



WEB COPY



H.C.P.No.561 of 2023

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 31.07.2023

CORAM

**THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE M.SUNDAR
AND
THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE R.SAKTHIVEL**

H.C.P.NO.561 OF 2023

Lakshmi

.. Petitioner

Vs.

- 1.The State Represented by the
Secretary to Government
Home, Prohibition and Excise Department
Government of Tamil Nadu
Fort St. George, Chennai – 600 009.
- 2.The District Collector and District Magistrate
of Vellore District.
Vellore.
- 3.The Superintendent of Police
Vellore District,
Vellore.
- 4.The Superintendent of Central Prison
Vellore Central Prison
Vellore.



H.C.P.No.561 of 2023

5.The Inspector of Police
Katpadi Police Station
Vellore District.

.. Respondents

PRAYER: Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying for issuance of a Writ of Habeas Corpus to call for the records pertaining to the order of detention dated 30.01.2023 passed by the second respondent in C3/D.O.No.007/2023 and quash the same and produce the detenu Manikandan, aged about 25 years, S/o.Anandan, detained at Central Prison, Vellore before this Court and set him at liberty.

For Petitioner : Mr.S.Thirugnanam
for Mr.P.Bakiyaraj

For Respondents : Mr.E.Raj Thilak
Additional Public Prosecutor

ORDER

[Order of the Court was made by M.SUNDAR, J.,]

When the captioned 'Habeas Corpus Petition' (hereinafter 'HCP' for the sake of convenience and clarity) was listed in the Admission Board on 12.04.2023, this Court made the following order:



H.C.P.No.561 of 2023

'Captioned Habeas Corpus Petition has been filed in this Court on 29.03.2023 inter alia assailing a detention order dated 30.01.2023 bearing reference C3/D.O.No.007/2023 made by 'second respondent' [hereinafter 'Detaining Authority' for the sake of convenience and clarity]. To be noted, fifth respondent is the Sponsoring Authority.

2.Mother of the detenu is the petitioner.

3.Mr.P.Bakiyaraj, learned counsel on record for petitioner is before us. Learned counsel for petitioner submits that ground case qua the detenu was initially registered for an offence under Section Man Missing altered to Sections 302, 201 read with 34 of 'The Indian Penal Code (45 of 1860)' [hereinafter 'IPC' for the sake of convenience and clarity] in Crime No.419 of 2022 on the file of Katpadi Police Station.

4.The aforementioned detention order has been made on the premise that the detenu is a 'Goonda' under Section 2(f) of 'The Tamil Nadu Prevention of Dangerous Activities of Bootleggers, Cyber law offenders, Drug-offenders, Forest-offenders, Goondas, Immoral traffic offenders, Sand-offenders, Sexual-offenders, Slum-grabbers and Video Pirates Act, 1982 (Tamil Nadu Act No.14 of 1982)' [hereinafter 'Act 14 of 1982' for the sake of convenience and clarity].



5.The detention order has been assailed inter alia on the ground that the detenu's family members were not informed about the detention.

6.Prima facie case made out for admission. Admit. Issue Rule nisi returnable by four weeks.

7.Mr.R.Muniyapparaj, learned Additional Public Prosecutor, State of Tamil Nadu accepts notice for all respondents. List the captioned Habeas Corpus Petition accordingly.'

2.The aforementioned order made in the 12.04.2023 Admission listing shall be read as an integral part and parcel of this order which means that the short forms, short references and abbreviations used in the order in the Admission listing shall be used in the instant order also.

3.There is no adverse case. The ground case which is the sole substratum of the impugned preventive detention order is Crime No.419 of 2022 on the file of Katpadi Police Station for 'Man Missing' subsequently altered into one under Sections 147, 148, 294(b), 302, 201 read with 34 of IPC. Owing to the nature of the challenge to the impugned preventive



H.C.P.No.561 of 2023

detention order, it is not necessary to delve into the factual matrix or be detained further by facts.

