTM RC 200, bearing Registration No.TN09 CC 3667. After seizure, it was produced before the court below in B.No.302 of 2023 and it has been kept



Crl.R.C.No.689 of 2023

under custody from 31.03.2022 onwards.

WEB COPY

8. Perusal of records would further reveal that the petitioner is the owner of vehicle and he is ready to give guarantee and security for returning the vehicle. If the vehicle is being kept in an open space, it would cause damage to the vehicle and the value of the vehicle is diminished and continuing to keep the vehicle in open air would make the vehicle unusable. Further, the Honourable Supreme Court in the case of **Sunderbhai Ambalal Desai and others Vs.State of Gujarat in Special Leave Petition (crl.) 2745 of 2022** dated 01.10.2002 has held that in order to protect the property, the Apex Court has directed to handover the seized articles to the owner on proper Panchnama.

9. Considering the above aspects and also the ratio laid down by the Hon'ble Apex Court, this Court is of the view that keeping the vehicle idle in the open space, will diminish its nature and lose its value and no purpose will be served. As such, considering the nature of offence and also taking into account the fact that the vehicle is not involved in any other case similar in



nature previously, this Court is inclined to allow the Criminal Revision Case.

WEB COPY

10. In the result, this Criminal Revision Case is allowed and the impugned order passed by the Trial Court is set aside. The interim custody of the vehicle KTM RC 200, bearing Registration No.TN09 CC 3667 is ordered to be handed over to the petitioner, who is the owner of the vehicle on the following conditions.

- i. the petitioner shall prove his ownership of the vehicle by producing the R.C.Book and other relevant records;
- ii. the petitioner shall execute a personal bond for a sum of Rs.1,00,000/- (Rupees one lakh only) before the Principal Special Court under EC & NDPS Act, Chennai;
- iii. The abovesaid court is directed not to insist upon the petitioner for producing solvency certificate while releasing the vehicle;
- iv. The Court may prepare a panchnama in Judicial Form No.82 with regard to the vehicle and such panchanama can be used in evidence;
- v. the petitioner may take photograph of the vehicle and certified under Section 65B of the Central Act 1 of 1972 and such photographs may be used as secondary evidence.
- vi. the petitioner shall not alienate or encumber the vehicle in any manner;



WEB COPY



Crl.R.C.No.689 of 2023

vii.the petitioner shall give an undertaking that he will not use the vehicle for any illegal activities in future;

viii.the petitioner shall also produce the vehicle as and when required before the court below and before the respondent police.

22.06.2023

vum

Index: yes/no

Internet:yes/no

To

1. The Principal Special Court under EC & NDPS Act, Chennai.
2. The Inspector of Police,
Maraimalai Nagar Police Station,
Chengalpattu District.
3. The Public Prosecutor,
Madras High Court.

V. SIVAGNANAM, J.



WEB COPY



Crl.R.C.No.689 of 2023

vum

Crl.R.C.No.689 of 2023

22.06.2023