



WEB COPY



Crl.R.C.No.621 of 2023

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 05.04.2023

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE V.SIVAGNANAM

CRL.R.C.NO.621 OF 2023

Lakshmanan

... Petitioner

Vs.

The Inspector of Police
Edaikkal Police Station
Kallakurichi District.

... Respondent

PRAYER: Criminal Miscellaneous Petition filed under Section 397 and 401 of Cr.P.C., to set aside the order dated 24.01.2023 passed in C.M.P.No.270 of 2023 by the learned Judicial Magistrate No.1, Ulundurpet and grant interim custody of vehicle lorry bearing Registration No.TN68-F-2217 to the petitioner.

For Petitioner : Mr.Krishnasamy Chinnasamy

For Respondent : Mr.R.Vinothraja
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER



Crl.R.C.No.621 of 2023

Challenging the order dated 24.01.2023, passed in

C.M.P.No.270 of 2023, by the learned Judicial Magistrate No.I, Ulundurpet, dismissing the petition filed under Section 451 Cr.P.C., for the offence under Section 379 IPC, to return the vehicle, the petitioner has filed the present Criminal Revision.

2. The Assistant Geologist, Zonal Flying Squad, Geology and Mining Department, Villupuram, Special Revenue Inspector (Mineral) in Crime No.171 of 2022 of Edaikkal Police, seized the Tata Motors Lorry, bearing Registration No.TN68-F-2217, belonging to the petitioner on the allegation that the vehicle is indulged by the accused persons in illegal transportation of 6 cubic meter black stone pillars. Pursuant to which, the respondent police registered a case in Crime No.171 of 2022 for the offence under Sections 379 IPC. The petitioner, who is the owner of the above said vehicle filed a petition before the Trial Court for return of vehicle. The Trial Court dismissed the petition and passed the impugned order on the ground that if the vehicle is released, there is every possibility of redeployment of the vehicle for committing the same offence.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner contended that the



Crl.R.C.No.621 of 2023

petitioner is the owner of the Tata Motors Lorry, bearing Registration No.TN68-F-2217. The respondent police registered a case in Crime No.171 of 2022 on 07.12.2022 for the offence under Section 379 IPC. He further contended that without the knowledge of the petitioner, the accused persons used his vehicle for transporting 6 cubic meter black stone pillars. The vehicle has been seized by the Assistant Geologist, Zonal Flying Squad, Geology and Mining Department, Villupuram, Special Revenue Inspector (Mineral) of Edaikkal Police and it is in the custody of the Trial Court from 12.01.2023 onwards. If the vehicle is allowed to be stationed in an open yard under all weather conditions and the natural calamities, the value of the vehicle will be drastically depreciated. The petitioner is not arrayed as an accused in Crime No.171 of 2022. He will give an undertaking that he will not alienate the property and he will produce the vehicle as and when required before the Court below. Thus, he seeks to order for returning the vehicle.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) submitted



Crl.R.C.No.621 of 2023

that the respondent police registered a case in Crime No.171 of 2022 on the allegation of illegal transportation of 6 cubic meter black stone pillars. The petitioner is the owner of Tata Motors Lorry, bearing Registration No.TN68-F-2217 and there is no previous case pending against the owner of the vehicle.

5. Heard the submission made on either side and perused the materials available on record.

6. On perusal of records, it is revealed that the respondent police registered a case in Crime No.171 of 2022 for the offence punishable under Section 379 IPC. It is not disputed that the petitioner is the owner of the vehicle viz., Tata Motors Lorry, bearing Registration No.TN68-F-2217. The petitioner is not an accused and he is only owner of the vehicle and according to him, without his knowledge, his vehicle was used for illegal transportation of 6 cubic meter black stone pillars and the vehicle is not involved in any similar type of previous cases and the petitioner is not having any previous case. Now, the petitioner seeks return of vehicle, which was stationed in an



Crl.R.C.No.621 of 2023

open yard subject to natural calamities and unconditional weather conditions, which would depreciate the value of the vehicle.

7. In *SUNDERBHAI AMBALAL DESAI AND OTHERS VS. STATE OF GUJARAT* IN *SPECIAL LAVE PETITION (CRL.)2745 OF 2022 DATED 01.10.2002*, the Hon'ble Supreme Court, in paragraph No.17 has held as follows:

"In our view, whatever be the situation, it is of no use to keep such-seized vehicles at the police stations for a long period. It is for the Magistrate to pass appropriate orders immediately by taking appropriate bond and guarantee as well as security for return of the said vehicles, if required at any point of time. This can be done pending hearing of applications for return of such vehicles."

8. Considering the above facts and circumstances of the case and the gravity of the offence and also considering the dictum laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the judgment cited supra, I am inclined to



CrI.R.C.No.621 of 2023

order return of vehicle to the temporary custody of the petitioner on certain conditions.

9. Accordingly, the impugned order passed by the Court below is set aside and the Trial Court is directed to return the vehicle Tata Motors Lorry, bearing Registration No.TN68-F-2217, to the temporary custody of the petitioner, on complying the following conditions:

- (i) the petitioner shall prove his ownership of the vehicle by producing the R.C. Book and other relevant records;
- (ii) the petitioner shall not alienate or encumber the vehicle in any manner;
- (iii) the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,00,000/- (Rupees Ten Lakhs only) before the learned Judicial Magistrate No.I, Ulundurpet;
- (iv) the petitioner shall give an undertaking that he will not use the vehicle for any illegal



WEB COPY



Crl.R.C.No.621 of 2023

activities in future;

(v) the petitioner shall take photograph of the vehicle; and

(vi) the petitioner shall also produce the vehicle as and when required before the court below and before the respondent police.

10. Accordingly, the Criminal Revision is allowed.

05.04.2023

Index : Yes/no
Internet : Yes/no
TK



WEB COPY



CrL.R.C.No.621 of 2023

V.SIVAGNANAM, J.

TK

To

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate No.I
Ulundurpet.
- 2.The Inspector of Police
Edaikkal Police Station
Kallakurichi District.
- 3.The Public Prosecutor
High Court of Madras
Chennai.

CRL.R.C.NO.621 OF 2023

05.04.2023