



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.Nos.7266 and 7271 of 2023

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 31.03.2023

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE **A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA**

Crl.O.P.Nos.7266 and 7271 of 2023

Raj ... Petitioner in Crl.O.P.No.7266 of 2023

Jeganraj ... Petitioner in Crl.O.P.No.7271 of 2023

Vs.

The State of Tamil Nadu represented by

The Inspector of Police

Needamangalam Police Station

Thiruvavarur

(Crime No.86 of 2023)

... Respondents in both the Crl.O.Ps.

**PRAYER:** Criminal Original Petitions filed under Section 439 of Cr.P.C.,

pleaded to enlarge the petitioners on bail pending investigation in Crime

No.86 of 2023 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner in Crl.O.P.No.7266 of 2023: Mr.R.Sudhakar

For Petitioner in Crl.O.P.No.7271 of 2023: Mr.D.Padmanabhan

For Respondent in both

: Mr. C.E.Pratap

Government Advocate (Crl.

Side)

**ORDER**

The petitioners, who were arrested and remanded to judicial

custody on 13.03.2023, for the offences punishable under Sections 147, 148,



294(b), 336, 506(ii) of IPC and 3(1) of Tamil Nadu Public Property (Prevention of Damage and Loss) Act, 1992, in Crime No.86 of 2023 on the file of the respondent police, seek bail.

2. The case of the prosecution as per the defacto complainant namely Mugamathu Kassali is that on 10.03.2023, one Rajkumar was murdered and on 11.03.2023, during the funeral procession of the said Rajkumar, the petitioners along with other accused unlawfully assembled and caused extensive damages to the shop of the defacto complainant and when the same was questioned by the defacto complainant, they abused and threatened him with dire consequents. Hence, this case.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are innocent persons and they have been falsely implicated in this case. However, without prejudice, the petitioners are ready and willing to deposit a sum of Rs.3,000/- each to the credit of crime number and they prayed to grant bail to the petitioners.

4. Learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent police opposed for grant of bail to the petitioners stating that the



petitioners who have been arrayed as A7 and A9, along with other accused unlawfully assembled and caused extensive damages to the shop of the defacto complainant during the funeral procession of one Rajkumar and that the value of the damages is assessed as Rs.15,000/-. However, he would submit that there is no previous case against the petitioners.

5. Heard the learned Counsel for the petitioners and the learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) and perused the materials available on record.

6. Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case, the submissions made by either side of the parties and considering the fact that there is no previous case against the petitioners and also considering the period of incarceration undergone by the petitioners, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioners with certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioners are directed to deposit a sum of **Rs.3,000/- (Rupees Three Thousand Only) each to the credit of Crime No.86 of 2023**, without prejudice to their rights and contentions before the trial Court, on such deposit, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail on their executing a separate bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten**



**thousand only) with two sureties**, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate, Needamangalam, and on further conditions that:

[a] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

**[b] the petitioners shall report before the respondent Police, everyday at 06.30 p.m. until further orders;**

[c] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[d] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]***;

[f] If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC.

**31.03.2023**



ksa-2

WEB COPY

To

1. The District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate  
Needamangalam
2. The Inspector of Police  
Needamangalam Police Station  
Thiruvarur
3. Central Jail, Nagapattinam
4. Sub Jail, Nagapattinam
5. The Public Prosecutor,  
High Court of Madras.

CrI.O.P.Nos.7266 and 7271 of 2025





WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.Nos.7266 and 7271 of 2023

**A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA.,J.**

*ksa-2*

**Crl.O.P.Nos.7266 and 7271 of 2023**

**31.03.2023**