



WEB COPY



Crl.R.C.No.593 of 2023

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 29.03.2023

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE V.SIVAGNANAM

CRL.R.C.NO.593 OF 2023
AND CRL.M.P.NO.4389 OF 2023

Manikandan

... Petitioner

Vs.

1.Executive Magistrate /
Deputy Commissioner of Police
Salem (South)
Salem District.

2.Inspector of Police
B-4, Annathanapatti Police Station,
Salem,
Salem District.

... Respondents

PRAYER: Criminal Revision Case filed under section 397 r/w 401 of Criminal Procedure Code to set aside the order of the 1st respondent in M.C.No.111/EM&DCP(s)/SLM(c)/2022 dated 29.09.2022 on the file of the first respondent herein and acquit the petitioner.

For Petitioner	...	Mr.V.Janarthanan
For Respondents	...	Mr.R.Vinothraja
		Government Advocate (Crl.Side)



CrL.R.C.No.593 of 2023

ORDER

WEB COPY

Challenging the order dated 29.09.2022 passed under Section 122(1)(b) of Criminal Procedure Code in M.C.No.111/EM&DCP(s)/SLM(c)/2022 under Section 110 Cr.P.C. in B-4, Annathanapatti Police Station, Salem, Salem District, Crime No.351/2022, for the offences under Sections 341, 392 r/w 397 and 506(2) IPC, by the first respondent, this Criminal Revision is filed by the petitioner.

2.The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the first respondent passed an order vide Proceedings in M.C.No.111/EM&DCP(s)/SLM(c)/2022, dated 29.09.2022, under Section 122(1)(b) Cr.P.C and remanded the petitioner till 12.07.2023. This impugned order is unsustainable, in view of the order of the Division Bench of this Court in ***P.SATHISH @ SATHISH KUMAR AND OTHERS VS. THE STATE REP. BY THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE AND OTHERS [CRL.R.C. NO.137 OF 2018 AND ETC., BATCH CASES, DECIDED ON 13.03.2023]***. Therefore, he seeks to set aside the impugned order passed by the first respondent.



Cr.L.R.C.No.593 of 2023

3.The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side)

appearing for the respondents fairly conceded that the first respondent is not the competent authority to pass an order under Section 122(1)(b) Cr.P.C.

4.I have considered the matter in the light of submissions of the learned counsel for the petitioner and the respondents.

5.On perusal of the records and the impugned order, it reveals that the first respondent in pursuance of the complaint given by the second respondent, Inspector of Police, B-4, Annathanapatti Police Station, Salem, Salem District, has proceeded to initiate proceedings against the petitioner under Section 110 Cr.P.C and directed to execute the bond with two sureties. Since the petitioner has violated the bond executed before the Executive Magistrate, the first respondent proceeded against him further under Section 122(1)(b) Cr.P.C and finally ordered to remand him till 12.07.2023. The impugned order dated 29.09.2022 passed under Section 122 (1)(b) of Criminal Procedure Code in M.C.No.111/EM&DCP(s)/SLM(c)/2022, is unsustainable, in view of the order of the Division Bench



of this Court in ***P.SATHISH @ SATHISH KUMAR CASE (cited supra)***

wherein, in paragraph 80 (e), this Court, relied on a judgment of the

Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***GULAM ABBAS VS STATE OF UTTAR***

PRADESH] [1982) 1 SCC 71] has held as follows:

*“80 (e) In the light of the law laid down in paragraph 24 of the three judge bench decision of the Supreme Court in **Gulam Abbas Vs State of Uttar Pradesh** (1982) 1 SCC 71, an Executive Magistrate cannot authorize imprisonment under Section 123(1)(b) for violation of a bond under Section 107 Cr.P.C. A person who has violated the bond executed before the Executive Magistrate under the said provision will have to be challenged or prosecuted before the Judicial Magistrate for inquiry and punishment under Section 122(1)(b)Cr.P.C”*



Cr.L.R.C.No.593 of 2023

6. In the light of the above, this Court is of the considered view that the first respondent is not the competent authority to impose any punishment under Section 122(1)(b)Cr.P.C. Therefore, the impugned order passed by the first respondent is set aside and the Criminal Revision Case is allowed. No costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

29.03.2023

Internet : Yes/No

Speaking / Non-speaking order

Note : Issue order copy on 31.03.2023.

TK

To

1. The Executive Magistrate /
Deputy Commissioner of Police
Salem (South)
Salem District.
2. Inspector of Police
B-4, Annathanapatti Police Station,
Salem, Salem District.
3. The Superintendent
Central Prison, Salem.
4. The Public Prosecutor
High Court of Madras
Chennai – 600 104.



WEB COPY



CrL.R.C.No.593 of 2023

V.SIVAGNANAM, J.

TK

CRL.R.C.NO.593 OF 2023
AND CRL.M.P.NO.4389 OF 2023

29.03.2023