



WEB COPY



H.C.P.No.519 of 2023

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 15.06.2023

Coram

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE **M.SUNDAR**
and
THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE **R.SAKTHIVEL**

H.C.P.No.519 of 2023

V.Sathiyavathi

.. Petitioner

VS

- 1.The State of Tamil Nadu
rep. By its Additional Chief Secretary to Government,
Home, Prohibition and Excise Department,
Secretariat, Fort St. George, Chennai – 9.
- 2.The District Magistrate and District Collector,
Tiruppur District, Tiruppur.
- 3.The Superintendent of Police,
Tiruppur District, Tiruppur.
- 4.The Superintendent of Prison,
Central Prison, Coimbatore.
- 5.The Inspector of Police,
Madathukulam Police Station,
Tiruppur District, Tiruppur.

.. Respondents

Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying for issuance of a writ of habeas corpus to call for the records pertaining to the impugned order of detention dated 29.08.2022 in Cr.M.P.No.57/Goonada/2022 passed by the second respondent and quash the same as illegal and consequently issue direction to produce the son of the petitioner namely Siva,



H.C.P.No.519 of 2023

S/o.(late) Venkatesan, aged about 19 years, presently confined at Central Prison, Coimbatore before this Court and set him at liberty forthwith.

For Petitioner : Mr.S.Ilamuhil
For Respondents : Mr.E.Raj Thilak,
Additional Public Prosecutor

ORDER

[Order of the Court was made by M.SUNDAR, J.]

Captioned 'Habeas Corpus Petition' ['HCP' for the sake of brevity] has been filed by mother of detenu assailing a 'preventive detention order dated 29.08.2022 bearing reference Cr.M.P. No.57/Goonda/2022' [hereinafter 'impugned detention order' for the sake of convenience and brevity]. To be noted, fifth respondent is the sponsoring authority and second respondent is the detaining authority as impugned detention order has been made by second respondent.

2. Impugned detention order has been made under 'The Tamil Nadu Prevention of Dangerous Activities of Bootleggers, Cyber law offenders, Drug-offenders, Forest-offenders, Goondas, Immoral traffic offenders, Sand-offenders, Sexual-offenders, Slum-grabbers and Video Pirates Act, 1982 (Tamil Nadu Act No.14 of



H.C.P.No.519 of 2023

1982)' [hereinafter 'Act 14 of 1982' for the sake of convenience and clarity] on the premise that the detenu is a 'Goonda' within the meaning of Section 2(f) of Act 14 of 1982.

3. There are five adverse cases. The ground case which constitutes substantial part of substratum of the impugned detention order is Crime No.134 of 2022 on the file of Kumaramangalam Police Station for the alleged offences under Sections 341, 294(b), 506(ii), 392 r/w 397 of 'The Indian Penal Code (45 of 1860)' [hereinafter 'IPC' for the sake of convenience and clarity]. Owing to the nature of the challenge to the impugned detention order, it is not necessary to delve into the factual matrix or be detained further by facts.

4. Mr.S.Ilamuhil, learned counsel on record for petitioner and Mr.E.Raj Thilak, learned State Additional Public Prosecutor for all respondents are before us.

5. Learned counsel for petitioner submits that 'live and proximate link' between the grounds of detention and purpose of detention has snapped as date of remand in the ground case is 07.07.2022 but the impugned detention order has been made only



H.C.P.No.519 of 2023

on 29.08.2022.

WEB COPY

6. Mr.E.Raj Thilak, learned State Additional Public Prosecutor, submits to the contrary by saying that materials had to be collected and time was consumed for the same. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and nature of ground case, we find that this explanation of learned State Additional Public Prosecutor is unacceptable.

7. We remind ourselves of **Sushanta Kumar Banik's** case [**Sushanta Kumar Banik Vs. State of Tripura & others reported in 2022 LiveLaw (SC) 813 : 2022 SCC OnLine SC 1333**]. To be noted, **Banik** case law arose under 'Prevention of Illicit Traffic in Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1988' [hereinafter 'PIT NDPS Act' for the sake of brevity] in Tirupura, wherein after considering the proposal by the Sponsoring Authority and after noticing the trajectory the matter took, Hon'ble Supreme Court held that the 'live and proximate link between grounds of detention and purpose of detention snapping' point should be examined on a case to case basis. Hon'ble Supreme Court has held in **Banik** case law that this point has two facets. One facet is 'unreasonable delay' and other facet is 'unexplained



H.C.P.No.519 of 2023

delay'. We find that the captioned matter falls under latter facet i.e., unexplained delay.

8. To be noted, **Banik** case has been respectfully followed by this Court in **Gomathi Vs.The Principal Secretary to Government and others** reported vide Neutral Citation of Madras High Court being **2023/MHC/334, Sadik Basha Yusuf Vs. The State of Tamil Nadu and others** reported vide Neutral Citation of Madras High Court being **2023/MHC/733, Sangeetha Vs. The Secretary to the Government and others** reported vide Neutral Citation of Madras High Court being **2023:MHC:1110, N.Anitha Vs. The Secretary to Government and others** reported vide Neutral Citation of Madras High Court being **2023:MHC:1159** and a series of other orders in HCP cases.

9. Before concluding, we also remind ourselves that preventive detention is not a punishment and HCP is a high prerogative writ.

10. Ergo, the sequitur is, captioned HCP is allowed. Impugned detention order dated 29.08.2022 bearing reference Cr.M.P. No.57/Goonda/2022 made by the second respondent is set



H.C.P.No.519 of 2023

aside and the detenu Thiru.Siva, aged 19 years, son of Thiru.(late) Venkatesan, is directed to be set at liberty forthwith, if not required in connection with any other case / cases. There shall be no order as to costs.

(M.S.,J.) (R.S.V.,J.)
15.06.2023

Index : Yes
Neutral Citation : Yes
mmi

P.S: Registry to forthwith communicate this order to Jail authorities in Central Prison, Coimbatore.

To

- 1.The Additional Chief Secretary to Government,
Home, Prohibition and Excise Department,
Secretariat, Fort St. George, Chennai – 9.
- 2.The District Magistrate and District Collector,
Tiruppur District, Tiruppur.
- 3.The Superintendent of Police,
Tiruppur District, Tiruppur.
- 4.The Superintendent of Prison,
Central Prison, Coimbatore.
- 5.The Inspector of Police,
Madathukulam Police Station,
Tiruppur District, Tiruppur.
- 6.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.



WEB COPY



H.C.P.No.519 of 2023

**M.SUNDAR, J.,
and
R.SAKTHIVEL, J.,**

mmi

H.C.P.No.519 of 2023

15.06.2023