



WEB COPY

WP No.4928 of 2



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 24-03-2023

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE S.M.SUBRAMANIAM

WP No.4928 of 2015

R.Dhanalakshmi

... Petitioner

Vs.

1.The District Social Welfare Officer,
Thiruvannamalai District,
Thiruvannamalai.

2.The Extension Officer (Social Welfare),
Kalasapakkam Panchayat Union,
Kalasapakkam,
Thiruvannamalai District.

... Respondents

Prayer: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus, calling for the records in connection with the impugned order passed by the first respondent in Na.Ka.No.521/A3/13 dated 13.11.2013 and to quash the same and further direct the respondents to consider the claim of the petitioner and to disburse the amount and as per the Government Order.



For Petitioner : Mr.S.Sivakumar
For Respondents : Mr.S.Ravichandran,
Additional Government Pleader.

ORDER

The order of rejection passed by the first respondent in proceedings dated 13.11.2013 rejecting the claim of the writ petitioner to provide financial assistance under the 'Moovalur Ramamirtham Ammaiya Ninaivu Marriage Assistance Scheme', is under challenge in the present writ petition.

2. The petitioner states that she belongs to Most Backward Community and studied upto XII Standard.

3. The Government announced a Scheme in the name of Moovalur Ramamirtham Ammaiya Ninaivu Marriage Assistance Scheme'. Under the said Scheme, the eligible persons are entitled to get a sum of Rs.10,000/- for marriage. The said amount was enhanced from Rs.10,000/- to Rs.25,000/- subsequently and the condition under the said Scheme is that



the member should have passed or failed in the SSLC in the Regular Course or he/she should pass in the Private Study in the SSLC, the object of the Scheme is to encourage and provide education to girls in the State and therefore, in the event of complying with the condition, the benefit will be extended under the said Scheme.

4. In the present case, the order impugned reveals that the petitioner was found not qualified since she dis-continued IX Standard. Since the petitioner has discontinued IX Standard and thereafter, privately appeared and completed the X Standard, the Authorities found that the petitioner had not complied with the conditions stipulated under the Scheme.

5. The learned Additional Government Pleader, appearing on behalf of the respondents, brought to the notice of this Court that the said Scheme is not in force as of now and therefore further consideration cannot be granted.



6. This being the factum, this Court do not find any infirmity in respect of the order passed by the Competent Authorities.

WEB COPY

7. Accordingly, the present writ petition stands dismissed.

However, there shall be no order as to costs.

24-03-2023

Index : Yes/No

Internet: Yes/No

Speaking order/Non-Speaking order

Neutral Citation : Yes/No

Svn



To

WP No.4928 of 2



1. The District Social Welfare Officer,
Thiruvannamalai District,
Thiruvannamalai.
2. The Extension Officer (Social Welfare),
Kalasapakkam Panchayat Union,
Kalasapakkam,
Thiruvannamalai District.



WEB COPY

WP No.4928 of 2



S.M.SUBRAMANIAM, J.

Svn

WP 4928 of 2015

24-03-2023