



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 27.03.2023

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE N.ANAND VENKATESH

Cont.P.No.909 of 2022

1. Elachiana Gounder @

Elaiya Gounder

2. Ramasamy
3. Samiyathal
4. M.Moorthy
5. M.Saravanan
6. K.Jayaraj
7. Arunachalam
8. M.Palanisamy
9. Kannammal
10. V.Shanmugam
11. B.Sasikumar
12. P.Nagendran
13. Tamil Selvi
14. C.Pavathi
15. C.Thirumoorthy
16. C.Boopathy
17. R.Palanisamy



18. R.Subramani @ Subburayan

19. Nallammal

20. T.Palanisamy

21. Loganathan

22. P.Shanmugam

23. M.P.Chinnasamy

24. Samiyathal

25. Annakodi

.....Petitioners

Vs.

1. P.Ranganathan

Revenue Divisional Officer,
(Land Acquisition Officer)
Brough Road,
Erode - 638 001
Erode District.

2. The Managing Director,
Tamil Nadu Housing Board,
No.497, Anna Salai, Nandanam,
Chennai 600 035

[Suo-motu impleaded as the 2nd respondent
as per order of the Court dated 22.07.2022 made in
Cont P No.909 of 2022]

.... Respondents

Contempt Petition filed under section 11 of the Contempt
of Courts Act, to punish the respondent herein for the wilful
disobedience of the order dated 09.12.2020 in WP No.6000 of



WEB COPY

For Petitioner : Mr.N.Manoharan
For Respondents : Mrs.V.Yamuna Devi
Special Government Pleader
for R1
Mr.M.K.Kabir, Standing counsel
for R2.

ORDER

This Contempt Petition was filed on the ground that the order passed by this Court in WP No.6000 of 2020 dated 09.12.2020 was not been complied with.

2. When the matter came up for hearing on 26.08.2022, this Court passed the following order :-

Pursuant to the earlier orders passed by this Court on 05.08.2022, Thiru.Shunchonngam Jatak Chiru, I.A.S., Managing Director of the Tamil Nadu Housing Board was present at the time of hearing.

2.The learned Senior Counsel appearing on behalf of the Housing Board submitted that even if the compensation fixed has to be paid by the Housing Board, the Managing Director cannot take



WEB COPY



a suo motu decision and the matter has to be necessarily placed before the Board before getting a sanction for the payment of the compensation redetermined by the Revenue Divisional Officer, Erode. Therefore, learned Senior Counsel sought for some time.

3.This contempt petition is posted for hearing on 23.09.2022 at 2.15 p.m. This Court expects that the matter will be placed before the Board and necessary sanction will be obtained by then.

4.The presence of the Managing Director, Tamil Nadu Housing Board is dispensed with for the present.

3. When the matter came up for hearing on 06.01.2023, this Court passed the following order:-

The learned Senior Counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent submitted that substantial amounts have been settled in favour of the petitioners and that certain discrepancies were pointed out in the calculation of the compensation and the same is being looked into and the compliance shall be



WEB COPY

6. When the matter was taken up for hearing today, the learned Standing counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents submitted that the entire compensation amount has been paid as directed by this Court in the writ petition and to substantiate the same, the communication of the RDO, Erode, dated 21.03.2023 was also placed before this Court.

7. The learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the entire compensation amount has been paid as directed in the writ petition.

8. In the light of the above development, the compliance is recorded and this contempt petition stands closed.

27.03.2023

Internet : Yes/No
Index : Yes/No
rka

N.ANAND VENKATESH.,J
rka



WEB COPY

7

Cont P No.909 of 2022



To
P.Ranganathan
Revenue Divisional Officer,
(Land Acquisition Officer)
Brough Road,
Erode - 638 001
Erode District.

Cont.P.No.909 of 2022

27.03.2023