4.Mr.S.Thirugnanam, learned counsel representing Mr.P.Bakiyaraj, learned on record for petitioner and Mr.E.Raj Thilak, learned State Additional Public Prosecutor for all respondents are before us.

5.Learned counsel for petitioner submits that 'live and proximate link' between the grounds of detention and purpose of detention has snapped as date of alleged occurrence is on 12.12.2022, date of remand in the ground case is 15.12.2022 but the impugned preventive detention order has been made only on 30.01.2023.

6.Mr.E.Raj Thilak, learned State Additional Public Prosecutor, submits to the contrary by saying that materials had to be collected and time was consumed for the same. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and nature of ground case, we find that this explanation of learned State Additional Public Prosecutor is unacceptable.



H.C.P.No.561 of 2023

7.We remind ourselves of **Sushanta Kumar Banik's** case

WEB COPY [**Sushanta Kumar Banik Vs. State of Tripura & others reported in 2022**

LiveLaw (SC) 813 : 2022 SCC OnLine SC 1333]. To be noted, **Banik** case law arose under 'Prevention of Illicit Traffic in Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1988' [hereinafter 'PIT NDPS Act' for the sake of brevity] in Tirupura, wherein after considering the proposal by the Sponsoring Authority and after noticing the trajectory the matter took, Hon'ble Supreme Court held that the 'live and proximate link between grounds of detention and purpose of detention snapping' point should be examined on a case to case basis. Hon'ble Supreme Court has held in **Banik** case law that this point has two facets. One facet is 'unreasonable delay' and other facet is 'unexplained delay'. We find that the captioned matter falls under latter facet i.e., unexplained delay.

8.To be noted, **Banik** case has been respectfully followed by this Court in **Gomathi Vs.The Principal Secretary to Government and others** reported vide Neutral Citation of Madras High Court being **2023/MHC/334**, **Sadik Basha Yusuf Vs. The State of Tamil Nadu and others** reported vide

6/10



H.C.P.No.561 of 2023

Neutral Citation of Madras High Court being **2023/MHC/733, *Sangeetha Vs. The Secretary to the Government and others*** reported vide Neutral Citation of Madras High Court being **2023:MHC:1110, *N.Anitha Vs. The Secretary to Government and others*** reported vide Neutral Citation of Madras High Court being **2023:MHC:1159** and a series of other orders in HCP cases.

9.To be noted, the sole substratum of the impugned preventive detention order is a solitary case viz., Crime No.419 of 2022 on the file of Katpadi Police Station registered for Man missing and subsequently altered into Sections 147, 148, 294(b), 302, 201 read with 34 of IPC.

10.Before concluding, we also remind ourselves that preventive detention is not a punishment and HCP is a high prerogative writ.

11.Ergo, the sequitur is, captioned HCP is allowed. Impugned preventive detention order dated 30.01.2023 bearing reference C3/D.O.No.007/2023 made by the second respondent is set aside and the



H.C.P.No.561 of 2023

detenu Thiru. Manikandan, aged 25 years, Son of Thiru. Anandan, is directed to be set at liberty forthwith, if not required in connection with any other case/ cases. There shall be no order as to costs.

[M.S., J.]

[R.S.V., J.]

31.07.2023

Index : Yes

Neutral Citation : Yes

Speaking

TK

P.S: Registry to forthwith communicate this order to Jail authorities in Central Prison, Vellore.



H.C.P.No.561 of 2023

To

WEB COM

- 1.The State Represented by the
Secretary to Government
Home, Prohibition and Excise Department
Government of Tamil Nadu
Fort St. George, Chennai – 600 009.
- 2.The District Collector and District Magistrate
of Vellore District.
Vellore.
- 3.The Superintendent of Police
Vellore District,
Vellore.
- 4.The Superintendent of Central Prison
Vellore Central Prison
Vellore.
- 5.The Inspector of Police
Katpadi Police Station
Vellore District.
- 6.The Public Prosecutor
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY



H.C.P.No.561 of 2023

M.SUNDAR, J.
AND
R.SAKTHIVEL, J.

TK

H.C.P.NO.561 OF 2023

31.07.2023