



WEB COPY



H.C.P.No.639 of 2022

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED 03.01.2023

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE Mr.JUSTICE P.N.PRAKASH
AND
THE HONOURABLE Mr.JUSTICE N.ANAND VENKATESH

H.C.P.No.639 of 2022

Kabil

.. Petitioner

Vs.

1.State of Tamil Nadu represented by its
Secretary to Government,
Department of Prohibition and Excise (Home),
Fort St.George,
Chennai-600 009.

2.The Commissioner of Police,
Tambaram City, Office of the Commissioner of Police,
Sholinganallur, Chennai-600119.

3.The Inspector of Police,
S-10, Pallikaranai Police Station,
Chennai.

4.The Superintendent of Prison,
Central Prison-II, Puzhal,
Chennai.

.. Respondents



H.C.P.No.639 of 2022

Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying to

issue a WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS to call for records in BCDFGISSSV No.33/2022 dated 28.03.2022 on the file of the second respondent herein and set aside the same as illegal and produce the detenu Kabil @ Balu, S/o.Babu, aged about 23 years, who is confined at the Central Prison, Puzhal, Chennai, before this Court and set him at liberty.

For Petitioner : Mr.Ilayaraja Kandasamy

For Respondents : Mr.R.Muniyapparaj
Additional Public Prosecutor

ORDER

[Order of the Court was made by P.N.PRAKASH, J.]

The petitioner is the detenu viz., Kabil @ Balu, S/o.Babu, aged about 23 years. The detenu has been detained by the 2nd respondent by his order in BCDFGISSSV No.33/2022 dated 28.03.2022 holding him to be a "Goonda", as contemplated under Section 2(f) of Tamil Nadu Act 14 of 1982. The said order is under challenge in this Habeas Corpus Petition.



H.C.P.No.639 of 2022

WEB COPY

2. We have heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondents. We have also perused the records produced by the Detaining Authority.

3. Though the learned counsel for the petitioner has raised several other grounds to assail the order of detention, he has mainly focused his argument on the ground that the arrest intimation has not been fully translated in vernacular language. This deprived the detenu from making effective representation. Therefore, on this sole ground, the detention order is liable to be quashed.

4. On consideration of the submissions made on either side and upon perusal of the documents available on record especially Page Nos.603 and 605 of the booklet, it is clear that the arrest intimation has not been fully translated in vernacular language. Thus, the impugned detention order is liable to be set aside on this ground.



H.C.P.No.639 of 2022

WEB COPY

In the result, the Habeas Corpus Petition is allowed and the order of detention in BCDFGISSSV No.33/2022 dated 28.03.2022, passed by the 2nd respondent is set aside. The detenu viz., Kabil @ Balu, S/o.Babu, aged about 23 years, is directed to be released forthwith, unless his detention is required in connection with any other case.

J.]

nsd

[P.N.P., J.]

[N.A.V.,

03.01.2023



H.C.P.No.639 of 2022

WEB COPY

- To
- 1.The Secretary to Government,
Department of Prohibition and Excise (Home),
Fort St.George,
Chennai-600 009.
 - 2.The Commissioner of Police,
Tambaram City, Office of the Commissioner of Police,
Sholinganallur, Chennai-600119.
 - 3.The Inspector of Police,
S-10, Pallikaranai Police Station,
Chennai.
 - 4.The Superintendent of Prison,
Central Prison-II, Puzhal,
Chennai.
 - 5.The Joint Secretary to Government,
Public, Law and Order Department,
Secretariat, Chennai-9.
 - 6.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.



WEB COPY



H.C.P.No.639 of 2022

P.N.PRAKASH, J.
AND
N.ANAND VENKATESH, J.

nsd

H.C.P.No.639 of 2022

03.01.2023