



WEB COPY

1/4

Cont.P.No.182/2022



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED :: 11-07-2023

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE S.VAIDYANATHAN

AND

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE KRISHNAN RAMASAMY

CONTEMPT PETITION No.782 of 2022

R.Ramalekshmi

...

Petitioner

-VS-

1.Mrs.Supriya Sahu, I.A.S.,  
The Secretary,  
Environment and Forest Department,  
Fort St. George,  
Chennai – 600 009.

2.Mr.Syed Muzammil Abbas I.F.S.,  
The Principal Chief Conservator of Forests,  
Panagal Building, Saidapet,  
Chennai – 600 015.

...

Respondents

Contempt Petition has been filed under Section 11 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, to punish the respondents for wilful disobedience of the order passed by this Court in W.A.No.2731 of 2018, dated 31.08.2021.

For Petitioner : Mr.M.Ganesh

For Respondents : Mr.S.John J.Raja Singh,  
Additional Government Pleader.



WEB COPY



**ORDER**

(By *S.Vaidyanathan,J.*)

Respondents have filed a Compliance Affidavit, dated 07.07.2023, wherein the relevant Paragraph 11 reads as under :

11) I respectfully submit that the aforesaid order of this Hon'ble High Court, has been complied with in G.O.(D No.124, Environment and Climate Change and Forests (FR3 Department, Dated.30.06.2023 by "regularizing the temporary services of Tmt.R.Ramalekshmi in the post of Data Entry Operator from 31.08.2011 in the existing post available in the Forest Department by relaxing section-27 (Reservation appointment) of Tamil Nadu Government Servants (Conditions of Service) Act, 2016 and rule 5(a)(regarding age) and rule 5 (Educational qualifications) in Adhoc Rules for Data Entry Operator and to allow monetary benefits from the date of issuance of order as ordered in G.O.(Ms.)No.74, Personnel and Administrative Department, dated 27.06.2013 and also under section 28 (2) of the Tamil Nadu Government Servants (Conditions of Service) Act, 2016, subject to the outcome of the Review Petition filed in Supreme Court of India".



WEB COPY



2. G.O.(D) No.124, dated 30.06.2023, produced before this Court, states that the services of the petitioner have been regularised without prejudice to the rights of the parties in the Review Petition, pending before the Supreme Court.

3. Though it has been vehemently contended by the petitioner that she has not been granted the benefits as per the orders of the Division Bench of this Court, we are not inclined to go into the said question for the present. Depending upon the outcome of the Review Petition pending before the Apex Court, the petitioner can work out her remedy in accordance with law.

4. Contempt Petition is closed with liberty, as stated above. No costs.

Index : Yes/No  
Internet : Yes/No

(S.V.N.,J.) (K.R.,J.)  
11-07-2023

dixit

To  
1.Mrs.Supriya Sahu, I.A.S.,  
The Secretary,  
Environment and Forest Department,  
Fort St. George,  
Chennai – 600 009.

2.Mr.Syed Muzammil Abbas I.F.S.,  
The Principal Chief Conservator of Forests,  
Panagal Building, Saidapet,  
Chennai – 600 015.



WEB COPY

4/4



Cont.P.No.782/2022

S.VAIDYANATHAN,J.  
AND  
KRISHNAN RAMASAMY, J.

dixit

CONT.P.No.782/2022

11-07-2023