



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.6930 of 2023

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 27.03.2023

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE **A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA**

Crl.O.P.No.6930 of 2023

1. Jeevithar @ Suthan

2. Yuvaraj

... Petitioners

Vs.

The State represented by,
The Inspector of Police,
Sholavaram Police Station,
Tiruvallur District.

(Crime No.79 of 2023).

... Respondent

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 439 of Cr.P.C.,
pleaded to enlarge the petitioners/accused on bail, in connection with the
Crime No.79 of 2023, pending investigation on the file of the respondent
Police.

For Petitioners : Mr.K.S.Arumugam

For Respondent : Mr.C.E.Pratap
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)



Crl.O.P.No.6930 of 2023

WEB COPY

ORDER

The petitioners, who were arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 02.02.2023, in connection with Crime No.79 of 2023, registered under Section 174 of Cr.P.C and altered for the offence punishable under Section 302 of IPC, on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2. On the complaint given by the *de-facto* complainant, Lakshmi that his son, Manoj, aged about 13 years, was admitted in a De-addiction-cum-Rehabilitation Centre, run by A1, to recover his son from the drug addiction, while so, on 01.02.2023, at midnight, A1 informed that his son was admitted in the hospital and when she went to hospital, she found his son was lying dead with injuries on his shoulder and on his back, a case in Crime No.79 of 2023 was registered by the respondent Police, under Section 174 of Cr.P.C. During the course of investigation, it came to light that during a fight in the home, the accused had assaulted the victim, due to which he sustained injuries and died, thereby, the case has been altered to one under Section 302 of IPC. Hence the case.

3. Learned Counsel for the petitioners submitted that the



Crl.O.P.No.6930 of 2023

petitioners are innocent persons, who were working in a De-addiction-cum-Rehabilitation Centre in the name and style of A Best Care Foundation owned by A1 and they have been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that the petitioners were initially admitted as patients in the said Rehabilitation centre and after, they have got cured, worked as volunteers in the same Centre. He also submitted that the victim has indulged in fight with his inmates and sustained injuries and the petitioners, who were the volunteers, other than being negligent in taking care of the victim, they have not committed any offence. He also submitted that the main accused in this case, who is the owner of the said Rehabilitation Centre, has been granted bail by this Court in Crl.O.P.No.6412 of 2023 vide order dated 21.03.2023 and the Rehabilitation Centre has also been closed. He further submitted that the petitioners are in custody from 02.02.2023, hence, he prayed for grant of bail to the petitioners.

4. Learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police submitted that it is a case, where the victim, who was admitted in the De-addiction-cum-Rehabilitation Centre owned by A1, was done to death by the petitioners (A3 & A4), who were the volunteers of the



Crl.O.P.No.6930 of 2023

said Rehabilitation Centre and others by assaulting him. He further submitted that the rehabilitation center was closed and the other persons, who were taking treatment were sent to other center. However, he opposed for grant of bail to the petitioners.

5. In reply, the learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the post mortem report reveals that the victim has died on account of the suffocation due to the food stuck in his trachea. He also submitted that the petitioners were not present at the scene of occurrence and they are prepared to abide by any stringent conditions that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he prayed for grant of bail to the petitioners.

6. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners and the learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) for the respondent and perused the entire materials available on record.

7. Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case, the submissions made by either side, taking note of the fact that the main accused in the case has been granted bail by this Court and also



Crl.O.P.No.6930 of 2023

considering the period of incarceration undergone by the petitioners, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioners with certain condition.

8. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail on their executing a separate bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten thousand only)** with **two sureties**, for a like sum to the satisfaction of the **learned Judicial Magistrate No.II, Ponneri**, and on further conditions that:

[a] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

[b] the petitioners shall report before the respondent Police, everyday at 10.30 a.m., until further orders;

[c] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[d] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the



Crl.O.P.No.6930 of 2023

petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]*;

[f] If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC.

27.03.2023

ham

To

1. The Judicial Magistrate No.II,
Ponneri.
2. The Inspector of Police,
Sholavaram Police Station,
Tiruvallur District.
3. The Central Prison,
Puzhal, Chennai.
4. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.6930 of 2023

A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA.,J.

ham

Crl.O.P.No.6930 of 2023

27.03.2023